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## 1 Introduction

1.1. Let $A$ be a subset of the euclidean $n$-space $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and let $L \geq 1$. A map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is L-bilipschitz if

$$
|x-y| / L \leq|f x-f y| \leq L|x-y|
$$

for all $x, y \in A$.
In general, an $L$-bilipschitz map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ cannot be extended to a bilipschitz map $F: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$, not even to a homeomorphism, but this is often possible in the case the bilipschitz constant $L$ is close to 1 .
1.2. Let $\Phi$ be the set of increasing homeomorphisms $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$. If $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $\delta>0$, we say that a set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ has the $(\varphi, \delta)$-bilipschitz extension property, $(\varphi, \delta)$-BLEP for short, if for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \delta$, every $(1+\varepsilon)$ bilipschitz map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ has an extension to a $(1+\varphi(\varepsilon))$-bilipschitz map $F: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$. We say that a set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ belongs to the class $\varphi$-BLEP if it has the $(\varphi, \delta)$-BLEP for some $\delta>0$. In the case $\varphi(\varepsilon)=C \varepsilon$ we say that $A$ has the $(C, \delta)$-linear BLEP.

It was shown in [ATV2] that a set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ has $(C, \delta)$-linear BLEP if it satisfies a geometric condition called sturdiness; see 2.2 for the definition. In this article we prove that the converse is true in the 2-dimensional case. More precisely, we obtain the following theorem.
1.3. Theorem. Let $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ contain at least three points. Then the following assertions are quantitatively equivalent:
(1) $A$ is $c$-sturdy.
(2) A has the $(C, \delta)$-linear BLEP.

Here quantitative equivalence means that $C$ and $\delta$ depend only on $c$, and conversely, $c=c(C, \delta)$.

The proof is given in section 4.3. Note that a set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ consisting of at most two points has the 1-linear BLEP but it is sturdy only in the cases $n=1$ or $\# A=1$.

For extension problems in higher dimensions and with more general bounds for the bilipschitz constant, see [Vä] and the references in [ATV2].
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## 2 Basic concepts

Notation follows closely our main reference [ATV2] and will not be repeated here except for the abbreviation $A(a, r)=A \cap \bar{B}(a, r)$.

However, we recall three geometric properties of sets that are needed in our main result.
2.1. Thickness. For each unit vector $e \in S^{n-1}$ we define the projection $\pi_{e}: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $\pi_{e} x=x \cdot e$. Let $A \neq \emptyset$ be a bounded set in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. The thickness of $A$ is the number

$$
\theta(A)=\inf \left\{d\left(\pi_{e} A\right): e \in S^{n-1}\right\}
$$

Alternatively, $\theta(A)$ is the infimum of all $t>0$ such that $A$ lies between two parallel hyperplanes $F, F^{\prime}$ with $d\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)=t$. We have always $0 \leq \theta(A) \leq$ $d(A)$.
2.2. Sturdiness. Let $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$. For $a \in A$ we set $s(a)=s_{A}(a)=d(a, A \backslash$ $\{a\})$. Then $s(a)>0$ if and only if $a$ is isolated in $A$.

Let $c \geq 1$. We say that the set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is $c$-sturdy if
(1) $\theta(A(a, r)) \geq 2 r / c$ whenever $a \in A, r \geq c s(a), A \not \subset B(a, r)$,
(2) $\theta(A) \geq d(A) / c$.

If $A$ is unbounded, we omit (2), and the condition $A \not \subset B(a, r)$ of (1) is unnecessary.
2.3. Relative connectivity [TV, 4.6]. Let $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $M \geq 1$. A sequence $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N-1}, x_{N}\right)$ is proper if $x_{j-1} \neq x_{j}$ for all $j$. A sequence $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N-1}, x_{N}\right)$ in $A$ is $M$-relative in $A$ if it is proper and

$$
\left|x_{j-1}-x_{j}\right| / M \leq\left|x_{j}-x_{j+1}\right| \leq M\left|x_{j-1}-x_{j}\right|
$$

for all $j$. Such a sequence is said to join the pairs $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{N-1}, x_{N}\right)$. The set $A$ is $M$-relatively connected (abbr. RC) if every two proper pairs in $A$ can be joined by an $M$-relative sequence in $A$.

