Geometrization of the leading term in acoustic Gaussian beams

Matias F. Dahl

February 2, 2007

Abstract

We study Gaussian beams for the wave equation on a Riemannian manifold. For the transport equation we geometrize the leading term at the center of the Gaussian beam. More precisely, if

$$u(x,t) = e^{iP\theta(x,t)} \left(u_0(x,t) + \frac{u_1(x,t)}{iP} + \frac{u_2(x,t)}{(iP)^2} + \cdots \right)$$

is a Gaussian beam propagating along a geodesic c, then we show that

$$u_0(c(t),t) = C \frac{1}{(\det Y(t))^{1/2}}$$

where C is a constant and Y is a complex Jacobi tensor. Using an invariance property for the non-linear Riccati equation related to the Jacobi equation, we prove that the leading term of the energy carries constant energy.

A *Gaussian beam* is an asymptotic solution to a linear hyperbolic equations that have a very characteristic feature. Namely, at each time instant, the entire energy of the solution is concentrated around one point in space. When time moves forward, the beam propagates along a curve, but always retains its shape of a Gaussian bell curve. Electromagnetic Gaussian beams are also known as quasiphotons. See [Kac02, Kac04, Kac05, Dah06]. For the wave equation, see [Ral82, KKL01, Pop02, KL04], and for the elastic equation, see [KP85]. Historical accounts on Gaussian beams can be found in [BP73, Ral82, Pop02].

Gaussian beams are governed by two sets of equations. The *Hamilton-Jacobi equation* determines the phase function, and a set of *transport equations* determine the amplitude functions for a Gaussian beam (Section 1). An central property for Gaussian beams is that the Hamilton-Jacobi equation geometrize. For

example, in suitable media, Gaussian beams propagate along geodesics of a Riemannian metric determined by the media. (This media may be inhomogeneous and anisotropic.) As the geodesic equation is an ODE, this means that Gaussian beams are much easier to propagate than the original equation, say, Maxwell's equations in the time domain. Therefore Gaussian beams have been used in the *traveltime problem*: how long does it take for a signal to travel between two points in space. This geometrization result is common to all Gaussian beams regardless of their physical setting (acoustic, electric, or seismic). The reason for this is that the Hamilton-Jacobi equation has the same form in all settings. On the other hand, each physical setting gives rise to its own transport equations. For example, in acoustics the transport equations are scalar equations whereas in electromagnetism they are vector equations. Although these have been studied, and solution methods are known in local coordinates [KKL01, Kac02], there does not seem to exist many geometric treatments on these equations. An exception seem to be [Sha94], which derives a Rytov's law in electromagnetism in an essentially isotropic media.

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are the main results of the present note. These show how the leading amplitude term evaluated at the center of a Gaussian beam is related to the complex Riccati equation and the complex Jacobi tensor (Section 1.2). Essentially, a Jacobi tensor is a tensor analogue to a Jacobi field in Riemannian geometry [EO76, Esc77, EO85]. See also [JP00, JP02] for a connection to Raychaudhuri's equation studied in general relativity. Apart from the Riemann geometric interpretation, Theorems 2.2 and 2.1 are well known [TC85]. Assuming that the underlying manifold is $\mathbb{R}^n$ (but has an arbitrary Riemannian metric) we also show that asymptotically, the leading term in the Gaussian beam carries constant energy. The proof of this is based on an invariance result for solutions to the complex Riccati equation (Theorem 1.4).

All objects are assumed to be smooth, and by default, all functions are assumed to be complex valued. By a manifold we mean a second countable, Hausdorff, topological manifold equipped with smooth transition maps. The Einstein summing convention is used throughout. By TM and $T(M, \mathbb{R})$ we mean the tangent bundles for M over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, respectively. We always assume that I is an open interval containing 0, and M is a manifold of dimension n.

1   Asymptotic expansion of u

On the Riemann manifold, the wave equation reads

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0.$$

Here Δ is the *Laplace-Beltrami operator* $\Delta = \nabla \cdot \nabla$ with respect to a metric g that encodes the media properties. To define a Gaussian beam, let us briefly review asymptotic solutions to the wave equation. For a detailed accounts on this topic, see [KKL01, KL04].

