A476

# ENLARGEMENT OF FILTRATION AND ADDITIONAL INFOR-MATION IN PRICING MODELS: A BAYESIAN APPROACH

Dario Gasbarra Esko Valkeila Lioudmila Vostrikova



TEKNILLINEN KORKEAKOULU TEKNISKA HÖCSKOLAN HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT HELSINKI UNIVERSITE DE TECHNOLOGIE D'HELSINKI

A476

# ENLARGEMENT OF FILTRATION AND ADDITIONAL INFOR-MATION IN PRICING MODELS: A BAYESIAN APPROACH

Dario Gasbarra Esko Valkeila Lioudmila Vostrikova

Helsinki University of Technology Department of Engineering Physics and Mathematics Institute of Mathematics **Dario Gasbarra, Esko Valkeila, Lioudmila Vostrikova**: Enlargement of filtration and additional information in pricing models: a Bayesian approach; Helsinki University of Technology Institute of Mathematics Research Reports A476 (2004).

**Abstract:** We show how the dynamical Bayesian approach can be used in the initial enlargement of filtrations theory. We use this approach to obtain new proofs and results for Lévy processes. We apply the Bayesian approach to some problems concerning asymmetric information in pricing models, including so-called weak information approach introduced by Baudoin, as well as some other approaches. We give also Bayesian interpretation of utility gain related to asymmetric information.

#### AMS subject classifications: 60G44, 91B28, 62B10

**Keywords:** dynamical Bayesian modelling, enlargement of filtration, asymmetric information, Lévy processes

#### Correspondence

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 68, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, email: Dario.Gasbarra@rni.helsinki.fi

Institute of Mathematics, P.O. Box 1100, FIN-02015 Helsinki University of Technology, e-mail: Esko.Valkeila@tkk.fi

Départment de Mathématiques, Universite d'Angers, 2 Boulevard de Lavoisier, 49045 Angers cedex 01, France, e-mail: vostrik@univ-angers.fr

D.G. and E.V. are grateful for the support of Université d'Angers; and L.V. is grateful for partial support from EU-IHP network DYNSTOCH.

ISBN 951-22-7331-4 ISSN 0784-3143

Helsinki University of Technology Department of Engineering Physics and Mathematics Institute of Mathematics P.O. Box 1100, 02015 HUT, Finland email:math@hut.fi http://www.math.hut.fi/

## 1 Introduction

The initial enlargement of filtrations is an important topic in the theory of stochastic processes, and it is studied in the fundamental works of Jeulin [20], Jacod [18], Stricker and Yor [23] and Yor [24, 25] and others.

Recent interest to this question comes from pricing models in stochastic finance, where the enlargement of filtrations theory is an important tool in modelling of asymmetric information between different agents and the possible additional gain due to this information (see Amendinger et. al. [1], Imkeller et. al. [16] Baudoin [3, 4], Elliot and Jeanblanc [13] and others). For an approach based on anticipating calculus see [21] and others.

The initial enlargement of filtration consists of the following. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F}, P)$ be a filtered probability space with the filtration  $\mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$  satisfying usual conditions and let X be a semimartingale with the  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - triplet  $T = (B, C, \nu)$  of predictable characteristics of the semimartingale (we refer to [19] and the section 2 for more details on semimartingales). Suppose that we are given a random variable  $\vartheta$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that  $\sigma(\vartheta) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_0$ . Define now  $\mathcal{G}_t := \mathcal{F}_t \lor \sigma(\vartheta)$  and then  $\mathbf{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is the initially enlarged filtration. The main problems studied are: is the  $(X, \mathbf{F})$  semimartingale still a semimartingale with respect to the filtration  $\mathbf{G}$  and if this is true, what is the new triplet  $T^{\vartheta} = (B^{\vartheta}, C^{\vartheta}, \nu^{\vartheta})$  with respect to  $(P, \mathbf{G})$ ?

