

# OBSTACLE PROBLEM FOR NONLINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

RIIKKA KORTE, TUOMO KUUSI, AND JUHANA SILJANDER

ABSTRACT. We show the existence of a continuous solution to a nonlinear parabolic obstacle problem with a continuous time-dependent obstacle. The solution is constructed by an adaptation of the Schwarz alternating method. Moreover, if the obstacle is Hölder continuous, we prove that the solution inherits the same property.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the obstacle problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \geq \nabla \cdot \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u), \quad u \geq \psi,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(x, t, \xi) \approx |\xi|^{p-2}\xi$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p > 2n/(n+2)$ , and  $\psi$  is a continuous obstacle depending on both space and time variables. We define the solution to the obstacle problem as the smallest weak supersolution above the given obstacle. Our definition is motivated by nonlinear potential theory where the obstacle problem is a basic tool. It is essential when proving convergence and comparison results as well as pointwise behaviour of weak supersolutions and superparabolic functions, see [3], [5], and [6].

Starting from the obstacle, we apply a modification of the Schwarz alternating method and construct an increasing sequence of functions using continuous solutions to Dirichlet boundary value problems. We show that the limit of the sequence is the unique continuous solution to the obstacle problem. Moreover, we show that the solution to the obstacle problem attains continuous boundary values continuously provided that the complement of the domain is thick enough. If, in addition, the obstacle is Hölder continuous, we prove that the solution to the obstacle problem is Hölder continuous as well.

The existence of solutions to the parabolic obstacle problems via variational inequalities has been studied by Lions [8]. The method is based on a time discretization and the semi-group property of the corresponding differential quotient. See also [1], [9], [?], and [?]. In these works, a

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35K55. Secondary 35D05, 31C05, 31C45.

*Key words and phrases.* Nonlinear parabolic equations, obstacle problem, existence, Schwarz alternating method.

crucial assumption on the obstacle seems to be a suitable monotonicity or regularity condition. In the case of smooth obstacles, our definition of the solution to the parabolic obstacle problem coincides with the standard definition via variational inequalities. Our method, however, provides a new constructive way to obtain the solution to the general parabolic obstacle problem. In particular, we also consider obstacles which are merely continuous functions in time.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Our notation is standard. In what follows,  $Q$  will stand for a space-time box

$$Q = (a_1, b_1) \times \dots \times (a_n, b_n) \times (t_1, t_2)$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . We also use the notation

$$K(x, r) = (x_1 - r, x_1 + r) \times \dots \times (x_n - r, x_n + r)$$

for the cube centered at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The parabolic boundary of a cylinder  $\Omega \times (t_1, t_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  is

$$\partial_p(\Omega \times (t_1, t_2)) = (\overline{\Omega} \times \{t_1\}) \cup (\partial\Omega \times (t_1, t_2]).$$

For the cylindrical domain, we often use the notation  $\Omega_T := \Omega \times (0, T]$ , where  $0 < T < \infty$ . If  $D'$  is a bounded open subset of  $D$  and the closure of  $D'$  belongs to  $D$ , we denote  $D' \Subset D$ .

We now state our main assumptions. Let  $\Xi$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . We assume that  $\mathcal{A} : \Xi \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$  is a Carathéodory function, that is,  $(x, t) \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, t, \xi)$  is measurable for every  $\xi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\xi \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, t, \xi)$  is continuous for almost every  $(x, t) \in \Xi$ . In addition,  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the growth bounds

$$\mathcal{A}(x, t, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha |\xi|^p \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{A}(x, t, \xi)| \leq \beta |\xi|^{p-1}, \quad (2.1)$$

for almost every  $(x, t) \in \Xi$  and every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Here  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive constants. Furthermore, we assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is monotonic in a sense that

$$(\mathcal{A}(x, t, \xi_1) - \mathcal{A}(x, t, \xi_2)) \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_2) > 0 \quad (2.2)$$

whenever  $(x, t, \xi_i) \in \Xi \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is the space of real-valued functions  $f$  such that  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  and the distributional first partial derivatives  $\partial f / \partial x_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , exist in  $\Omega$  and belong to  $L^p(\Omega)$ . We use the norm

$$\|f\|_{1,p,\Omega} = \left( \int_{\Omega} (|f|^p + |\nabla f|^p) dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

The Sobolev space with zero boundary values,  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , is the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the Sobolev norm. By the parabolic Sobolev space

$$L^p(t_1, t_2; W^{1,p}(\Omega)),$$

$t_1 < t_2$ , we mean the space of functions  $u$  such that the function  $x \mapsto u(x, t)$  belongs to  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for almost every  $t_1 < t < t_2$  and the norm

$$\left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} (|u(x, t)|^p + |\nabla u(x, t)|^p) dx dt \right)^{1/p}$$

is finite. The definition of the space  $L^p(t_1, t_2; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$  is analogous.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\Xi$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . A function  $u$  is a weak solution in  $\Xi$  provided that whenever  $\Omega \times (\tau_1, \tau_2) \Subset \Xi$ , then  $u \in L^p(t_1, t_2; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$  and it satisfies the integral equality

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \phi - u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}) dx dt = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times (\tau_1, \tau_2))$ . We call a weak solution as  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic.