The simplest examples of relatively connected sets are the connected ones, but also many totally disconnected sets like the Cantor middle-third set satisfy the RC-condition.
2.4. Lemma. Let $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be a closed $c$-sturdy set. Then $A$ is $c_{1}-R C$ for every $c_{1}>c$.

Proof. Let $a \in A$ and $r>0$. Let $c_{1}>c$ and assume that $A \cap \bar{B}(a, r) \neq$ $\{a\}$ and $A \not \subset \bar{B}(a, r)$. If $R(a, r)=\left\{x \in A\left|r / c_{1} \leq|x-a| \leq r\right\}=\emptyset\right.$, then $\theta(A(a, r)) \leq \theta\left(\bar{B}\left(a, r / c_{1}\right)\right) \leq 2 r / c_{1}<2 r / c$, a contradiction with the $c$-sturdiness of $A$. It follows that, under the above assumptions, $R(a, r) \neq \emptyset$, and by [TV, 4.11], this implies the claim.
2.5. Linear isometric approximation property. Let $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$. We say that $A$ has the $(C, \delta)$-linear isometric approximation property (IAP) if given $0<\varepsilon \leq \delta$, a $(1+\varepsilon)$-bilipschitz map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$, a point $a \in A$ and $r>0$, there is an isometry $T=T_{a, r}: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
|T x-f(x)| \leq C \varepsilon r
$$

for all $x \in A \cap \bar{B}(a, r)$.
2.6. Theorem. Suppose that a set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ has the $(C, \delta)$-linear $B L E P$. Then it has the $\left(C_{1}, \delta\right)$-linear IAP with $C_{1}=C_{1}(C, n)$.

Proof. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be $(1+\varepsilon)$-bilipschitz with $0<\varepsilon \leq \delta$. Suppose that $a \in A$ and $r>0$. Since $A$ has the $(C, \delta)$-linear BLEP, there is a $(1+C \varepsilon)$ bilipschitz extension $F: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ of $f$. Let $F_{a, r}=F \mid \bar{B}(a, r)$. Then $F_{a, r}$ is a $2 C \varepsilon r$-nearisometry and since $\theta(\bar{B}(a, r))=d(\bar{B}(a, r))$, [ATV1, 3.3] gives an isometry $T=T_{a, r}: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\left\|T-F_{a, r}\right\|_{\bar{B}(a, r)} \leq 2 c_{n} C \varepsilon r .
$$

In particular, we have $|T x-f(x)| \leq 2 C c_{n} \varepsilon r$ for every $x \in A(a, r)$, and the proof is complete with $C_{1}=2 c_{n} C$.

## 3 Triangle maps

Since we work with the planar case, we use complex numbers whenever it simplifies notation.
3.1. The basic triangle map $f:\{-1,0,1\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ is defined by

$$
f( \pm 1)= \pm 1 \text { and } f(0)=i \sqrt{\varepsilon}
$$

This map is $(1+\varepsilon)$-bilipschitz, but any approximation of $f$ by an isometry $T$ has an error at least $\sqrt{\varepsilon} / 2$. This is seen by minimizing the distance from the image of $f$ to the straight line $T \mathbf{R}$. The following elementary lemma generalizes this idea.
3.2. Lemma. Let $0 \leq \delta \leq \delta^{\prime} \leq 1 / 4$, let $A=\{-1, a, 1\} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ be such that $\theta(A)=\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 2 \delta$, and let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ satisfy $f( \pm 1)= \pm 1$ and $\theta(f A)=\left|f(a)_{2}\right| \geq 2 \delta^{\prime}$. If the disks $\bar{B}\left( \pm 1, \delta^{\prime}-\delta\right)$ and $\bar{B}\left(f(a), \delta^{\prime}+\delta\right)$ are disjoint, then every isometry $T: \mathbf{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ satisfies $\|T-f\|_{A} \geq \delta^{\prime}-\delta$.

