# White-box cryptography with global device-binding from message-recoverable signatures and token-based obfuscation

Estuardo Alpirez Bock Xiphera LTD



Joint work with Shashank Agrawal, Yilei Chen and Gaven Watson while at Visa Research



### White-box crypto with device-binding





• The white-box program should only run correctly on one specific device (identified by  $\delta$ )



- The white-box program should only run correctly on one specific device (identified by  $\delta$ )
- Method for mitigating code-lifting attacks



- Definitional studies and feasibility results for white-box crypto
  - Construct white-box programs from established cryptographic primitives -> prove their security in the presence of a white-box adversary



Introduce the concept of global white-boxes



- Introduce the concept of *global white-boxes* 
  - securely bound to a number of devices.

• White-box programs compiled once, uploaded to the cloud. Can be downloaded and

- Introduce the concept of *global white-boxes* 
  - White-box programs compiled once, uploaded to the cloud. Can be downloaded and securely bound to a number of devices.
  - Motivated by the use case of white-box crypto for protecting mobile payment applications

- Introduce the concept of global white-boxes
  - White-box programs compiled once, uploaded to the cloud. Can be downloaded and securely bound to a number of devices.
  - Motivated by the use case of white-box crypto for protecting mobile payment applications
- Introduce security notions capturing security of such global white-boxes

- Introduce the concept of global white-boxes
  - White-box programs compiled once, uploaded to the cloud. Can be downloaded and securely bound to a number of devices.
  - Motivated by the use case of white-box crypto for protecting mobile payment applications
- Introduce security notions capturing security of such global white-boxes
  - Different flavours of security for different types of GWBs  $\bullet$

- Introduce the concept of *global white-boxes* 
  - White-box programs compiled once, uploaded to the cloud. Can be downloaded and securely bound to a number of devices.
  - Motivated by the use case of white-box crypto for protecting mobile payment applications
- Introduce security notions capturing security of such global white-boxes
  - Different flavours of security for different types of GWBs  $\bullet$
- Present feasibility results via token-based obfuscation and message-recoverable signatures

- Introduce the concept of *global white-boxes* 
  - White-box programs compiled once, uploaded to the cloud. Can be downloaded and securely bound to a number of devices.
  - Motivated by the use case of white-box crypto for protecting mobile payment applications
- Introduce security notions capturing security of such global white-boxes Different flavours of security for different types of GWBs  $\bullet$
- Present feasibility results via token-based obfuscation and message-recoverable signatures
  - Introduce puncturable message-recoverable signatures

- Introduce the concept of *global white-boxes* 
  - White-box programs compiled once, uploaded to the cloud. Can be downloaded and securely bound to a number of devices.
  - Motivated by the use case of white-box crypto for protecting mobile payment applications
- Introduce security notions capturing security of such global white-boxes Different flavours of security for different types of GWBs  $\bullet$
- Present feasibility results via token-based obfuscation and message-recoverable signatures
  - Introduce puncturable message-recoverable signatures
  - Show new ways of constructing white-box crypto via token-based obfuscation (which can be lacksquareconstructed from LWE)

Server/Provider



#### The device binding process is *personalised*



Server/Provider



#### • The device binding process is *personalised*



Server/Provider



#### • The device binding process is personalised



#### Server/Provider

WB  $\leftarrow$  \$Comp(k, hk)

#### • The device binding process is personalised













Question: can we build a white-box program, which is compiled once, placed on the cloud, and securely bound to a number of devices?

Server/Provider

 $gWB \leftarrow \$Comp(k,.)$ 



















### Idea: white-box on encoded inputs

Design the white-box s.t. it always computers on encoded inputs

HW(c)

 $\tilde{c} \leftarrow \mathsf{Encode}(e_s, c)$ return  $\tilde{c}$ 

- - $WB(\tilde{c})$  $c \leftarrow \mathsf{Decode}(d_s, \tilde{c})$  $m \leftarrow \mathsf{Dec}(k, c)$ return m

### Idea: white-box on encoded inputs

Design the white-box s.t. it always computers on encoded inputs

HW(c)