Suppose $u(x, t)$ is a function of the form

$$u(x, t) = e^{iP\theta(x,t)} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{u_k(x, t)}{(iP)^k}, \tag{1}$$

where $N \geq 0$, $P > 0$ is a large constant, and θ, u_k are complex functions. Function θ is the *phase function* and u_k-functions are *amplitude functions*. In order for u to be stable in the limit $P \to \infty$, we assume that $\mathrm{Im}\, \theta \geq 0$. Inserting u into the wave equation and equating separate powers of (iP) to zero yields

$$(\theta_t)^2 - g(\nabla\theta, \nabla\theta) = 0, \tag{2}$$
$$\mathscr{L}_\theta(u_0) = 0, \tag{3}$$
$$\mathscr{L}_\theta(u_{k+1}) = (\partial_t^2 - \Delta)u_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1. \tag{4}$$

Equation (2) is the *Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, and equations (3)-(4) are the *transport equations*. In these, the *transport operator* is given by

$$\mathscr{L}_\theta(u) = 2\theta_t u_t - 2g(\nabla\theta, \nabla u) + \left(\left(\partial_t^2 - \Delta\right)\theta\right) \cdot u, \quad u \in C^\infty(M \times I).$$

Here $g(u, v)$ does not involve a complex conjugate.

1.1 Gaussian beams

Let us construct a Gaussian beam propagating along a smooth curve $c \colon I \to M$ that, for simplicity, is covered by local coordinates x^i. Furthermore, let

$$\phi \colon I \to \mathbb{C}, \quad p \colon I \to \mathbb{C}^n, \quad H \colon I \to \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$$

be the first three coefficients in the Taylor expansion of θ evaluated on $c(t)$;

$$\phi(t) = \theta(c(t), t), \quad p_j(t) = \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x^j}(c(t), t), \quad H_{jk}(t) = \frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial x^j\, \partial x^k}(c(t), t).$$

Then u is a *Gaussian beam* on c provided that for all $t \in I$:

C1. $p(t) = (p_i(t))_i$ is non-zero.

C2. $\phi(t)$ and $p(t)$ are real.

3

C3. $H(t) = (H_{ij}(t))_{ij}$ is symmetric and its imaginary part is positive definite.

These conditions do not depend on local coordinates, and using the chain rule,

$$\theta(x,t) = \phi_0(t) + p_i(t)z^i + \frac{1}{2}H_{ij}(t)z^iz^j + O(|z|^3), \tag{5}$$

where $z^i = z^i(x,t) = x^i - c^i(t)$. Therefore,

$$|\exp(iP\theta(x,t))| \approx \exp\left(-\frac{P}{2}z^i \operatorname{Im} G_{ij}z^j\right)$$

since $\operatorname{Im} G = \operatorname{Im} H$. That is, at time t, u is concentrated around $c(t)$. Next, we can solve ϕ, c, and H from the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (2). This is done by expanding the Hamilton-Jacobi equation into a Taylor series centered at $c(t)$ and equating the first three Taylor coefficients. This gives:

1. ϕ_0 is constant.

2. c is a geodesic with respect to g, and

$$p_i(t) = g_{ij}\dot{c}^j.$$

   With no loss in generality, we assume that c is pathlength parameterized so that $g(\dot{c}, \dot{c}) = 1$.