A function  $u$  is a weak supersolution (subsolution) in  $\Xi$  provided that whenever  $\Omega \times (\tau_1, \tau_2) \Subset \Xi$ , then  $u \in L^p(t_1, t_2; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$  and the integral above is non-negative (non-positive) for all non-negative  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times (\tau_1, \tau_2))$ .

Now we can proceed to the exact definition of a solution to the obstacle problem.

**Definition 2.5.** A function  $u \in C(\Omega_T)$  solves the obstacle problem with the obstacle  $\psi \in C(\Omega_T) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_T)$ , if it has the following properties

- (1)  $u \geq \psi$  in  $\Omega_T$ ,
- (2)  $u$  is a weak supersolution in  $\Omega_T$ ,
- (3)  $u$  is a weak solution, i.e.  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic, in the set  $\{u > \psi\}$ ,
- (4)  $u$  is the smallest weak supersolution above  $\psi$ , i.e. if  $v$  is a weak supersolution in  $\Omega_T$  and  $v \geq \psi$ , then  $v \geq u$ .

Finally, we define so-called  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic functions via comparison principle, see [3] and [5]. This is an essential class of functions in our proof.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\Xi$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . A function  $u : \Xi \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  is called  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic if

- (i)  $u$  is lower semicontinuous,
- (ii)  $u$  is finite in a dense subset of  $\Xi$ ,
- (iii)  $u$  satisfies the comparison principle on each space-time box  $Q \Subset \Xi$ : If  $h$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in  $Q$  and continuous on  $\overline{Q}$ , and, if  $h \leq u$  on  $\partial_p Q$ , then  $h \leq u$  in the whole  $Q$ .

A function  $u$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -subparabolic if  $-u$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -superparabolic, where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(x, t, \xi) = -\mathcal{A}(x, t, -\xi), \quad (x, t, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The monotonicity of the operator, see assumption (2.2), guarantees the comparison principle between lower semicontinuous weak supersolutions and upper semicontinuous weak subsolutions, see e.g. [3]. By [7],

every weak supersolution has a lower semicontinuous representative. In particular, by the comparison principle, every weak supersolution has an  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic representative.

In the proof, we construct an increasing sequence of uniformly bounded continuous weak supersolutions. The following theorem in [6] shows that also the limit is a weak supersolution. See also [5].

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $\Xi$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $u_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , is an increasing sequence of uniformly locally bounded weak supersolutions to (2.4) in  $\Xi$ . Then the limit*

$$u = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_i$$

*is a weak supersolution.*

For the local Hölder continuity of  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic functions, we refer to DiBenedetto [2]. Define a weighted distance between points  $(x, s)$  and  $(y, t)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} d_M((x, s), (y, t)) \\ = M^{(p-2)/(2p)} (M^{-|p-2|/(2p)} |x - y| + M^{|p-2|/(2p)} |s - t|^{1/p}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $M > 0$ . The corresponding distance between the space-time cylinder  $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$  and an open set  $\Xi \subset \Omega_T$  is defined as

$$(M, p) - \text{dist}(\Xi, \Omega_T) = \inf_{(x, s) \in \Xi, (y, t) \in \partial_p \Omega_T} d_M((x, s), (y, t)).$$

Theorems 1.1 on pages 41 and 77 in [2] gives us the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $u$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic function in  $\Omega_T$  and suppose that*

$$M = \text{osc}_{\Omega_T} u < \infty.$$

*Let  $\Xi \Subset \Omega_T$ . Then there are constants  $C > 1$  and  $0 < \sigma < 1$  depending only on data such that*

$$|u(x, s) - u(y, t)| \leq CM \left( \frac{d_M((x, s), (y, t))}{(M, p) - \text{dist}(\Xi, \Omega_T)} \right)^\sigma$$

*for all  $(x, s), (y, t) \in \Xi$ .*

The existence of solutions to the Dirichlet boundary value problem in space-time cylinders with the continuous boundary data follows by the monotonicity of the operator, see e.g. Lions [8] or Showalter [9]. For the continuity of the solution up to the boundary, we need to assume some geometric properties of the complement of the set. The complement  $\Omega^c = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$  has positive geometric density at a point  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  if there exist constants  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that for all  $\delta < \rho$ ,

$$|B(x_0, \delta) \cap \Omega| \leq \alpha |B(x_0, \delta)|.$$

The condition is enough to show that the weak solution to the Dirichlet boundary value problem attains continuously the continuous boundary

values at the point  $(x_0, t_0)$ ,  $0 < t_0 < T$ . For the proof, see [2]. More generally, if the complement of  $\Omega$  is  $p$ -thick at  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ , i.e.