 $\tilde{c} \leftarrow \mathsf{Encode}(e_s, c)$ return  $\tilde{c}$ 

The encoding function will be available on *certified* devices

$$WB(\tilde{c})$$

$$c \leftarrow Decode(d_s, \tilde{c})$$

$$m \leftarrow Dec(k, c)$$
return m

### **Global white-box**

#### Server

 $gWB \longleftarrow \$Comp(k, d)$ 



### **Global white-box**






















## **Global white-boxes via TBO**

- We can directly build such gWB via Token-based obfuscation [3]
  - $\mathsf{TBO.Obf}(C) \ \longrightarrow \mathsf{MSK}, O[C]$
  - TBO.InpGen(MSK, x) $\$ \longrightarrow \tilde{x}$
- -> Just place the token-generation algorithm in the secure hardware
- [3] Goldwasser et al.: Reusable garbled circuits and succinct functional encryption. STOC 2013



O[C] (InpGen(MSK, m)) = C(m) $O[C](\tilde{m}) = C(m)$ 

We need to assume that each secure hardware can load a token-generation key

We need to assume that each secure hardware can load a token-generation key

Note: this assumption is only needed for global white-boxes, not for individual ones in the style of previous works [1,2]

key

Note: this assumption is only needed for global white-boxes, not for individual ones in the style of previous works [1,2]

not iO, and can obfuscate any function

- We need to assume that each secure hardware can load a token-generation

  - In terms of feasibility, our construction improves previous ones as it is built from LWE,

key

Note: this assumption is only needed for global white-boxes, not for individual ones in the style of previous works [1,2]

not iO, and can obfuscate any function

<u>All devices store the same encoding key</u>

- We need to assume that each secure hardware can load a token-generation

  - In terms of feasibility, our construction improves previous ones as it is built from LWE,

key

Note: this assumption is only needed for global white-boxes, not for individual ones in the style of previous works [1,2]

not iO, and can obfuscate any function

<u>All devices store the same encoding key</u>

- We need to assume that each secure hardware can load a token-generation

  - In terms of feasibility, our construction improves previous ones as it is built from LWE,

- Extract d from one HW -> run <u>any</u> white-box you want

key

Note: this assumption is only needed for global white-boxes, not for individual ones in the style of previous works [1,2]

not iO, and can obfuscate any function

<u>All devices store the same encoding key</u>

- We need to assume that each secure hardware can load a token-generation

  - In terms of feasibility, our construction improves previous ones as it is built from LWE,

- Extract d from one HW -> run <u>any</u> white-box you want
  - Can we provide an alternative?



KGen\$  $\longrightarrow$  sk, pk Sign(sk, m)\$  $\longrightarrow \tilde{m}$ 

KGen\$  $\longrightarrow$  sk, pk Sign(sk, m)\$  $\longrightarrow \tilde{m}$ Rcvr(pk,  $\tilde{m}$ )  $\longrightarrow m \mid \bot$ 

KGen\$  $\longrightarrow$  sk, pk Sign(sk, m)\$  $\longrightarrow \tilde{m}$ Rcvr(pk,  $\tilde{m}$ )  $\longrightarrow m \mid \bot$ 

 $\mathsf{Rcvr}(pk, \mathsf{Sign}(sk, m)) \longrightarrow m$ 

KGen $\$ \longrightarrow sk, pk$ Sign(*sk*, *m*)\$  $\longrightarrow \tilde{m}$  $\mathsf{Rcvr}(pk, \tilde{m}) \longrightarrow m \mid \bot$ 

Use secret key for encoding and pk for decoding

 $\mathsf{Rcvr}(pk, \mathsf{Sign}(sk, m)) \longrightarrow m$ 

#### Server

 $gWB \leftarrow \$Comp(k, pk_s)$ 


















































### Strong global white-boxes



### Strong global white-boxes

Design the white-box s.t. it always computers on encoded inputs

But additionally -> require the decoding key to be an *input* to the white-box

HW(c) $Server(d_h)$  $\tilde{d_h} \leftarrow \mathsf{Encode}(e_s, d_h) \qquad \tilde{c} \leftarrow \mathsf{Encode}(e_h, c)$ return  $\tilde{c}$ return  $d_h$ 