3. In local coordinates, H is determined by a complex matrix Riccati equation. Unfortunately, H is not a tensor. However, by slightly perturbing H, one gets a tensor G. Let $\Lambda(t) = (\Gamma_{ij}^m p_m)_{ij}$, where Γ_{jk}^i are the *Christoffel symbols*, and let

$$G(t) = G_{ij}(t)dx^i \otimes dx^j\big|_{c(t)}, \quad G_{ij} = (H - \Lambda)_{ij}.$$

   Then G is the 2-tensor on c determined by the *complex tensor Riccati equation*

$$G' + GCG - R = 0. \tag{6}$$

   Here G' is the covariant derivative of G along c, and $C = C^{ij}(t)dx^i \otimes dx^j\big|_{c(t)}$ and $R = R_{ij}(t)dx^i \otimes dx^j\big|_{c(t)}$ are tensors on c determined by

$$C^{ij} = g^{ij} - \dot{c}^i\dot{c}^j, \quad R_{ij} = p_m R_{ijk}^m \dot{c}^k,$$

   and R_{ijk}^m are components of the *Riemann curvature tensor*

$$R_{ijk}^m = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}^m}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^m}{\partial x^k} + \Gamma_{ik}^s\Gamma_{js}^m - \Gamma_{ij}^s\Gamma_{ks}^m.$$

   Assuming G satisfies $C3$. at $t = 0$, this condition holds for all t.

It should be emphasized that the above equations for c, p and G are independent of local coordinates. That is, these objects geometrize using Riemannian geometry.

1.2 The transverse Riccati equation

In this section we review the transverse Riccati equation. It is the projection of the Riccati equation (6) onto the orthogonal complement of the underlying geodesic. We also show how this transverse Riccati equation in related to transverse Jacobi equation.

Let $\Pi = \Pi_i^j(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \otimes dx^i |_{c(t)}$ be the tensor determined by

$$\Pi_i^j \;=\; g_{ia} C^{aj} = \delta_i^j - p_i \dot{c}^j,$$

where δ_i^j is the *Kronecker delta symbol*. Then Π is a projection that maps $T_{c(t)}M$ onto the *orthogonal complement* $c^\perp$ of $\dot{c}(t)$,

$$c^\perp |_t = \{a \in T_{c(t)}M : g(a, \dot{c}(t)) = 0\}.$$

A tensor L is *transversal* if L is a $(1,1)$-tensor on c and $\Pi L \Pi = L$. We identify such tensors with pointwise linear maps $\dot{c}^\perp \to \dot{c}^\perp$.

When working with $\dot{c}^\perp$, it is convenient to use *Fermi coordinates* [MM63, BU81, Dah]. These are local coordinates on a Riemannian manifold adapted to a fixed geodesic. Suppose $c \colon (a', b') \to M$ is a geodesic that can be covered with one coordinate chart, and suppose that $a' < a < b < b'$. Then there exists local coordinates $\{\tilde{x}^i\}$ defined in the tube $\tilde{x}^1 \in (a, b), (\tilde{x}^2)^2 + \cdots + (\tilde{x}^n)^2 < \varepsilon$, and for $t \in (a, b)$ these satisfy:

$$(t, 0, 0) \text{ represents } c(t), \quad \tilde{g}_{ij}(c(t)) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^i(c(t)) = 0.$$

The last property implies that in Fermi coordinates, the covariant derivative of a transverse tensor coincides with the usual derivative. In consequence, the derivative of a composition of two $(1,1)$-tensors satisfies Leibniz' rule. It also follows that the derivative of a transverse tensor is again a transverse tensor.

Let E be the $(1,1)$-tensor

$$E = E_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes dx^j, \quad E_j^i = g^{ia} G_{aj}. \tag{7}$$

Then E is a solution to the Riccati equation

$$E' + E \Pi E - K = 0 \tag{8}$$

where K is the curvature tensor

$$K = K_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes dx^j, \quad K_j^i = g^{ia} R_{aj}.$$

To verify equation (8) it suffices to write out the equation in Fermi coordinates whence it reduces to the Riccati equation for G.