$$\int_0^1 \left( \frac{\text{cap}(\Omega^c \cap B(x_0, r), B(x_0, 2r))}{\text{cap}(B(x_0, r), B(x_0, 2r))} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} = \infty,$$

then the weak solution attains continuously the continuous boundary values at  $(x_0, t_0)$ ,  $0 \leq t_0 \leq T$ . In the case of evolutionary  $p$ -Laplace equation, the result is due to Kilpeläinen and Lindqvist [3]. For the general case, see Skrypnik [10] and the references therein. Recall that if the complement has positive geometric density at  $x_0$ , then it is also  $p$ -thick at  $x_0$ . We state the result as an existence theorem.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open set and assume that  $\Omega^c$  is  $p$ -thick at  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ . Let  $\vartheta \in C(\overline{\Omega_T})$ . Then there is a unique  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic function  $u \in C(\Omega_T)$  such that  $u$  is continuous at  $(x_0, t_0)$  and  $u(x_0, t_0) = \vartheta(x_0, t_0)$ ,  $0 \leq t_0 \leq T$ .*

### 3. THE EXISTENCE THEOREM

The following theorem is our main result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open bounded set and let  $\psi$  be a continuous bounded obstacle in  $\Omega_T$ . Then there exists a unique solution to the obstacle problem. If, in addition,  $\Omega^c$  is  $p$ -thick at  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  and  $\psi$  is continuous up to the boundary in a neighborhood of  $(x_0, t_0)$ , then  $u$  is continuous at  $(x_0, t_0)$  and  $u(x_0, t_0) = \psi(x_0, t_0)$ .*

We split the proof of Theorem 3.1 into two steps. We first construct a candidate for a solution to the obstacle problem using solutions to the Dirichlet boundary value problem. We show that the obtained function is continuous and  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic, and it satisfies properties (1) and (3) of Definition 2.5. To finish the proof, we need to show that the obtained function is also a weak supersolution. This we establish by showing that every continuous  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic function is a weak supersolution. That the candidate is the smallest supersolution above the obstacle, follows by the construction.

Note that in [6] it is shown that every bounded  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic function is a weak supersolution, see also [5]. However, the existence of a solution to the obstacle problem is used in the proof. Hence we present an alternative proof in the case of continuous  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic functions.

We construct a candidate for a solution to the obstacle problem as follows.

**Construction 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{Q^k\}_k$  be a dense family of space-time boxes in  $\Omega_T$  ending at the instant  $t = T$ . For example, one can take all the space-time boxes

$$Q = (a_1, b_1) \times \dots \times (a_n, b_n) \times (t, T),$$

where  $a_i, b_i, t \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $a_i < b_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $0 \leq t < T$  and  $Q \subset \Omega_T$ .

Construct sequences  $(\varphi_k)_k$  as follows:

$$\varphi_0 = \psi, \quad \varphi_{k+1} = \max\{\varphi_k, v_k\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $v_k$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic function in  $Q^k$  with the boundary values  $\varphi_k$  on  $\partial_p Q^k$  and  $v_k = \varphi_k$  in  $\Omega_T \setminus Q^k$ . The limit of the construction is

$$u = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k. \quad (3.3)$$

The construction has the following basic properties.

- (i) The sequence  $(\varphi_k)_k$  is pointwise increasing, and, thus,  $\varphi_k \geq \psi$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$
- (ii) By the maximum principle,

$$|\varphi_k(x, t)| \leq \sup_{\Omega_T} |\psi|$$

for every  $(x, t) \in \Omega_T$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

- (iii) As a maximum of continuous functions,  $\varphi_k$  is continuous for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- (iv) If  $v \geq \psi$  is a weak supersolution, then  $v \geq u$ . Indeed, by the comparison principle,  $\varphi_k \leq v$  for every  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- (v) The function  $\varphi_k$  is a weak subsolution in the set  $\{\varphi_k > \psi\}$  for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , because, in this set, it is obtained as a maximum of finitely many weak subsolutions.
- (vi) As a limit of an increasing sequence of continuous functions,  $u$  is lower semicontinuous. Thus the set  $\{u > \psi\}$  is open.

We begin the proof by showing that  $u$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Suppose that  $\psi$  is a continuous obstacle. Then the limit  $u$  of Construction 3.2 satisfies the comparison principle in all space-time boxes  $Q \subset \Omega_T$ .*

*Proof.* We fix a space-time box  $Q = (a_1, b_1) \times \dots \times (a_n, b_n) \times (t_1, t_2)$ . Let  $h$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic function in  $Q$  such that it is continuous up to the parabolic boundary  $\partial_p Q$  and  $h \leq u$  on  $\partial_p Q$ . To prove the lemma, we need to show that  $h \leq u$  in  $Q$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the continuity of functions  $h$  and  $\varphi_k$ , the sets

$$E_k = \overline{Q} \cap \{\varphi_k > h - \varepsilon\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

are open with respect to the relative topology. Moreover, the collection of the sets  $E_k$  covers  $\partial_p Q$ . The compactness of  $\partial_p Q$  and the monotonicity of the sequence  $(\varphi_k)_k$  then implies that there is  $k_0$  such that  $\varphi_{k_0} > h - \varepsilon$  on  $\partial_p Q$ .