Proof. We emphasize that the conditions $\theta(A)=\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\theta(f A)=\left|f(a)_{2}\right|$ belong to the assumpions. In particular, they imply that $-1<a_{1}<1$ and $-1<f(a)_{1}<1$ so that the situation is not too far from the basic map above.

Suppose that $T$ is an isometry with $\|T-f\|_{A}<\delta^{\prime}-\delta$ and let $L=T \mathbf{R}$. Writing $a^{\prime}=\left(a_{1}, 0\right)$, we have

$$
\left|T a^{\prime}-T a\right|=\left|a^{\prime}-a\right|=\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 2 \delta
$$

If $L$ does not meet the disk $B\left(f(a), \delta^{\prime}+\delta\right)$, then

$$
|T a-f(a)| \geq\left|T a^{\prime}-f(a)\right|-\left|T a^{\prime}-T a\right| \geq\left(\delta^{\prime}+\delta\right)-2 \delta=\delta^{\prime}-\delta
$$

a contradiction.

It follows that the line $L$ meets all three disks $\bar{B}\left( \pm 1, \delta^{\prime}-\delta\right)$ and $B\left(f(a), \delta^{\prime}+\right.$ $\delta)$. By assumption, these disks are disjoint, and by elementary geometry we get

$$
\left(\delta^{\prime}-\delta\right)+\left(\delta^{\prime}+\delta\right)>\left|f(a)_{2}\right|=\theta(f A) \geq 2 \delta^{\prime}
$$

which leads to a contradiction. The result follows from this.
Later on we will need maps that are defined on a narrow neighbourhood of a line but that still possess the essential features of the basic triangle map: they should be $(1+c \varepsilon)$-bilipschitz but their approximation by isometries should produce an error of the order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. The following lemmas show how to construct these maps.
3.3. Lemma. Let $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1 / 10$ and let $a, b \in[0,1]$ be such that $2 \varepsilon \leq$ $a \leq b / 2$. Then there is $a C^{2}$ function $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfying
(i) $f(x)=0$ for $x \leq 0$ and $x \geq b$;
(ii) $f(a)=\varepsilon^{3 / 2}$;
(iii) $f$ is $2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}$-Lipschitz;
(iv) the curvature $K$ of the graph $y=f(x)$ satisfies $K \leq 1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

Proof. Let $0<o<a$ and consider first the interval $[o, a]$. One should think that $o \approx 0$, but we need $o>0$ for technical reasons. Let $r=\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. The graph $y=f(x)$ consists of two circular arcs and a line segment. The construction is based on the diagram below, where also the notation is indicated.


Part of the graph $y=f(x)$ with $h=\varepsilon \sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

By elementary geometry the variables $l$ and $\alpha$ must satisfy

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 r \sin \alpha+l \cos \alpha=a-o \\
2 r(1-\cos \alpha)+l \sin \alpha=\varepsilon^{3 / 2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and this system has the exact solution

$$
l=\sqrt{(a-o)^{2}-4 \varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon^{3}}, \alpha=\arcsin \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}(2(a-o)+l \varepsilon-2 l) /\left(l^{2}+4 \varepsilon\right)\right)
$$

The Lipschitz condition requires that $\tan \alpha \leq 2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. It is geometrically obvious that $\alpha$ is decreasing in $a$, and thus $\alpha$ attains its maximum at $a=2 \varepsilon$.

By substituting this value and choosing o small enough, we obtain $\alpha \leq$ $\arcsin \sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq \arctan (2 \sqrt{\varepsilon})$.