Let F be the projection of E onto $\dot{c}^{\perp}$. That is, the transverse $(1,1)$-tensor

$$F = \Pi \cdot E \cdot \Pi,$$

whence F satisfies the Riccati equation,

$$F' + F^2 - K = 0. \tag{9}$$

To verify equation (9), we have $\Pi' = 0$, so $F' = \Pi E' \Pi$, and equation (9) follows from equation (8) since K is a transverse tensor [Dah]. To formulate the next theorem, we need the *transpose* L^T and *complex transpose* L^* of a $(1,1)$-tensor L on c. The transpose L^T is determined by

$$g(L^T a, b) = g(a, Lb), \quad a, b \in T_{c(t)} M,$$

and the *complex transpose* $L^* = \overline{L}^T$, where $\overline{L}$ is the (componentwise) *complex conjugate* of L. If $L = L^i_j dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ is a (possibly transverse) tensor on c, then components for L^T and L^* are

$$(L^T)^i_j = g^{ir} L^s_r g_{sj}, \quad (L^*)^i_j = g^{ir} \overline{L}^s_r g_{sj},$$

so if we represent L as a matrix $(L^i_j)_{ij}$ in Fermi coordinates, then matrices for L^T and L^* are just the transpose and Hermitian transpose of L_{ij}. Also, using Fermi coordinates, we have $(L^\tau)' = (L')^\tau$ for $\tau = *, T$.

The Siegel upper half plane can be seen as a generalization of the upper half plane of the complex plane. For an introduction, see [Fre99].

Definition 1.1 (Siegel upper half plane). A transverse tensor $L\colon \dot{c}^{\perp}\big|_{c(t)} \to \dot{c}^{\perp}\big|_{c(t)}$ is in the *Siegel upper half plane* provided that

(i) L is symmetric ($L = L^T$),

(ii) $v \mapsto g(\operatorname{Im} L \cdot v, \overline{v})$ is positive definite for $v \in \dot{c}^{\perp}\big|_{c(t)}$.

Theorem 1.2 (Complex Jacobi tensor). *Suppose* A_0, F_0 *are* $(1,1)$-*tensors at* $c(0)$. *Then there exists a unique* $(1,1)$-*tensor* Y *on* c *determined by*

$$Y'' - K \cdot Y = 0, \tag{10}$$
$$Y(0) = A_0, \quad Y'(0) = F_0.$$

This Y *satisfies the following properties:*

1. *If A_0, F_0 are transverse, then Y is transverse.*

2. *For any initial values, $\Pi Y \Pi$ is a transverse solution from transverse initial values $\Pi A_0 \Pi$, $\Pi F_0 \Pi$. Also, if Y and $\tilde{Y}$ are solutions from initial values A_0, F_0, and $\Pi A_0 \Pi$, $\Pi F_0 \Pi$, respectively, then $\tilde{Y} = \Pi Y \Pi$.*

3. *If Y_1 and Y_2 are two solutions to the complex Jacobi equation, then*

$$((Y_1')^\tau \cdot Y_2 - Y_1^\tau \cdot Y_2')' = 0, \quad \tau = T, *.$$

4. *Suppose $A_0 = \mathrm{Id}\big|_{\dot{c}^\perp}$, and F_0 is a transverse tensor in the Siegel upper half plane. Then Y is invertible (as a map $\dot{c}^\perp \to \dot{c}^\perp$) for all t.*

Proof. We may write equation (10) as a first order linear equation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ K & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix}\bigg|_{t=0} = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ F_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore a unique Y exists for all $t \in I$. Property 1. follows by writing out these equations in Fermi coordinates; if the first row and column are zero at $t = 0$, they are zero for all t. Property 2. follows since $(\Pi L \Pi)' = \Pi L' \Pi$, and since K is transversal [Dah]. Property 3 follows by a short calculation using equation (10) and that K is symmetric [Dah]. For property 4., suppose that $Y \cdot \eta = 0$ for some non-zero $\eta \in \dot{c}^\perp|_s$. By a parallel transport, we may extend η into a vector field on c. (Since this is just the Euclidean parallel transport in Fermi coordinates, we have $\eta \in \dot{c}^\perp$ for all t. In particular, $\eta(0) \neq 0$.) Let

$$f(t) = g\left(((Y')^* \cdot Y - Y^* \cdot Y') \cdot \eta, \overline{\eta}\right), \quad t \in I.$$

For a symmetric tensor we have $\mathrm{Im}\, S = \frac{-1}{2i}(S^* - S)$. Hence $f(0) \neq 0$. On the other hand, $f(s) = 0$ and $f' = 0$, so Y must be invertible. $\qquad\square$