Since the sets  $E_k$  are open, there exists  $Q^{k_1} \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $k_1 \geq k_0$ , such that

$$\partial_p Q^{k_1} \cap \{t < t_2\} \subset E_{k_0}$$

and

$$(Q \setminus E_{k_0}) \subset Q^{k_1}.$$

Now

$$h \leq \varphi_{k_0} + \varepsilon \leq \varphi_{k_1} + \varepsilon \quad \text{on} \quad \partial_p Q^{k_1} \cap \{t < t_2\},$$

and, since  $v_{k_1}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in  $Q^{k_1} \in \mathcal{F}$  with the boundary values  $\varphi_{k_1}$  on  $\partial_p Q^{k_1}$ , we have

$$h \leq v_{k_1} + \varepsilon \leq \varphi_{k_1+1} + \varepsilon \quad \text{in} \quad Q^{k_1} \cap \{t < t_2\}$$

by the comparison principle. Thus it follows that  $h \leq u + \varepsilon$  in  $Q$ . The claim follows by letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

We next show that whenever the limit  $u$  of Construction 3.2 does not hinder the obstacle, it is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic. The result shows Property (3) in Definition 2.5.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Suppose that  $\psi$  is a continuous obstacle. Let  $u$  be as in Construction 3.2. Then  $u$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in the set  $\{u > \psi\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \Omega_T$  be such that  $u(z_0) > \psi(z_0)$ . The set  $\{u > \psi\}$  is open, and, hence, there is

$$Q_r = K(x_0, r) \times (t_0 - r^p, t_0 + r^p)$$

such that  $Q_r \subset \{u > \psi\}$ . Let  $\varphi_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , be as in Construction 3.2. We cover  $\overline{Q_{r/2}}$  with the open sets  $Q_r \cap \{\varphi_k > \psi\}$ , and by the compactness and monotonicity of  $\{\varphi_k\}$ , we find  $k_0$  such that  $\overline{Q_{r/2}} \subset \{\varphi_{k_0} > \psi\}$ .

We collect from the construction all space-time boxes  $Q^{k_i-1}$ ,  $k_{i-1} < k_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$\partial_p(Q^{k_i-1} \cap \{t < t_0 + (r/2)^p\}) \subset Q_{r/2} \setminus Q_{r/4}.$$

There are infinitely many such space-time boxes.

Next, note that  $\varphi_{k_i-1}$  is a subsolution in  $Q_{r/2}$ . Then the comparison principle implies that

$$v_{k_i} \geq \varphi_{k_i-1} \quad \text{in} \quad Q^{k_i-1} \cap \{t < t_0 + (r/2)^p\}.$$

Hence  $\varphi_{k_i}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in  $Q^{k_i-1} \cap \{t < t_0 + (r/2)^p\}$ . It follows that  $\varphi_{k_i}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in  $Q_{r/4}$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . By Theorem 2.8, the  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic functions  $\varphi_{k_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and hence also  $u$ , have a uniform modulus of continuity in  $Q_{r/8}$ .

The obtained  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolicity of the subsequence remains to the limit in  $Q_{r/8}$ . Indeed, it is easy to see that the limit is  $\mathcal{A}$ -sub- and  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic in  $Q_{r/8}$ . By the comparison principle and the continuity of  $u$ , we obtain that  $u$  is also  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in  $Q_{r/8}$ . Since being  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic is a local property, it follows that  $u$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in  $\{u > \psi\}$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

The next lemma tells that Construction 3.2 is stable. By this we mean that the limit does not change if we change the space-time boxes in the construction. We have the following uniqueness result.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Suppose that  $\psi$  is a continuous obstacle. Then the limit  $u$  of Construction 3.2 is unique, and, in particular, independent of the choices of space-time boxes.*

*Proof.* We take two limits of the construction,  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . Let  $\varphi_k^i$  and  $v_k^i$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , generate  $u_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Clearly,  $u_1 \geq \varphi_0^2 = \psi$ .