The server encodes the personal encoding key The white-box embeds a key for decoding the personal key Each user can use their own key for encoding

$$\mathsf{WB}(\widetilde{d_h},\widetilde{c})$$

- $d_h \leftarrow \mathsf{Decode}(d_s, \tilde{d_h})$
- $c \leftarrow \mathsf{Decode}(d_h, \tilde{c})$

 $m \leftarrow \mathsf{Dec}(k, c)$ 

return m

### Strong global white-boxes via message-recoverable signatures

Construct via puncturable primitives and indistinguishability obfuscation

- -> construct *puncturable* MRS
- -> obfuscate the circuit via iO
- -> prove security via Sahai-Waters [5]

[5] Sahai and Waters: How to use indistinguishability obfuscation. STOC 2014

Positives for constructions of strong global white-boxes

Positives for constructions of standard global white-boxes



Positives for constructions of strong global white-boxes Assumptions on the hw are lighter, no need of key loading

Positives for constructions of standard global white-boxes



Positives for constructions of strong global white-boxes Assumptions on the hw are lighter, no need of key loading No need to share secrets between provider and user

Positives for constructions of standard global white-boxes



- Positives for constructions of strong global white-boxes Assumptions on the hw are lighter, no need of key loading No need to share secrets between provider and user
  - Each user has their own encoding key
- Positives for constructions of standard global white-boxes



- Positives for constructions of strong global white-boxes Assumptions on the hw are lighter, no need of key loading No need to share secrets between provider and user
  - Each user has their own encoding key
- Positives for constructions of standard global white-boxes Allows us to obfuscate <u>any</u> program, including AES



- Positives for constructions of strong global white-boxes Assumptions on the hw are lighter, no need of key loading No need to share secrets between provider and user Each user has their own encoding key
- Positives for constructions of standard global white-boxes
  - Allows us to obfuscate <u>any</u> program, including AES
  - TBO can be achieved from more accepted assumptions than iO (LWE, garbled circuits)



- Positives for constructions of strong global white-boxes Assumptions on the hw are lighter, no need of key loading No need to share secrets between provider and user Each user has their own encoding key
- Positives for constructions of standard global white-boxes
  - Allows us to obfuscate <u>any</u> program, including AES
  - TBO can be achieved from more accepted assumptions than iO (LWE, garbled circuits)
  - Faster, smaller white-boxes due to obfuscation means





# Provider $gWB \leftarrow \$Comp(k,.)$

Cosade 2023, Garching bei München

eprint: <u>https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/767</u>







eprint: <u>https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/767</u>



### eprint: https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/767



Cosade 2023, Garching bei München





eprint: <a href="https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/767">https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/767</a>





eprint: <a href="https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/767">https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/767</a>





eprint: https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/767





eprint: https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/767





eprint: https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/767



## Provider $gWB \leftarrow \$Comp(k,.)$ gWB

Cosade 2023, Garching bei München

## Danke!



• Introduce the concept of global white-boxes

### Introduce the concept of global white-boxes • Aligning with commercial use of white-box crypto for protecting mobile

payment applications

- Introduce the concept of global white-boxes
  - Aligning with commercial use of white-box crypto for protecting mobile payment applications
- Formally define the security of different flavours of global white-boxes

- Introduce the concept of global white-boxes
  - Aligning with commercial use of white-box crypto for protecting mobile payment applications
- Formally define the security of different flavours of global white-boxes
- Present provable secure constructions

### *hite-boxes* box crypto for protecting mobile

rent flavours of global white-boxes

- Introduce the concept of global white-boxes
  - Aligning with commercial use of white-box crypto for protecting mobile payment applications
- Formally define the security of different flavours of global white-boxes
- Present provable secure constructions
  - Introduce puncturable message-recoverable signatures

- Introduce the concept of global white-boxes
  - Aligning with commercial use of white-box crypto for protecting mobile payment applications
- Formally define the security of different flavours of global white-boxes
- Present provable secure constructions
  - Introduce puncturable message-recoverable signatures
  - New feasibility results for white-box crypto via LWE. No need for iO for constructing secure white-box crypto!