Surprising at the first glance [and very natural at the second glance] the Bayesian approach proposed in the papers by Dzhaparidze et.al. [9, 10] is closely related to the problem of enlargement of filtrations. In the Bayesian approach one of the main concepts is the arithmetic mean measure. This means the following. Suppose that on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F}, P)$  with a filtration  $\mathbf{F}$  we observe a semimartingale  $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ , and the law  $P^{\theta}$  of X depends of a parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Assume that  $\theta$  is a value of some random variable  $\vartheta$ , taking values in a measurable polish space  $(\Theta, \mathcal{A})$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\sigma$ algebra on  $\Theta$ . Denote the law of the random variable  $\vartheta$  by  $\alpha$ . We suppose that for each  $\theta \in \Theta$  the measure  $P^{\theta}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to P and that the density process  $z^{\theta}$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ . Then we can introduce on the original space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F}, P)$  the arithmetic mean measure  $\overline{P}^{\alpha}$ : for all  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ 

$$\bar{P}^{\alpha}(B) := \int_{\Theta} P^{\theta}(B) \alpha(d\theta) = \int_{\Theta} \int_{B} z^{\theta} dP \alpha(d\theta)$$

One can interpret the measure  $\bar{P}^{\alpha}$  also as a 'randomised experiment'. In [9, 10] it is shown how to compute the predictable characteristics of X with respect to the arithmetic mean measure  $\bar{P}^{\alpha}$  given the characteristics  $T^{\theta}$  of X with respect to  $P^{\theta}$ .

The Bayesian approach to the initial enlargement of filtration goes as follows. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F}, P)$  be a filtered space with the filtration  $\mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$  satisfying usual conditions with  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ . Let X be a semimartingale on this space with the  $(P, \mathbf{F})$  -triplet  $T = (B, C, \nu)$ . We suppose that we have in addition a random variable  $\vartheta : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to (\Theta, \mathcal{A})$  with the values in polish space and the prior law  $\alpha$ .

We consider next the product space  $(\Omega \times \Theta, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P})$  with the filtration  $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined by  $\mathbb{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  is the joint law of  $(X(\omega), \vartheta(\omega))$ . Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\alpha^t$  be the regular a posteriori distribution of the random variable  $\vartheta$  given the information  $\mathcal{F}_t$ :

$$\alpha^t(\omega,\theta) := P(\vartheta \in d\theta | \mathcal{F}_t)(\omega).$$

Assume now that  $\alpha^t \prec \prec \alpha$ . Then, according to the results of Jacod [18] the process  $z^{\theta} = (z_t^{\theta})_{t\geq 0}$  where

$$z_t^{\theta}(\omega) := \frac{d\alpha^t(\omega, \theta)}{d\alpha(\theta)},$$

is a  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - martingale with  $z_0^{\theta} = 1$ . Define now a measure  $P^{\theta}$  by

$$dP_t^{\theta} := z_t^{\theta} dP_t,$$

where the sub-script means the restriction of the measure to the sub-sigmaalgebra  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . Then the process X is also a  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$  semimartingale. If we know the structure of density martingale  $z^{\theta}$ , then using the Itô formula we can write a semimartingale decomposition of it and read the  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$  triplet  $T^{\theta} = (B^{\theta}, C, \nu^{\theta})$ . Finally, if  $T^{\theta}$  is  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ -measurable, one obtains the  $(P, \mathbf{G})$  triplet of the semimartingale X by replacing in  $T^{\theta}$  the fixed parameter  $\theta$  by the random variable  $\vartheta$ . This method is relatively simple and gives a unifying approach to various concrete models like diffusion processes, counting processes and Lévy processes. It can also be used outside of the semimartingale world. Some applications will be given in the paper [12].

The paper contains two parts. The first one is devoted to the initial enlargement of filtration. We begin with reminding of some basic facts on semimartingale characteristics and Girsanov theorem. Then we apply Bayesian approach to initial enlargement. For somewhat related studies see [6, 14]. We continue by giving some examples of initial enlargement with the final value. The Bayesian approach can be developed for the progressive enlargement of filtration. This will be done in a later work.