Suppose then that  $u_1 \geq \varphi_k^2$  in  $\Omega_T$ . Let  $Q$  be the space-time box, where  $v_k^2$  is obtained from  $\varphi_k^2$ . The function  $v_k^2$  solves the Dirichlet boundary value problem in  $Q$  with boundary values  $\varphi_k^2$  on  $\partial_p Q$ . Since  $u_1 \geq \varphi_k^2$  on  $\partial_p Q$ , we conclude that  $u_1 \geq v_k^2$  in  $Q$  by Lemma 3.4. But

$$\varphi_{k+1}^2 = \max\{\varphi_k^2, v_k^2\} \quad \text{in } Q,$$

and it follows that  $u_1 \geq \varphi_{k+1}^2$  in  $Q$ . The induction argument then shows that  $u_1 \geq u_2$ . Interchanging the roles of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  finishes the proof.  $\square$

The uniqueness leads to the comparison of limits.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Suppose that  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are continuous obstacles. If  $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2$ , then the corresponding limits  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  of Construction 3.2 satisfy  $u_1 \leq u_2$ .*

*Proof.* Since the limits  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  do not depend on the choice of space-time boxes  $Q^k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we can use the same family  $\mathcal{F}$  to construct  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . Let  $\varphi_k^i$  and  $v_k^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , generate  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . We have  $\varphi_0^1 \leq \varphi_0^2$ , because  $\varphi_0^i = \psi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Assume then that  $\varphi_k^1 \leq \varphi_k^2$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . In particular, this means that  $\varphi_k^1 \leq \varphi_k^2$  on  $\partial_p Q_k$ . It follows by the comparison principle for  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic functions that  $v_k^1 \leq v_k^2$  in  $Q_k$  and hence  $\varphi_{k+1}^1 \leq \varphi_{k+1}^2$ . The induction argument concludes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.8.* Lemma 3.7 implies that if  $\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ , then also  $\|u - \tilde{u}\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ . This can be seen by considering obstacle problems with obstacles  $\psi - \varepsilon$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}$  and  $\psi + \varepsilon$ . Indeed, it follows from the construction that adding a constant to the obstacle changes the solution by the same constant.

We next show that the limit  $u$  is continuous in  $\Omega_T$  whenever the obstacle is continuous. Moreover, if  $\Omega^c$  is  $p$ -thick at some point, then  $u$  is continuous at that point. This shows the continuity in Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 3.9.** *Suppose that  $\psi$  is a continuous obstacle. Then the limit  $u$  of Construction 3.2 is continuous in  $\Omega_T$ . If, in addition,  $\Omega^c$  is  $p$ -thick at  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  and  $\psi$  is continuous up to the boundary in a neighborhood of  $(x_0, t_0)$ , then  $u$  is continuous at  $(x_0, t_0)$  and  $u(x_0, t_0) = \psi(x_0, t_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Suppose first that  $z_1 = (x_1, t_1)$  is an interior point, or in  $\Omega \times \{T\}$ . First, we denote

$$Q_r = K(x_1, r) \times (t_1 - r^p, \min\{t_1 + r^p, T\}).$$



Let  $r$  be so small that  $Q_r$  does not intersect  $\partial_p \Omega_T$  and

$$\frac{\text{osc}}{Q_r} \psi := \max_{Q_r} \psi - \min_{Q_r} \psi \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Let  $h$  solve the Dirichlet boundary value problem with  $h = \psi$  on  $\partial_p Q_r$ . The solution exists by Theorem 2.9, and  $h \in C(\overline{Q_r})$ . We define the following modified obstacle

$$\tilde{\psi} = \begin{cases} \psi & \text{on } \overline{\Omega_T} \setminus Q_r, \\ h & \text{in } Q_{r/2}, \\ \frac{2(r-s)}{r}h + \frac{2s-r}{r}\psi & \text{on } \partial Q_s, \quad r/2 \leq s < r, \end{cases}$$

i.e. the interpolation between  $h$  and  $\psi$ . Clearly  $\tilde{\psi}$  is continuous. Moreover, by the maximum principle, we have

$$|\psi - \tilde{\psi}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega_T}.$$

Let  $\tilde{u}$  be the limit of the construction with the obstacle  $\tilde{\psi}$ , and let  $\tilde{\varphi}_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , be the generating sequence. By the comparison of limits, see Remark 3.8, we have

$$|u - \tilde{u}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega_T}.$$

Next, since  $\tilde{\psi}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in  $Q_{r/2}$ , we obtain that  $\tilde{\varphi}_k$  is a weak subsolution in  $Q_{r/2}$  for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . This is based on the fact that if  $\tilde{\varphi}_{k-1}$  is a weak subsolution in  $\Xi \subset \Omega_T$  and  $w$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in  $Q \subset \Omega_T$  such that  $w = \tilde{\varphi}_{k-1}$  on  $\partial Q \cap \Xi$ , then

$$\tilde{\varphi}_k = \begin{cases} \tilde{\varphi}_{k-1}, & \text{in } \Xi \setminus Q, \\ w, & \text{in } \Xi \cap Q, \end{cases}$$

is a weak subsolution in  $\Xi$ , see the proof of Lemma 3.5 in [6]. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we conclude that  $\tilde{u}$  is continuous in  $Q_{r/4}$ . Therefore, there is  $0 < \delta < r/4$  such that  $\text{osc } \tilde{u} < \varepsilon/2$  in  $Q_\delta$ . Consequently, we have

$$\text{osc } u \leq \text{osc } \tilde{u} + 2 \sup |u - \tilde{u}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \quad \text{in } Q_\delta.$$

This shows the continuity in the interior points.