1.3   Connections between the Riccati and Jacobi equation

The complex Riccati equation and the complex Jacobi equation (10) are closely related. To see this, let us assume that F_0 is a transverse tensor in the Siegel upper half plane. Furthermore, let F be the solution to equation (9) from $F(0) = F_0$,

and let Y be the solution to equation (10) from $Y(0) = \mathrm{Id}\,|_{\dot{c}^\perp}$, $Y'(0) = F_0$. Then $F = Y' \cdot Y^{-1}$ and Y is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y' &= F \cdot Y, \\
Y(0) &= \mathrm{Id}\,|_{\dot{c}^\perp}, \quad Y'(0) = F(0).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hereafter, we always assume that F_0, F and Y are related in this way.

Proposition 1.3. *Tensors F, Y' are invertible, and:*

1. *F is in the Siegel upper half plane for all t.*

2. *$(\det Y)' = \mathrm{trace}\, F \cdot (\det Y)$.*

3. *If we denote by $\mathrm{Im}\, F_0$ also the parallel transport of $\mathrm{Im}\, F_0$ along c, then*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Im}\, F &= (Y^{-1})^* \cdot \mathrm{Im}\, F_0 \cdot Y^{-1}, \\
\mathrm{Im}\left(F^{-1}\right) &= -(Y')^{-*} \cdot \mathrm{Im}\, F_0 \cdot (Y')^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. That F is invertible is proven in [Dah], and Y' is invertible as $Y' = F \cdot Y$. By Theorem 1.2.3, F is symmetric. To see that F is positive definite let us first note that in Fermi coordinates the parallel transport is just the Euclidean transport. Therefore, the spectrum of a $(1,1)$-tensor is preserved under a parallel transport. (Alternatively, one can write down a Lax-pair type equation in arbitrary local coordinates.) Hence the spectrum of the parallel transport of $\mathrm{Im}\, F_0$ remains constant along c, and the result follows from Property 3. proven below. Property 2. follows from the matrix identity

$$
(\det A)' = \mathrm{trace}(A' A^{-1}) \cdot \det A.
$$

For property 3., we have

$$
\frac{-1}{2i}\left(Y'^* Y - Y^* Y'\right) = \mathrm{Im}\, F_0
$$

with given notation. The first claim follows since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Im}\, F &= \frac{-1}{2i}(F^* - F) \\
&= \frac{-1}{2i} Y^{-*}\left(Y'^* Y - Y^* Y'\right) Y^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the latter claim is analogous (F^{-1} is also symmetric). $\qquad\square$

Theorem 1.4 (An invariance for the Riccati equation). *Let E is the tensor defined in equation* (7). *Then*

$$\frac{\det \operatorname{Im} E}{\det \operatorname{Im} F} = constant.$$

Proof. In Fermi coordinates let us partition the symmetric tensor E as

$$E = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & u^T \\ \hline u & F \end{array} \right),$$

where $\lambda\colon I \to \mathbb{C}$, $u\colon I \to \mathbb{C}^{(n-1)\times 1}$, and $F\colon I \to \mathbb{C}^{(n-1)\times(n-1)}$ are functions with initial values λ_0, u_0, F_0, respectively. Here F is also the local representation of the projection of E onto $\dot{c}^{\perp}$. Let us also denote the real and imaginary parts by sub-indices r and i, respectively. Say, $\lambda = \lambda_r + i\lambda_i$. Using a Shur complement on $\operatorname{Im} E$, we have

$$\frac{\det \operatorname{Im} E}{\det \operatorname{Im} F} = \lambda_i - u_i^T \cdot (F_i)^{-1} \cdot u_i. \tag{12}$$