The second part is devoted to so called weak information introduced in Baudoin [3, 4]. We show that the notion of weak information can be interpreted as changing the "true" prior  $\alpha$ , the law of the random variable  $\vartheta$ , to another prior distribution  $\gamma$  for the random variable  $\vartheta$ . After this the whole analysis can be reduced to the computation of the  $\bar{P}^{\gamma}$  characteristics of the semimartingale X.

Some preliminary results of the Bayesian approach were already obtained in [11]. We extend and generalise the results in many directions: in addition to several examples and new applications, we give a Bayesian interpretation of so-called additional utility of an insider, or of a weak insider and finally gain on false information.

## 2 Characteristics of a semimartingale

We shall work with a semimartingale X defined on a filtered space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F}, P)$ where  $\mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a filtration. Recall some facts concerning the triplet T of a semimartingale X. Since the triplet T depends on the probability measure P and on the filtration we keep track of the measures and filtrations in what follows. We assume that  $\mathbf{F} := \mathbf{F}^X$  is the right-continuous version of natural filtration of the semimartingale X completed with  $\mathcal{F}$  sets of probability zero and that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^X$ .

Let  $\mu$  be the jump measure of X, i.e.

$$\int_0^t \int_{|x|>\epsilon} x\mu(ds, dx) := \sum_{s\le t} \Delta X_s \mathbf{1}_{\{|\Delta X_s|>\epsilon\}}$$

We use the standard notation from [19] and [15]: if  $\mu := \mu^X$  is the jump measure of the semimartingale X, then  $g \star \mu$  means integral with respect to the jump measure,  $g \star \nu$  denotes integral with respect to the  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - compensator  $\nu$  of  $\mu$ ; later  $g \cdot U$  is stochastic integral with respect to a local martingale U or Riemann-Stieltjes integral with respect to a bounded variation process U. Suppose that the semimartingale X has characteristics  $T = (B, C, \nu)$  with respect to  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ . Recall that this means the following (see [19] for more details and unexplained terminology). Let  $l : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a truncation function: l(x) = x in the neighbourhood of 0 and l has a compact support. Then one can write the semimartingale X as

$$X = (X - X(l)) + X(l),$$

where X(l) is a jump process, the process with 'big' jumps, defined as

$$X(l)_t := \sum_{s \le t} (\Delta X_s - l(\Delta X_s))$$

with  $\Delta X_s = X_s - X_{s-}$ .

The process  $\tilde{X} = (X - X(l))$  is a special semimartingale with bounded jumps and allows a representation

$$\tilde{X}_t = X_0 + X_t^c + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} l(x)(\mu(ds, dx) - \nu(ds, dx)) + B_t(l),$$

where  $X^c$  is the continuous local martingale part of X,  $\nu$  is the  $(P, \mathbf{F})$  compensator of  $\mu$ ,  $B_t(l)$  is the unique  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - predictable locally integrable process such that the process  $\tilde{X} - B(l)$  is a  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - local martingale. Let C be the continuous process such that the process  $(X^c)^2 - C$  is a  $(P, \mathbf{F})$  local martingale. Having all this we have defined the *triplet of predictable characteristics* of a semimartingale X as  $T = (B(l), C, \nu)$ . Later we write B instead of B(l). Consider the class of real functions  $\mathcal{G}$  with the following properties: functions g are bounded, Borel measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  vanishing inside of a neighbourhood of 0. Moreover, if  $\eta$  and  $\tilde{\eta}$  are measures on IR such that  $\eta(\{0\}) = \tilde{\eta}(\{0\}) = 0, \, \eta(|x| > \epsilon) < \infty$  and  $\tilde{\eta}(|x| > \epsilon) < \infty$ , and if for all  $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)\eta(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)\tilde{\eta}(dx)$$

then  $\eta = \tilde{\eta}$ .

Recall Theorem II.2.21 from [19, p.80]

**Theorem 2.1.** A semimartingale X has the  $(P, \mathbf{F})$  triplet  $T = (B, C, \nu)$  if and only if

- The process  $M(l) := X X(l) B X_0$  is a local martingale.
- The process

$$N(l) := M(l)^{2} - C^{2} - l^{2} \star \nu - \sum_{s \leq \cdot} (\Delta B_{s})^{2}$$

is a local martingale.