Suppose then that  $\Omega^c$  is  $p$ -thick at  $x_0$  and  $\psi$  is continuous up to the boundary in a neighborhood of  $(x_0, t_0)$ . Set  $z_0 = (x_0, t_0)$ . We denote

$$U_r = (K(x_0, r) \times (t_0 - r^p, t_0 + r^p)) \cap \Omega_T, \quad r > 0.$$

Let  $r > 0$  be so small that  $\psi$  is continuous on  $\overline{U_r}$  and

$$\frac{\text{osc}}{\overline{U_r}} \psi \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\psi}$  and  $\tilde{u}$  be defined as in the proof of interior points, but using  $U_r$  instead of  $Q_r$ . By the  $p$ -thickness of  $(K(x_0, r) \cap \Omega)^c$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}$  is continuous

at  $z_0$ . Since  $\tilde{\psi}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in  $U_{r/2}$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}_k$  is a weak subsolution in  $U_{r/2}$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

To this end, let  $g$  solve the Dirichlet boundary value problem in  $U_{r/2}$  with the boundary values  $g_b$ , where

$$g_b = \begin{cases} \sup_{\Omega_T} \tilde{\psi} & \text{on } \partial_p U_{r/2} \setminus \partial_p \Omega_T, \\ \tilde{\psi} & \text{on } \overline{U_{r/4}} \cap \partial_p \Omega_T, \\ \frac{2(r-2s)}{r} \tilde{\psi} + \frac{4s-r}{r} \sup_{\Omega_T} \tilde{\psi} & \text{on } \overline{U_s} \cap \partial_p \Omega_T, \quad r/4 < s < r/2, \end{cases}$$

i.e.  $g_b$  equals  $\sup_{\Omega_T} \tilde{\psi}$  outside  $U_{r/2}$ ,  $h$  in  $U_{r/4}$  and, in  $U_{r/2} \setminus U_{r/4}$ ,  $g_b$  is an interpolation between  $\sup_{\Omega_T} \tilde{\psi}$  and  $h$ . By the  $p$ -thickness of  $(K(x_0, r/2) \cap \Omega)^c$  at  $x_0$ , the  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic function  $g$  is continuous at  $z_0$ .

Moreover, for the subsolutions  $\tilde{\varphi}_k$  we have that  $\tilde{\varphi}_k \leq g$  on  $\partial_p U_{r/2}$ , and, consequently, we obtain  $\tilde{\varphi}_k \leq g$  in  $U_{r/2}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , by the comparison principle. Hence also  $\tilde{u} \leq g$  in  $U_{r/2}$ . But this means that  $\tilde{u}$  is between functions  $\tilde{\psi}$  and  $g$  in  $C(U_r)$  which coincide and are continuous at  $z_0$ . Therefore, there is  $0 < \delta < r/4$  such that

$$|\tilde{u}(z_0) - \tilde{u}(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all  $z \in U_\delta$ . As before, this leads to the continuity of  $u$  at  $z_0$ , and concludes the proof.  $\square$

**3.1. The final step.** To prove Theorem 3.1, we still need to show that the limit is a weak supersolution. The following theorem gives the desired result.

**Theorem 3.10.** *A continuous  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic function is a weak supersolution.*

To prove Theorem 3.10, we construct an increasing sequence of supersolutions  $u_k$  that converge pointwise to  $u$ . Then, by Theorem 2.7,  $u$  is a supersolution as a limit of an increasing sequence of uniformly bounded supersolutions. First, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $Q$  be a space-time box,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Define  $Q^1 = \{(x, t) \in Q : x_k < r\}$  and  $Q^2 = \{(x, t) \in Q : x_k > r\}$ . If  $v$  is a continuous  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic function in  $Q$ , and  $v$  is a weak supersolution in  $Q^1$  and in  $Q^2$ , then  $v$  is a weak supersolution in  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U^\varepsilon = Q \cap \{r - \varepsilon < x_k < r + \varepsilon\}$  and construct functions

$$v_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} h_\varepsilon, & \text{in } U_\varepsilon, \\ v, & \text{in } Q \setminus U_\varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

where  $h_\varepsilon$  is the solution of the Dirichlet problem in  $U^\varepsilon$  with boundary values  $v$ . Since  $v$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic in  $Q$ , we have by the comparison principle that  $v_\varepsilon$  is an increasing sequence and  $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$  pointwise as

$\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.7, it is enough to show that  $v_\varepsilon$  is a weak supersolution.