Since E is a solution to the Riccati equation (8), λ, u, and F satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda' &= -u^T \cdot u, \\ u' &= -F \cdot u, \\ F' + F^2 &= K, \end{aligned}$$

where K is a matrix representing the transverse curvature tensor K in Fermi coordinates. Taking imaginary parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i' &= -2u_r^T \cdot u_i, \\ u_i' &= -F_r \cdot u_i - F_i \cdot u_r, \\ F_i' &= -F_r \cdot F_i - F_i \cdot F_r. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the right hand side in equation (12) gives the result. $\qquad \square$

2 Equation for leading term at $(c(t), t)$

At the center of a Gaussian beam (that is, at $z = 0$), we have $e^{iP\theta} = 1$, and assuming that P^k grows much faster than $u_k(c(t), t)$, we have

$$u(c(t), t) \approx u_0(c(t), t).$$

9

It is therefore motivated to study the function

$$a(t) = u_0(c(t), t).$$

We already know that this function is determined by equation (3). In this section we show how a is related to both the Riccati equation and the Jacobi equation.

Theorem 2.1. *Function $a(t)$ satisfy*

$$\frac{d}{dt} a(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace} F(t) \, a(t). \tag{13}$$

Proof. The proof is based on the following identities:

$$\begin{align}
\theta_t(c(t), t) &= -1, \tag{14}\\
\nabla\theta(c(t), t) &= \dot{c}(t), \tag{15}\\
\theta_{tt}(c(t), t) &= G_{ij}\dot{c}^i\dot{c}^j, \tag{16}\\
\Delta\theta(c(t), t) &= G_{ij}g^{ij}. \tag{17}
\end{align}$$

Equations (14)-(15) follow by differentiating (5). Equation (16) follows since $\dot{p}_l = \Gamma_{jkl}\dot{c}^j\dot{c}^k$, which in turn follows from the geodesic equation and the identity $\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} = \Gamma_{ijk} + \Gamma_{jik}$. Equation (17) follows since

$$\Delta f = g^{ij}(\operatorname{Hess} f)_{ij},$$

where $\operatorname{Hess} f$ is the *Hessian* of a function f,

$$\operatorname{Hess} f = \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \, \partial x^j} - \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^k} \right) dx^i \otimes dx^j.$$

For any $u \in C^\infty(M \times I)$, we have

$$\begin{align}
\mathscr{L}_\theta(u)\,(c(t), t) &= -2\left(u_t + \dot{c}^i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} \right) + (\partial_t^2 - \Delta)\theta \cdot u\\
&= -2\frac{d}{dt} u(c(t), t) - C^{ij}G_{ij}u,
\end{align}$$

where all evaluations are at t or $(c(t), t)$. Since $\operatorname{trace} F = (\Pi E \Pi)^i_i = C^{ij}G_{ij}$, the result follows follows from equation (3). $\qquad\square$

Property $2.$ in Lemma 1.3 now shows that we may write a in terms of Y:

Theorem 2.2. *The unique solution to equation* (13) *is*

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{(\det Y(t))^{1/2}} \cdot a_0,$$

where $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is the initial value for a at $t = 0$.

3   Conservation of energy

For a complex solution $u(x,t)$ to the wave equation, its *energy density* is defined as [KKL01]

$$E_u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(|u_t|^2 + g(\nabla u, \overline{\nabla u})\right),$$

and the *energy* of u at time t is

$$E(t) = \int_M E_u(x,t)dV,$$

where $dV = \sqrt{\det g}\,dx$ is the Riemannian volume form of M. A key property of (exact) solutions to the wave equation is that (as long as a solution does not intersect a possible boundary) its energy is constant. Next we derive a similar result for Gaussian beams.

The energy density of u (see equation (1)) is given by

$$E_u(x,t) \;=\; e_\theta |u_0|^2 e^{-Pz^T \cdot \operatorname{Im} G \cdot z} P^2 \quad + \text{ lower order terms in } P,$$

where

$$e_\theta(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(|\theta_t|^2 + g(\nabla\theta, \overline{\nabla\theta})\right).$$

In the previous section, we solved $u_0(c(t),t)$. By neglecting x-dependency in u_0, let us consider the approximate solution

$$v(x,t) = \frac{1}{(\det Y(t))^{1/2}} e^{iP\theta(x,t)}\chi(x,t),$$

where $\chi(x,t)$ is a smooth cut-off function that at time t equals 1 near $c(t)$ (see e.g. [KKL01]), and

$$\theta(x,t) = \phi_0 + p_i(t)z^i + \frac{1}{2}H_{ij}z^i z^j.$$

The next theorem states that asymptotically the energy of the approximate solution v is constant. In other words, the leading term in equation (18) does not depend on time. The theorem also states that physically, the initial value $\det \operatorname{Im} E(0)$ describes the energy of the solution.