• The process  $U(l) := g \star (\mu - \nu)$  is a local martingale, where  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ .

Assume moreover that we have on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F}, P)$  a family of probability measures  $P^{\theta}$  with  $\theta \in \Theta$  such that  $P_t^{\theta} \prec \prec P_t$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

Let  $\theta \in \Theta$  be fixed. Then X is a  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$  semimartingale as well with a triplet  $T^{\theta} = (B^{\theta}, C^{\theta}, \nu^{\theta})$ , and this triplet is related to the triplet  $T = (B, C, \nu)$  as follows

$$B^{\theta} = B + \beta^{\theta} \cdot C + (Y^{\theta} - 1)l \star \nu,$$
  

$$C^{\theta} = C,$$
  

$$\nu^{\theta} = Y^{\theta} \cdot \nu,$$
  
(2.1)

with certain  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$ -predictable processes  $\beta^{\theta} = (\beta_t^{\theta})_{t \geq 0}$  and  $Y^{\theta} = (Y_t^{\theta})_{t \geq 0}$ such that *P*-a.s. for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ 

$$((\beta^{\theta})^2 \cdot C)_t + (|(Y^{\theta} - 1)l| \star \nu)_t < \infty.$$

$$(2.2)$$

For more details see [19].

We denote by  $P_t^{\theta}$  and  $P_t$  the restrictions of the corresponding measures on  $\mathcal{F}_t$  and we define density process  $z^{\theta} = (z_t^{\theta})_{t \geq 0}$  with

$$z_t^{\theta} = \frac{dP_t^{\theta}}{dP_t}.$$

We note that the density process is  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - martingale with the property  $\inf_{t \in [0,T]} z_t^{\theta} > 0$  *P*-a.s. for each T > 0, and we define the *stochastic logarithm*  $m^{\theta}$  of  $z^{\theta}$  by

$$m^{\theta} := z^{\theta} / z_{-}^{\theta}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then  $m^{\theta}$  is a  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - local martingale and  $z^{\theta}$  is the *stochastic exponential* of  $m^{\theta}$ :

$$z_t^{\theta} = \mathcal{E}(m^{\theta})_t.$$

Assume now that X is a  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - semimartingale with a triplet  $T = (B, C, \nu)$ and that the natural filtration  $\mathbf{F}$  of X has the *predictable representation property* : if M is a local martingale with respect to  $\mathbf{F}$ , then it has a representation:

$$M = M_0 + H \cdot X^c + W \star (\mu - \nu).$$
(2.4)

Here the predictable process H belongs to the space  $L^2_{loc}$  of locally squareintegrable processes with respect to C and the predictable process  $W = (W_t(\omega; x))_{t\geq 0}$  belong to  $G_{loc}(\mu)$ . For information on the space  $G_{loc}(\mu)$  see [19, II.1.1,pp. 72-74] and on the predictable representation property see [19, p.185].

By the predictable representation property we have that the local martingale  $m^{\theta}$  from (2.3) has the following semimartingale representation

$$m^{\theta} = \beta^{\theta} \cdot X^{c} + \left(Y^{\theta} - 1 + \frac{\hat{Y}^{\theta} - \hat{1}}{1 - \hat{1}}\right) \star (\mu - \nu), \qquad (2.5)$$

where the processes  $\beta^{\theta}$  and  $Y^{\theta}$  are the same as in (2.1) and the "hat" processes are related to the jumps of the compensator  $\nu$ , namely

$$\hat{1}_t(\omega) := \nu(\omega; \{t\} \times \mathbb{R}_0)$$

and

$$\hat{Y}^{\theta}_t(\omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} Y^{\theta}_t(\omega, x) \nu(\omega, \{t\}, dx).$$

So, to find the triplet  $T^{\theta}$  we can read  $\beta^{\theta}$  and  $Y^{\theta}$  from (2.5) and use (2.1).