By a similar argument as in Lemma 3.5 of [6], it is straightforward to show that  $v_\varepsilon$  is a weak supersolution both in  $Q^1$  and  $Q^2$ . Since  $v_\varepsilon$  is also a weak supersolution in  $U^\varepsilon$  and being a supersolution is a local property, the result of the claim follows.  $\square$

We now generate an increasing sequence of weak supersolutions approximating the continuous  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic function. Let

$$K_0 = K(x_0, r_0) \Subset \Omega$$

be a dyadic cube. Let  $\{K_k^j\}_{j=1}^{2^{nk}}$  be the set of dyadic subcubes of  $K_0$  of  $k$ th generation. Set  $Q_k^j = K_k^j \times (0, T)$  and  $Q_0 = K_0 \times (0, T)$

Let  $u_k$  solve the Dirichlet boundary value problem in  $Q_k^j$  with  $u_k = u$  on  $\partial_p Q_k^j$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , for every  $j = 1, \dots, 2^{nk}$ . The function  $u_k$  is continuous in  $\Omega_T$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in each  $Q_k^j$ , for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $j = 1, \dots, 2^{nk}$ .

**Lemma 3.12.** *The function  $u_k$  is a continuous weak supersolution in  $Q_0$ .*

*Proof.* If we can show that  $u_k$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic in  $Q_0$ , the result follows by Lemma 3.11. We do this directly from the definition. First of all, due to the construction of  $u_k$ , it is clear that  $u_k$  is lower semi-continuous as well as finite in a dense subset of  $Q_0$ . Hence, we only need to show the comparison principle.

Fix a space-time box  $Q \subset Q_0$  and an  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic function  $h$  for which  $h \leq u_k$  in  $\partial_p Q$  and  $h \in C(\overline{Q})$ . Since  $u$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -superparabolic and  $u_k \leq u$ , we have  $h \leq u$  in  $Q$ . Moreover, since  $u = u_k$  on  $\partial_p Q_k^j$ , we obtain  $h \leq u_k$  also in  $\partial_p Q_k^j \cap Q$ . Thus  $h \leq u_k$  on  $\partial_p(Q \cap Q_k^j)$ . As  $u_k$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in every  $Q_k^j$ , the comparison principle yields  $h \leq u_k$  in  $Q \cap Q_k^j$  for every  $j$  separately, and hence also in the whole  $Q$ .  $\square$

Since being a weak supersolution is a local property, the following lemma together with Theorem 2.7 shows that  $u$  is a weak supersolution.

**Lemma 3.13.** *The sequence  $u_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , is increasing, and  $u_k \rightarrow u$  almost everywhere in  $K(x_0, r_0/2) \times (0, T)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k_1 < k_2$ . On  $\partial_p Q_{k_2}^j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{k_2 n}$ , we have by the comparison principle that  $u_{k_1} \leq u$ . Hence it follows, again by the comparison principle, that  $u_{k_1} \leq u_{k_2}$  in  $Q_{k_2}^j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{k_2 n}$ . Therefore, the sequence is increasing.

Let then  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ . We set

$$U = K_0(x_0, r_0/2) \times (\delta, T - \delta) \Subset \Omega_T.$$

Let  $\tilde{u}$  be a smooth function such that  $|u - \tilde{u}| < \varepsilon/2$  on  $\overline{U}$ . This is possible by the continuity of  $u$ . We set

$$w_k = (\tilde{u} - u_k - \varepsilon/2)_+.$$

Clearly  $w_k = 0$  on  $\partial K_k^j \times [\delta, T - \delta]$  for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{nk}$ .

Next, we apply Sobolev-Poincaré inequality and obtain that

$$\int_{\delta}^{T-\delta} \int_{K_k^j} w_k^p dx dt \leq C 2^{-kp} \int_{\delta}^{T-\delta} \int_{K_k^j} |\nabla w_k|^p dx dt.$$

Consequently, by summing these estimates up, we obtain

$$\int_U w_k^p dx dt \leq C 2^{-kp} \int_U |\nabla w_k|^p dx dt. \quad (3.14)$$

Since  $u_k$  is a weak supersolution in  $Q_0$ , we conclude by the energy estimate, see Proposition 3.1, p. 24 in [2], for the nonnegative subsolution  $\sup_{\Omega_T} \psi - u_k$  that

$$\int_U |\nabla u_k|^p dx dt \leq C(K_0, T) \left( \frac{\max\{1, \text{osc}_{\Omega_T} \psi\}}{\min\{1, \delta, r_0\}} \right)^p.$$

This implies that there is a constant  $C$  independent of  $k$  such that

$$\int_U |\nabla w_k|^p dx dt \leq C.$$

Therefore, we obtain by (3.14) that

$$|U \cap \{w_k > \gamma\}| \leq 2^{-kp} \gamma^{-p} C$$

for all  $\gamma > 0$ . This means that  $w_k$  converges in measure to 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus there is a subsequence such that  $w_{k_i} \rightarrow 0$  almost everywhere in  $U$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies by the monotonicity of  $u_k$  that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k \geq \tilde{u} - \varepsilon/2 > u - \varepsilon \quad \text{almost everywhere in } U.$$