A similar construction is used on the interval $[a, b]$, and outside $[o, b-o]$ we define $f(x)=0$. This function satisfies conditions (i)-(iv), but it is only piecewise $C^{2}$. However, at the six points where a circular arc is joined either to another arc or to a line segment, we use standard smoothing by clothoids (aka Cornu spirals), in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of each joint, in such a way that the Lipschitz constant does not change, the curvature stays between the appropriate bounds, and the support of $f$ does not expand outside $[0, b]$; see [Ad, p. 636] for the basic construction.

Using the following lemma we can construct tubular neighbourhood extensions for mappings of the type $x \mapsto(x, f(x))$.
3.4. Lemma. Let $0<\varepsilon<1 / 10$, let $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ be an interval and let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$-Lipschitz and $C^{2}$. Define $F: I \times[-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ by setting

$$
F(x, y)=x+i f(x)+y \mathbf{n}(x),
$$

where $\mathbf{n}(x)$ is the upper unit normal to the graph $y=f(x)$. Let $K$ be the maximal curvature of $y=f(x)$. If $K \delta \leq \varepsilon$, then $F$ is $(1+4 \varepsilon)$-bilipschitz. Moreover, if $f(x)=0$ except for a subinterval of length $l$, then $|F(z)-z| \leq$ $\sqrt{\varepsilon} l+\delta$ for every $z \in I \times[-\delta, \delta]$.

Proof. Let $z_{i}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in I \times[-\delta, \delta], i=1,2$. Note that

$$
|y| \leq \delta, \quad\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} \text { and } \frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|}{\left(1+f^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \leq K
$$

for all $(x, y)$.
In complex form we have

$$
\mathbf{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}(x)^{2}}}\left(-f^{\prime}(x)+i\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F\left(z_{1}\right)-F\left(z_{2}\right)\right|^{2}= & \left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{y_{1} f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}}}-\frac{y_{2} f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)^{2}}}\right|^{2} \\
& \left|f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{y_{1}}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}}}-\frac{y_{2}}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)^{2}}}\right|^{2} \\
& -2\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(\frac{y_{1} f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}}}-\frac{y_{2} f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)^{2}}}\right) \\
& +2\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\frac{y_{1}}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}}}-\frac{y_{2}}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)^{2}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Writing the right hand side above as $\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3}+t_{4}$, where $t_{4}$ contains the last two terms, we have to estimate each term. Since $F$ is defined in a convex set, we can use the mean value theorem.
(i) To estimate $t_{1}$, let $g(x, y)=y f^{\prime}(x) / \sqrt{1+f^{\prime}(x)^{2}}$. Then

$$
|\nabla g|^{2}=\frac{y^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2}}{\left(1+f^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3}}+\frac{f^{\prime}(x)^{2}}{1+f^{\prime}(x)^{2}} \leq \delta^{2} K^{2}+\varepsilon \leq 2 \varepsilon
$$

which implies that $t_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{2}$.
(ii) The upper bound $t_{2} \leq \varepsilon\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}$ follows from the Lipschitz condition.
(iii) We need both upper and lower bounds for $t_{3}$. Applying the mean value theorem for $h(x, y)=y / \sqrt{1+f^{\prime}(x)^{2}}$, we get

$$
t_{3}=\left(-\frac{f^{\prime}(u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) v}{\left(1+f^{\prime}(u)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}(u)^{2}}}\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

where $(u, v)$ lies on the segment $\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]$. Using the estimate

$$
2 \varepsilon^{3 / 2}\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right| \leq 2 \varepsilon\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right| \leq \varepsilon\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{2}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{3} & \leq \varepsilon K^{2} \delta^{2}\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{1+f^{\prime}(u)^{2}}\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{2}+2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} K \delta\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right| \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{3}\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq 2 \varepsilon\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+(1+\varepsilon)\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the opposite direction, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{3} & \geq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{2}-2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} K \delta\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right| \\
& \geq(1-2 \varepsilon)\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{2}-\varepsilon\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) Rearranging and using the Taylor formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{4}= & \frac{2 y_{1}}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}}}\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{2 y_{2}}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)^{2}}}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(\frac{y_{1} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}}}-\frac{y_{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)^{2}}}\right)\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. Since $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)\right| \leq K(1+\varepsilon)^{3 / 2}$, this implies that

$$
\left|t_{4}\right| \leq 2 K \delta(1+\varepsilon)^{3 / 2}\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2} \leq 3 \varepsilon\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2} .
$$