## **3** Arithmetic mean measure

We consider a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F}, P)$  with the right-continuous filtration  $\mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$  completed by the  $\mathcal{F}$  sets of probability zero and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ . Suppose that we are given with a parametric family of probability measures  $(P^{\theta})_{\theta\in\Theta}$  where  $\theta$  belongs to a measurable polish space  $(\Theta, \mathcal{A})$ . We make the following assumption

**Assumption 1.** For each  $\theta \in \Theta$  the probability  $P^{\theta}$  is locally absolute continuous with respect to P.

Then we can define density process: for each  $\theta \in \Theta$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ 

$$z_t^{\theta} = \frac{dP_t^{\theta}}{dP_t}$$

where  $P_t^{\theta}$  and  $P_t$  are the restrictions of  $P^{\theta}$  and P on  $\mathcal{F}_t$  respectively. Let us consider measurable with respect to  $\theta$  versions of density process. Given a probability measure  $\alpha$  on  $(\Theta, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}_t$  we can define the arithmetic mean measure  $\bar{P}_t^{\alpha}$ :

$$\bar{P}_t^{\alpha}(B) := \int_{\Theta} P_t^{\theta}(B) \alpha(d\theta) = \int_{\Theta \times B} z_t^{\theta} P(d\omega) \alpha(d\theta).$$

**Remark 3.1.** In the case of the initial enlargement by a random variable  $\vartheta$  such that  $\alpha = \mathcal{L}(\vartheta|P)$ , considered in the section 4., we have  $\bar{P}^{\alpha} = P$ . This follows from the fact that in this case  $P^{\theta}$  is regular conditional law of X given  $\vartheta = \theta$ .

We see that  $\bar{P}_t^{\alpha}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $P_t$  and that in general,  $P_t^{\theta}$  is not absolutely continuous with respect to  $\bar{P}_t^{\alpha}$ . For this reason we suppose also that

Assumption 2. For each  $\theta \in \Theta$  the probability  $P^{\theta}$  is locally absolute continuous with respect to  $\bar{P}^{\alpha}$ .

Assume now again that X is a  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - semimartingale with a triplet  $T = (B, C, \nu)$  having representation property. Then X is a  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$  semimartingale as well with a triplet  $T^{\theta} = (B^{\theta}, C^{\theta}, \nu^{\theta})$  where  $B^{\theta}, C^{\theta}, \nu^{\theta}$  are given in (2.1). The next theorem is a generalisation of a result by Kolomiets.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that the assumptions 1 and 2 hold and X is a  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ semimartingale with triplet  $T = (B, C, \nu)$ . Then, X is also a  $(\bar{P}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{F})$ - semimartingale with the triplet  $\bar{T} = (\bar{B}, \bar{C}, \bar{\nu})$  defined by

$$\bar{B} = E_{\alpha} \{ \bar{z}_{-}^{\theta} \cdot B^{\theta} \} = B + E_{\alpha} \{ \bar{z}_{-}^{\theta} \beta^{\theta} \cdot C \} + E_{\alpha} \{ \bar{z}_{-}^{\theta} (Y^{\theta} - 1) l \star \nu \}$$

$$\bar{C} = C, \qquad (3.1)$$

$$\bar{\nu} = E_{\alpha} \{ \bar{z}_{-}^{\theta} Y^{\theta} \cdot \nu \}$$

where  $\bar{z}^{\theta}$  is the density of  $P^{\theta}$  with respect to arithmetic mean measure  $\bar{P}^{\alpha}$ .

For the proof see [8, Theorem 3.3].