The result follows, since  $u_k \leq u$  for all  $k$  and the inequality above holds for all positive  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$ .  $\square$

#### 4. HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF THE SOLUTION

The following theorem characterizes the Hölder continuity of the solution to the obstacle problem provided that the obstacle is Hölder continuous.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose  $u$  is the solution for the obstacle problem and the obstacle  $\psi$  is Hölder continuous with the Hölder exponent  $\alpha$ . Then also  $u$  is Hölder continuous with the Hölder exponent  $\frac{\sigma\alpha}{\alpha+\sigma}$ . Here  $\sigma$  is as in Theorem 2.8.*

*Proof.* Since  $u$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in the set  $\{u > \psi\}$  and  $\psi$  is Hölder continuous, the only points in which the Hölder condition can fail are the boundary points of the set  $\{u > \psi\}$ . Suppose  $z_0$  belongs to this boundary and consider a space-time cube  $Q_\rho$  centered at  $z_0$ . Since  $\psi$  is Hölder continuous in  $\Omega_T$ , we have

$$\operatorname{osc}_{Q_\rho} \psi \leq C_1 \rho^\alpha$$

for some  $C_1 > 0$  independent of  $\rho$ . Moreover, by the maximum principle, we can choose a modified obstacle  $\tilde{\psi}$  below  $\psi$  in such a way that

$$\|\tilde{\psi} - \psi\|_\infty < 2 \operatorname{osc}_{Q_\rho} \psi$$

and  $\tilde{\psi}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in  $Q_{\rho/2}$ . Fix  $\delta > 0$  and  $\rho = \delta^{\sigma/(\alpha+\sigma)}$ . Let  $\delta$  be small enough so that  $\delta < \rho/2$  and  $Q_\rho \subset \Omega_T$ . Now, similarly as in Lemma 3.5, the solution  $\tilde{u}$  for the modified obstacle  $\tilde{\psi}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -parabolic in  $Q_\delta$  and hence, by Theorem 2.8,

$$\operatorname{osc}_{Q_\delta} \tilde{u} \leq C \left( \frac{\delta}{\rho} \right)^\sigma.$$

Note that  $u$  is solution to the obstacle problem in  $\Omega_T$  with the continuous obstacle  $\psi$  and therefore

$$M = \operatorname{osc}_{\Omega_T} u \leq \operatorname{osc}_{\Omega_T} \psi.$$

By Remark 3.8, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{osc}_{Q_\delta} u &\leq \operatorname{osc}_{Q_\delta} \tilde{u} + 2 \sup_{Q_\delta} |u - \tilde{u}| \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{\delta}{\rho} \right)^\sigma + 2 \|\tilde{\psi} - \psi\|_\infty \leq C \left( \frac{\delta}{\rho} \right)^\sigma + C \rho^\alpha \leq C \delta^{\alpha\sigma/(\alpha+\sigma)} \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] Hans W. Alt and Stephan Luckhaus. Quasilinear elliptic-parabolic differential equations. *Math. Z.*, 183(3):311–341, 1983.
- [2] Emmanuele DiBenedetto. *Degenerate parabolic equations*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [3] Tero Kilpeläinen and Peter Lindqvist. On the Dirichlet boundary value problem for a degenerate parabolic equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 27(3):661–683, 1996.
- [4] David Kinderlehrer and Guido Stampacchia. *An introduction to variational inequalities and their applications*, volume 88 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1980.
- [5] Juha Kinnunen and Peter Lindqvist. Pointwise behaviour of semicontinuous supersolutions to a quasilinear parabolic equation. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 185(3):411–435, 2006.

- [6] Riikka Korte, Tuomo Kuusi, and Mikko Parviainen. A connection between a general class of superparabolic functions and supersolutions. (submitted), 2008.
- [7] Tuomo Kuusi. Lower semicontinuity of weak supersolutions to a nonlinear parabolic equation. (submitted), 2007.
- [8] Jacques-Louis Lions. *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*. Dunod, 1969.
- [9] Ralph E. Showalter. *Monotone operators in Banach space and nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 49 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [10] Igor I. Skrypnik. On the wiener test for degenerate parabolic equations with non-standard growth condition. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 13:229–272, 2008.

R.K., T.K., INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 1100, FI-02015 HELSINKI  
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, FINLAND.

*E-mail address:* riikka.korte@tkk.fi, tuomo.kuusi@tkk.fi

J.S., DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF OULU,  
P.O. BOX 3000, 90014 UNIVERSITY OF OULU, FINLAND.

*E-mail address:* juhana.siljander@oulu.fi