Using these estimates we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F\left(z_{1}\right)-F\left(z_{2}\right)\right|^{2} \leq & \left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+2 \varepsilon\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+2 \varepsilon\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2} \\
& +2 \varepsilon\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+(1+\varepsilon)\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{2}+3 \varepsilon\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2} \\
= & (1+8 \varepsilon)\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+(1+3 \varepsilon)\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\left|F\left(z_{1}\right)-F\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \leq \sqrt{1+8 \varepsilon}\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right| \leq(1+4 \varepsilon)\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|$.
For the lower bound, we discard irrelevant positive terms and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F\left(z_{1}\right)-F\left(z_{2}\right)\right|^{2} & \geq\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+t_{3}-\left|t_{4}\right| \\
& \geq(1-4 \varepsilon)\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}+(1-2 \varepsilon)\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{2} \\
& \geq(1-4 \varepsilon)\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\left|F\left(z_{1}\right)-F\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \geq \sqrt{1-4 \varepsilon}\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right| \geq\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right| /(1+4 \varepsilon)$.
The proof for the bilipschitz condition is now complete, and the last inequality is obvious.
3.5. Lemma. Let $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and let $\varepsilon \leq 1 / 10$. Suppose that $a \in A, r>0$ and let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be $(1+\varepsilon)$-bilipschitz such that $|f(z)-z| \leq \varepsilon r$ whenever $|z-a| \leq r / 2$ and $f(z)=z$ for $|z-a| \geq r / 2$. Define $F: A \cup\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash B(a, r)\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ by setting

$$
F(z)= \begin{cases}f(z) & \text { for } z \in A \\ z & \text { for }|z-a| \geq r\end{cases}
$$

Then $F$ is $(1+3 \varepsilon)$-bilipschitz.
Proof. Let $z_{1} \in A \cap B(a, r / 2)$ and $\left|z_{2}-a\right| \geq r$. Then $\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right| \geq r / 2$, which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F\left(z_{1}\right)-F\left(z_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|f\left(z_{1}\right)-z_{2}\right| \leq\left|f\left(z_{1}\right)-z_{1}\right|+\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right| \leq \varepsilon r+\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right| \\
& \leq(1+2 \varepsilon)\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the opposite direction, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F\left(z_{1}\right)-F\left(z_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|f\left(z_{1}\right)-z_{2}\right| \geq\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|-\left|f\left(z_{1}\right)-z_{1}\right| \geq\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|-\varepsilon r \\
& \geq(1-2 \varepsilon)\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right| \geq\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right| /(1+3 \varepsilon),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\varepsilon \leq 1 / 10$.
All other cases for $z_{1}, z_{2}$ are trivial, and the proof is complete.
Finally, we need an estimate on the distortion of angles under bilipschitz maps.
3.6. Lemma. Let $1<t \leq 2$ and let $f:\{0,1, t\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be $(1+\varepsilon)$-bilipschitz with $\varepsilon \leq 1 / 100$. Let $A=f(0), B=f(1), C=f(t)$ and $\alpha=\angle B A C$. Then $\alpha \leq 2.1 \sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

Proof. Consider the triangle with vertices $A, B, C$. Elementary geometrical considerations show that $\alpha$ is maximal in the case $A B=1+\varepsilon$, $B C=(t-1)(1+\varepsilon)$, and $A C=t /(1+\varepsilon)$. Using trigonometry and Taylor approximation we obtain

$$
\sin \alpha \leq 2 \sqrt{(t-1) \varepsilon} \leq 2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq 0.2
$$

Furthermore, for these values we have $\alpha \leq 1.01 \sin \alpha \leq 2.1 \sqrt{\varepsilon}$, and the proof is complete.