Theorem 3.1 (Conservation of energy). *Let $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ be equipped with an arbitrary Riemannian metric. Then for each $t \in I$, the energy for the approximate solution v is*

$$E_v(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^n}{\det \operatorname{Im} E_0}} \frac{1}{P^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{P^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}\right), \quad P \to \infty. \tag{18}$$

Here E_0 is the initial value for tensor E defined in equation (7).

Proof. The energy density of v is

$$E_v(x,t) = e^{-Pz^T \cdot \operatorname{Im} G \cdot z} \left(e_\theta(x,t) \frac{1}{|\det Y(t)|} \chi^2 P^2 + A(x,t)P + B(x,t) \right),$$

where A, B are smooth functions with compact support for each t. Integrating each term over $\mathbb{R}^n$ and using Lemma A.1 shows that the latter two terms contribute to $E_v(t)$ with an $O(1/P^{\frac{n}{2}-1})$-term. Since c is pathlength parameterized, we have $e_\theta(c(t), t) = 1$, and applying Lemma A.1 to the first term gives

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi^n \det g}{|\det Y|^2 \cdot \det \operatorname{Im} G}} \frac{1}{P^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{P^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}\right).$$

By equation (7) we have $\det \operatorname{Im} G = \det g \cdot \det \operatorname{Im} E$, and the result follows by Proposition 1.3.3 and Theorem 1.4. $\qquad\square$

A An asymptotic expansion

Lemma A.1. *Suppose $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is a rapidly decreasing function. If A is a real, positive definite symmetric matrix then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-\frac{P}{2} x^T \cdot A \cdot x} dx = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(\det A)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{f(0)}{P^{\frac{n}{2}}} + O\left(\frac{1}{P^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}\right)$$

when $P \to \infty$.

The below proof follows [Dui73] where a similar result is proven.

The space of *rapidly decreasing functions* $\mathscr{S}$ consists of smooth functions $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $x^\alpha \partial^\beta f$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for all multi-indices α, β. For such functions the *Fourier transform* $\mathscr{F} \colon \mathscr{S} \to \mathscr{S}$ and its inverse are

$$\mathscr{F}f(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx, \quad \mathscr{F}^{-1}g(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

Proof of Lemma A.1. If A is a real symmetric positive definite matrix, then

$$\mathscr{F}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^T \cdot A \cdot x}\right)(\xi) = \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{(\det A)^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \xi^T \cdot A^{-1} \cdot \xi}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \qquad (19)$$

The case $A = I$ is proven in [Sog93], and the general case follows by a coordinate change $y = S \cdot x$, where S is a symmetric positive square root of A. Let us also note that if $\Psi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial function satisfying $\Psi \geq 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-\frac{1}{P} \Psi(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx + O\left(\frac{1}{P}\right) \qquad (20)$$

12

as $P \to \infty$. This follows from the Taylor series,

$$e^x = 1 + \theta(x)x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the Lagrange remainder term θ satisfies $|\theta(x)| \leq 1$ for $x \leq 0$. The inequality follows since $\theta(x) = e^\xi$ for some $\xi \in [0, x]$. For functions f, g in $\mathscr{S}$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathscr{F}f \cdot g \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \cdot \mathscr{F}g \, dx$ [Sog93]. Using the above observations, the left hand side in the claim can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathscr{F}^{-1}f \cdot \mathscr{F}e^{-\frac{P}{2}x^T \cdot A \cdot x} dx$$
$$= B \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathscr{F}^{-1}f(\xi) \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2P}\xi^T \cdot A^{-1} \cdot \xi} d\xi, \qquad B = \frac{1}{(\det A)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{2\pi}{P}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}$$
$$= B \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathscr{F}^{-1}f \, d\xi + O\left(\frac{1}{P}\right)\right).$$