To interchange the order of integration in (3.1) by using Fubini theorem we introduce the following notation. For each  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  we define a posteriori measure  $\alpha^t$ . To do it for each  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  we put

$$\alpha^t(B) := \frac{\int_B z_t^\theta \alpha(d\theta)}{\int_\Theta z_t^\theta \alpha(d\theta)}.$$

Let us define  $\alpha^{t-}(d\theta)$  in the following natural way: for each  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ 

$$\alpha^{t-}(B) := \frac{\int_B z_{t_-}^{\theta} \alpha(d\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} z_{t_-}^{\theta} \alpha(d\theta)}.$$

Assuming that  $\beta^{\theta}_t$  and  $Y^{\theta}_t$  are integrable with respect to  $\alpha^{t-}$ , we put

$$\bar{\beta}_t = E_{\alpha^{t-}} \beta_t^{\theta}, \quad \bar{Y}_t = E_{\alpha^{t-}} Y_t^{\theta}.$$
(3.2)

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that the assumptions 1 and 2 hold and P-a.s. for t > 0

$$(E_{\alpha^{t-}}|\beta_t^{\theta}| \cdot C)_t + (E_{\alpha^{t-}}|Y^{\theta} - 1||l| \star \nu)_t < \infty.$$

$$(3.3)$$

Then X is a  $(\bar{P}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{F})$ - semimartingale with the triplet  $\bar{T} = (\bar{B}, \bar{C}, \bar{\nu})$  defined by

$$\bar{B} = B + \bar{\beta} \cdot C + (\bar{Y} - 1)l \star \nu$$

$$\bar{C} = C,$$

$$\bar{\nu} = \bar{Y} \cdot \nu$$
(3.4)

where  $\bar{\beta}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  are given in (3.2).

*Proof* To prove our result we use classical Fubini theorem. In order to do it, we show that  $\bar{B}$  is the process of locally *P*-integrable variation. In fact, for all t > 0

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{B})_t \leq \operatorname{Var}(B)_t + E_{\alpha}\{(\bar{z}^{\theta}_{-}|\beta^{\theta}|\cdot C)_t\} + E_{\alpha}\{(\bar{z}^{\theta}_{-}|Y^{\theta}-1||l|\star\nu)_t\}.$$

Using classical Fubini theorem for positive functions in last two integrals and integration with respect the measure  $\alpha^{t_{-}}$  we have: for all t > 0

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{B})_t \leq \operatorname{Var}(B)_t + (E_{\alpha^-}|\beta^{\theta}| \cdot C)_t + (E_{\alpha^-}|Y^{\theta} - 1||l| \star \nu)_t.$$

We define a localising sequence as follows. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  we put

$$\tau_n = \inf\{t \ge 0 : (E_{\alpha^{t-}}|\beta_t^{\theta}| \cdot C)_t + (E_{\alpha^{t-}}|Y^{\theta} - 1||l| \star \nu)_t + Var(B)_t > n\}.$$
(3.5)

and notice that  $\tau_n$  is **F**-stopping time. Moreover, since the jumps of considered processes are bounded by a constant, we can easily verify that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ 

$$E_{\bar{P}^{\alpha}}[((E_{\alpha^{t-}}|\beta_{t}^{\theta}|) \cdot C)_{\tau_{n}} + ((E_{\alpha^{t-}}|Y^{\theta}-1|)|l| \star \nu)_{\tau_{n}} + Var(B)_{\tau_{n}}] < n + 3\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} l(x),$$

where l is truncation function. Now, we notice that the sequence of **F**- stopping times  $\tau_n$  is increasing up to infinity due to the condition (3.3). Then, we localise with  $\tau_n$  and we apply classical Fubini theorem to (3.1) and we have (3.4).

**Remark 3.2.** Theorem 3.2 is a special case of stochastic Fubini theorem. Namely, we know that

$$z_t^{\theta} = \mathcal{E}(m^{\theta})_t,$$

where

$$m^{\theta} = \beta^{\theta} \cdot X^{c} + \left(Y^{\theta} - 1 + \frac{\hat{Y}^{\theta} - \hat{1}}{1 - \hat{1}}\right)$$

Then by Theorem 3.2 we have the following variant of stochastic Fubini theorem

$$\bar{z}_t = \int_{\Theta} z_t^{\theta} \alpha(d\theta) = \mathcal{E}(\bar{m})_t$$

with

$$\bar{m} = \bar{\beta} \cdot X^c + \left(\bar{Y} - 1 + \frac{\hat{\bar{Y}} - \hat{1}}{1 - \hat{1}}\right).$$

Some times the verification of the condition (3.3) can be difficult and we can be interested to replace it by another condition expressed in terms of density process. For instance, we can use the following assumption.