## 4 Main proofs

We use triangle maps to prove the following theorem, which constitutes the first part of our main result.
4.1. Theorem. Let $\lambda \geq 1, c>(30 \lambda)^{8}$, and let $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ be $\lambda$-relatively connected but not c-sturdy. Then for $1 / \sqrt{c} \leq \varepsilon \leq 1 /(30 \lambda)^{4}$ there is a $(1+$ 48ع)-BL map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ with the following property: there are $a \in A$ and $r>0$ such that

$$
\|T-f\|_{A(a, r)} \geq\left(r / 6000 \lambda^{3}\right) \sqrt{\varepsilon}
$$

for all isometries $T: \mathbf{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$.
Proof. Since $A$ is not $\left(1 / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$-sturdy, there are two possibilities.
Case 1: Condition 2.2(1) is not satisfied. In this case there are $a \in A$ and $r>0$ such that $A \not \subset B(a, r), s(a) \leq \varepsilon^{2} r$ and $\theta(A(a, r)) \leq 2 \varepsilon^{2} r$. By scaling, we may assume that $a=0, r=1$, and then $A \not \subset B(1)=B(0,1), s(0) \leq \varepsilon^{2}$, $\theta(A(0,1)) \leq 2 \varepsilon^{2}$. Furthermore, we may assume that $A(0,1)$ is contained in the $2 \varepsilon^{2}$-neighbourhood of $\mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$.

We apply [TV, 4.11(2)] with $c=4 \lambda$ to find points $u, v \in A$ as follows. Since $s(0) \leq \varepsilon^{2}<\varepsilon$, the set $A(0,2 \varepsilon)$ contains at least two points. Also $A \not \subset B(1)$, and thus there is a point $u \in A \cap B(8 \lambda \varepsilon) \backslash B(2 \varepsilon)$. Similarly, since $80 \lambda^{2} \varepsilon \leq 1$, there is $v \in A \cap B\left(80 \lambda^{2} \varepsilon\right) \backslash B(20 \lambda \varepsilon)$. There are six possibilities for the order of the points $0, u_{1}, v_{1}$ and of these only two are essentially different; we consider the case where $0<u_{1}<v_{1}<1$, the other cases being similar. However, the constants appearing below apply for all cases and may thus seem unnecessarily large for this special case.

We construct a bilipschitz map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ as follows:

- Apply Lemma 3.3 with substitutions $0 \mapsto 0, a \mapsto u_{1}, b \mapsto v_{1}$. This gives a $2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}$-Lipschitz map $f_{1}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $f_{1}(x)=0$ if $x \notin\left[0, v_{1}\right]$, $f_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=\varepsilon^{3 / 2}$, and $K \leq 1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon}$.
- Apply Lemma 3.4 with $\varepsilon \mapsto 4 \varepsilon, \delta \mapsto 2 \varepsilon^{2}, I \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ and $f \mapsto f_{1}$. Then $K \delta \leq 2 \varepsilon^{3 / 2} \leq 4 \varepsilon$, and the resulting map $F: \mathbf{R} \times[-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ is $(1+16 \varepsilon)$-BL. Also, we have $l \leq 160 \lambda^{2} \varepsilon$ and therefore

$$
|F(z)-z| \leq 160 \lambda^{2} \varepsilon^{3 / 2}+2 \varepsilon^{2}<\varepsilon
$$

for all $z$.

- We extend the definition of $F$ outside $B(1)$ by $F(z)=z$. Substitute $\varepsilon \mapsto 16 \varepsilon$ and $r=1 / 2$ in Lemma 3.5. Since $160 \lambda^{2} \varepsilon \leq r / 2$, we have $|F(z)-z| \leq \varepsilon \leq 16 \varepsilon r$ for $|z| \leq r / 2$ and $F(z)=z$ for $|z| \geq r / 2$. It follows that $F$ is $(1+48 \varepsilon)$-BL.
- The domain of definition for $F$ contains the set $A$ and by restriction we get the required $(1+48 \varepsilon)$-BL map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$.