The result follows since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathscr{F}^{-1}f \, dx = (\mathscr{F}\mathscr{F}^{-1}f)(0) = f(0)$. $\qquad \square$

Acknowledgement

References

[BP73]   V.M. Babich and T.F. Pankratova, *The discontinuities of the green's function for a mixed problem for the wave equation with variable coefficients (in Russian)*, Problems of Mathematical physics **6** (1973), 9–27.

[BU81]   V.M. Babich and V.V. Ulin, *The complex space-time ray method and "quasiphotons" (in Russian)*, Zap. Nauchn. Semin. LOMI **117** (1981), 5–12.

[Dah]   M.F. Dahl, *A geometric property of the complex tensor Riccati equation for gaussian beams*, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, Accepted for publication 2006.

[Dah06] M. Dahl, *Propagation of electromagnetic Gaussian beams using Riemannian geometry*, Progress In Electromagnetics Research **60** (2006), 265–291.

[Dui73] J.J. Duistermaat, *Fourier integral operators*, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, 1973.

[EO76] J-H. Eschenburg and J.J. O'Sullivan, *Growth of Jacobi fields and divergence of geodesics*, Mathematiche Zeitschrift **150** (1976), 221–237.

[EO85] ———, *Jacobi tensors and Ricci curvature*, Mathematiche Annalen **252** (1985), 1–26.

[Esc77] J-H. Eschenburg, *Horospheres and the stable part of the geodesic flow*, Mathematiche Zeitschrift **153** (1977), 237–251.

[Fre99] P.J. Freitas, *On the action of the symplectic group on the Siegel upper half plane*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois, Chicago, 1999.

[JP00] M. Jerie and G.E. Prince, *A general raychaudhuri's equation for second-order differential equation*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **34** (2000), 226–241.

[JP02] ———, *Jacobi fields and linear connections for arbitrary second-order odes*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **43** (2002), 351–370.

[Kac02] A.P. Kachalov, *Nonstationary electromagnetic Gaussian beams in inhomogeneous anisotropic media*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences **111** (2002), no. 4, 3667–3677.

[Kac04] ———, *Gaussian beams for Maxwell Equations on a manifold*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences **122** (2004), no. 5, 3485–3501.

[Kac05] ———, *Gaussian beams, Hamilton-Jacobi equations, and Finsler geometry*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences **127** (2005), no. 6, 2374–2388, English translation of article in Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI), Vol. 297, 2003.

[KKL01] A. Kachalov, Y. Kurylev, and M. Lassas, *Inverse boundary spectral problems*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2001.

[KL04] A. Kachalov and M. Lassas, *Gaussian beams and inverse boundary spectral problems*, pp. 127–163, Springer, 2004.

[KP85] A.P. Kachalov and M.M. Popov, *Application of the Gaussian beam method to elasticity theory*, Geophysics Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society **81** (1985), 205–214.

[MM63] F.K. Manasse and C.W. Misner, *Fermi normal coordinates and some basic concepts in differential geometry*, Journal of mathematical physics **4** (1963), no. 6, 735–745.

[Pop02] M.M. Popov, *Ray theory and Gaussian beams method for geophysicists*, EDUFBA, 2002.

[Ral82] J. Ralston, *Gaussian beams and the propagation of singularities*, Studies in partial differential equations MAA Studies in Mathematics 23 (1982), 206–248.

[Sha94] V.A. Sharafutdinov, *Integral geometry of tensor fields*, VSP BV, The Netherlands, 1994.

[Sog93] C.D. Sogge, *Fourier integrals in classical analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[TC85] C.J. Thomson and C.H.Chapman, *An introduction to Maslov's asymptotic method*, Geophysical journal of the Royal astronomical society **83** (1985), 143–168.