### *hite-boxes* box crypto for protecting mobile

## rent flavours of global white-boxes

- Introduce the concept of global white-boxes
  - Aligning with commercial use of white-box crypto for protecting mobile payment applications
- Formally define the security of different flavours of global white-boxes
- Present provable secure constructions
  - Introduce puncturable message-recoverable signatures
  - New feasibility results for white-box crypto via LWE. No need for iO for constructing secure white-box crypto!
- More details and further results on the extended version of our paper: eprint/2021



- Definitional studies and feasibility results for white-box cryptography
  - Construct white-box programs from established cryptographic primitives —> prove their security in the presence of a white-box adversary

$Exp^{priv}_{\mathcal{A}}(1^n)$	$\mathcal{O}_{Enroll}(pk)$	$\mathcal{O}_{Chall}(x)$
$b \leftarrow \$ \{0, 1\}$	$\mathbf{if} \ SK[pk] \neq \bot \ \mathbf{and} \ pk \notin \mathcal{P}$	$r \leftarrow \mathcal{X}$
$F \leftarrow \mathfrak{A}(1^n)$	$cert \leftarrow sEnroll(rk, pk)$	if $b = 1$
$\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P} \leftarrow \emptyset$	$E[pk] \leftarrow 1$	$c \leftarrow \texttt{sEncrypt}(ek, x)$
$(rk,ek,WB) \leftarrow SComp(F)$	return <b>cert</b>	else
$b^* \leftarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{O}}(F, WB)$ return $(b^* = b)$ $\frac{\mathcal{O}_{lnit}()}{(sk, pk) \leftarrow \mathcal{slnit}(1^n)}$ $SK[pk] \leftarrow sk$ return $pk$	$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{Encrypt}(x)}{c \leftarrow \$ Encrypt(ek, x)}$ return c $\mathcal{O}_{Encode}(c, pk)$	$c \leftarrow \texttt{SEncrypt}(ek, r)$ $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C} \cup c$ return $c$
	$\mathbf{if} \ c \notin \mathcal{C} \ \mathbf{or} \ \bot \leftarrow E[pk] \\ \mathbf{sk} \leftarrow SK[pk] \\ \tilde{c} \leftarrow \$ Encode(sk, c) \\ \mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P} \cup pk \\ \mathrm{return} \ \tilde{c}$	

**Fig. 2:** Privacy  $\mathsf{Exp}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathsf{priv}}(1^n)$  security game.

$\varGamma[pk_2,ek,F](cert,\widetilde{c},y)$	Comp(F)	
$cert    pk_1 \gets cert$	1:	$sk_2,p$
$\tilde{c}    c \leftarrow \tilde{c}$	2:	$rk \leftarrow$
$if G(pk_1) \neq G(Rcvr(pk_2, cert))$	3:	ek ←s
$return \perp$	4:	WB +
else if $G(c) \neq G(Rcvr(pk_1, \tilde{c}))$	5:	return
$return \perp$		
else		
$t, r \leftarrow c$		
$v \leftarrow \texttt{PPRF}(ek, r)$		
$x \leftarrow t \oplus v$		

return 
$$F(x, y)$$

else return  $\perp$ 

$Enroll(rk,(pk_1))$		Encode(sk	
1:	$cert \gets sMRS.Sig(rk,pk_1)$	1:	$\tilde{c} \leftarrow N$
2:	$cert \gets cert    pk_1$	2:	$\tilde{c} \leftarrow \tilde{c} $
3:	return cert	3:	return

**Theorem 2.** Let iO be a an indistinguishability obfuscator and let MRS be a puncturable MRS scheme. Let PRG be a pseudorandom generator, and PPRF a secure puncturable pseudorandom function. Then Construction 5 is a privacy-secure sGW scheme.



# Encrypt(ek, (x)) $1: r \leftarrow \$ \{0, 1\}^n$ $2: v \leftarrow PPRF(ek, r)$ $3: t \leftarrow x \oplus v$ $4: c \leftarrow (t, r)$ 5: return c

 $\frac{\mathsf{lk}_{1},c)}{\mathsf{MRS.Sig}(\mathsf{sk}_{1},c)} \qquad \frac{\mathsf{lnit}(1^{\lambda})}{1: \quad \mathsf{sk},\mathsf{pk} \leftarrow \mathsf{SMRS.KGen}(1^{\lambda})} \\ ||c \qquad 2: \quad return \ (\mathsf{sk}_{1},\mathsf{pk}_{1}) \\ n \ \tilde{c} \end{cases}$