It remains to show that $f$ cannot be well approximated by isometries. For this it suffices to consider the restriction $f \mid\{0, u, v\}$ in the disk $B=\bar{B}\left(0, r_{1}\right)$, where $r_{1}=160 \lambda^{2} \varepsilon$. Let $A^{\prime}=\{0, u, v\}$ and let $h: \mathbf{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ be a similarity such that $h(0)=-1, h(v)=1$ and let $g=h f h^{-1}: h A^{\prime} \rightarrow h f A^{\prime}$. Since $f(0)=0, f(v)=v$, Lemma 3.2 can be applied to $g$. The similarity ratio $t$ of $h$ satisfies $1 / 80 \lambda^{2} \varepsilon \leq t \leq 1 / 10 \lambda \varepsilon$, and thus $\theta\left(h A^{\prime}\right) \leq 2 \varepsilon^{2} / 10 \lambda \varepsilon=\varepsilon / 5 \lambda$ and $\left.\theta\left(g h A^{\prime}\right)\right) \geq\left(\varepsilon^{3 / 2}-2 \varepsilon^{2}\right) / 160 \lambda^{2} \varepsilon>\sqrt{\varepsilon} / 162 \lambda^{2}$. Thus the error of approximation of $g$ by an isometry is at least

$$
\sqrt{\varepsilon} / 324 \lambda^{2}-\varepsilon / 10 \lambda \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon} / 340 \lambda^{2}
$$

and therefore

$$
\|T-f\|_{A\left(0, r_{1}\right)} \geq 10 \lambda \varepsilon\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} / 340 \lambda^{2}\right)=\varepsilon^{3 / 2} / 34 \lambda=\frac{r_{1}}{6000 \lambda^{3}} \sqrt{\varepsilon}
$$

for all isometries $T$. This completes the proof for Case 1.
Case 2: Condition 2.2(2) is not satisfied. This implies that $A$ is bounded and $\theta(A)<\varepsilon^{2} d(A)$. Using $\lambda$-relative connectedness, we can find points $a, b, c \in A$ such that $1 \leq|a-b| /|b-c| \leq \lambda$. Using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we can construct a map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ that by 3.2 contradicts the requirements. The details are similar to Case 1 and are omitted.

This completes the proof.
4.2. Theorem. Let $\lambda \geq 1000$, let $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be a closed set that is not $\lambda$-relatively connected. Then there is $\varepsilon \leq 2 /(\lambda-2)$ and $a(1+\varepsilon)$-bilipschitz map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ with the following property: If $F: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is a $(1+\delta)$ bilipschitz extension of $f$, then

$$
\delta \geq 1 / 20 \ln ^{2} \varepsilon
$$

Proof. We use the concept of upper sets from [TV, 4.9]. Since $A$ is not $\lambda$-relatively connected, the upper set $\tilde{A}$ consists of more than one $\ln \lambda$ component. Let $\gamma$ be a $\ln \lambda$-component that is not the greatest element; see [TV, 3.2]. By [TV, 3.4(11) and 3.4(14)] the set $\pi \gamma$ is compact, and by [TV, 3.4(12)] we have $A \cap B(\pi \gamma,(\lambda-1) d(\pi \gamma))=\pi \gamma$. Choose $a, b \in \pi \gamma$ such that $|a-b|=d(\pi \gamma)$ and then $z \in A \backslash \pi \gamma$ such that $d(z, \pi \gamma)$ is minimal. We may assume that $|b-z| \leq|a-z|$, and hence $\angle a b z \geq \pi / 3$. Using suitable similarities, we may assume that $b=0,|a-b|=1$ and $z=t e_{1}$ with $t \geq \lambda-1$.

We choose $\varepsilon=2 /(t-1) \leq 2 /(\lambda-2)<0.01$ and construct a $(1+\varepsilon)$ bilipschitz map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ as follows. Let $f \mid(A \backslash B(0,1))=\mathrm{id}$, and let $f$
rotate $\bar{B}(0,1)$ so that $f(0)=0$ and $f(a)=e_{1}$. To calculate the bilipschitz constant $L$ of $f$, we note that the worst case arises from $a=-e_{1}, f(a)=e_{1}$; this seems geometrically obvious and can be proved by solving an elementary extremal value problem. Thus

$$
L \leq \frac{t+1}{t-1}=1+\frac{2}{t-1}=1+\varepsilon
$$

Suppose now that $f$ can be extended to a ( $1+\delta$ )-bilipschitz map $F: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. We apply Lemma 3.6 to the map $F^{-1} \mid\left\{0, e_{1}, 2 e_{1}, 4 e_{1}, \ldots, 2^{N} e_{1}, z\right\}$, where $N=\left\lfloor\log _{2} t\right\rfloor$. Let $a_{i}=F^{-1}\left(2^{i} e_{1}\right)$ for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, N$ and $a_{N+1}=z$. The lemma implies that $\angle a_{i} 0 a_{i+1} \leq 2.1 \sqrt{\delta}$, and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \leq \frac{\pi}{3} \leq \angle a 0 z \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N} \angle a_{i} 0 a_{i+1} \leq 2.1 \sqrt{\delta}(N+1) \leq 2.1 \sqrt{\delta}\left(\log _{2} t+1\right) \\
& \leq 2.1 \sqrt{\delta}(1.5 \ln t+1) \leq 3.15 \sqrt{\delta} \ln (2 t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $t=2 / \varepsilon+1 \leq 2.1 / \varepsilon$, we obtain

$$
\delta \geq \frac{1}{10 \ln ^{2}(4.2 / \varepsilon)} \geq \frac{1}{20 \ln ^{2} \varepsilon} .
$$

This completes the proof.
4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. The implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) was the main result of [ATV2].

For the converse part, suppose that $A$ has the $(C, \delta)$-linear BLEP. Let $\lambda \geq 2 / \delta+2$ so that $\varepsilon=2 /(\lambda-2) \leq \delta$ and suppose that $A$ is not $\lambda$-RC. We may assume that $\lambda \geq 1000$. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ be the ( $1+\varepsilon$ )-bilipschitz map given by Theorem 4.2. Since $A$ has the ( $C, \delta)$-linear BLEP, we have

$$
C \varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{20 \ln ^{2} \varepsilon} .
$$

This leads to a contradiction unless $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon(C)>0$, which is equivalent to $\lambda \leq \lambda(C, \delta)<\infty$.

It follows that $A$ is $\lambda$-relatively connected with the above bound.
By Theorem 2.6 the set $A$ has the $\left(C_{1}, \delta\right)$-IAP with $C_{1}=C_{1}(C)$. Supposing that $A$ is not $c$-sturdy, we must find an upper bound for $c$, and may thus assume that $c>(30 \lambda)^{8} \vee 48^{2} / \delta^{2}$. Let $\varepsilon=1 / \sqrt{c}$ so that $48 \varepsilon \leq \delta$. Applying Theorem 4.1, we obtain a ( $1+48 \varepsilon$ )-bilipschitz map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ such that

$$
\|T-f\|_{A(a, r)} \geq\left(r / 6000 \lambda^{3}\right) \sqrt{\varepsilon}
$$

for some $a \in A, r>0$ and for all isometries $T$. The IAP of $A$ thus leads to the estimate

$$
C_{1} \cdot 48 \varepsilon \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon} / 6000 \lambda^{3},
$$

which is a contradiction unless $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon(C, \lambda)$, or equivalently, unless $c \leq c(C, \delta)$.
It follows that $A$ is $c$-sturdy with the above bound, and the proof of the main theorem is complete.
4.4. Remark. The first part of the above proof can be easily modified to show that a planar set $A$ having the $\varphi$-BLEP is relatively connected if

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi(\varepsilon) \ln ^{2} \varepsilon=0
$$
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