**Assumption 3.** There exists a localising sequence of  $\mathbf{F}$ - stopping times  $\tau_n$  such that for  $n \geq 1$ 

$$E\left(\int_{\Theta} [z^{\theta}, z^{\theta}]^{1/2}_{\tau_n} \alpha(d\theta)\right) < \infty$$

where E is the expectation with respect to initial measure measure P.

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that the assumptions 1,2, 3 hold. Then X is a  $(\bar{P}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{F})$ - semimartingale with the triplet  $\bar{T} = (\bar{B}, \bar{C}, \bar{\nu})$  defined by (3.4).

Proof In fact, we have only to show that the assumption 3 implies the local integrability of the variation of  $\overline{B}$ . Since B is locally integrable with respect to arithmetic mean measure, which follows from the fact that the jumps of B are bounded by a constant, we have only to show that there exists a localising sequence of stopping times  $s_n$  such that for each  $n \geq 1$ 

$$E_{\bar{P}^{\alpha}}\left((E_{\alpha^{-}}|\beta^{\theta}|\cdot C)_{\tau_{n}}+(E_{\alpha^{-}}|Y^{\theta}-1||l|\star\nu)_{\tau_{n}}\right)<\infty.$$
(3.6)

Let for  $t \ge 0$ 

$$\bar{Z}_t = \frac{d\bar{P}_t}{dP_t}.$$

We remark that

$$\bar{Z}_t = \int_{\Theta} z_t^{\theta} \alpha(d\theta).$$

Using the fact that  $\overline{Z}$  is positive  $(P, \mathbf{F})$  martingale and the observation that we are dealing with the predictable positive processes, we obtain:

$$E_{\bar{P}^{\alpha}}\left((E_{\alpha^{-}}|\beta^{\theta}|\cdot C)_{\tau_{n}}+(E_{\alpha^{-}}|Y^{\theta}-1||l|\star\nu)_{\tau_{n}}\right)$$
  
=  $E_{P}\left(\bar{Z}_{\tau_{n}}(E_{\alpha^{-}}|\beta^{\theta}|\cdot C)_{\tau_{n}}+(E_{\alpha^{-}}|Y^{\theta}-1||l|\star\nu)_{\tau_{n}}\right)$   
=  $\int_{\Theta}E_{P}\left\{(z_{-}^{\theta}|\beta^{\theta}|\cdot C)_{\tau_{n}}+(z_{-}^{\theta}|Y^{\theta}-1||l|\star\nu)_{\tau_{n}}\right\}\alpha(d\theta)$   
=  $\int_{\Theta}E_{P}\left\{(z_{-}^{\theta}|\beta^{\theta}|\cdot C)_{\tau_{n}}+(z_{-}^{\vartheta}|Y^{\theta}-1||l|\star\mu^{X})_{\tau_{n}}\right\}\alpha(d\theta)$   
=  $\int_{\Theta}E_{P}\left\{\operatorname{Var}([z^{\theta},X(l)-B])_{\tau_{n}}\right\}\alpha(d\theta)$ 

Let

$$\tau'_{n} = \inf\{t \ge 0 : \sup_{0 \le s \le t} |X_{s}(l) - B_{s}| > n\}$$

and  $s_n = n \wedge \tau'_n \wedge \tau_n$ . By Fefferman inequality, (see [15, Theorem 10.17]) and the fact that X(l) - B is  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - local martingale we deduce that

$$E_P \operatorname{Var}([z^{\theta}, X(l) - B])_{s_n} \le || (X(l) - B)^{s_n} ||_{BMO} E_P[z^{\theta}, z^{\theta}]_{s_n}^{1/2}.$$

We remark that

$$\| (X(l) - B)^{s_n} \|_{BMO} \le 2(n + 2\max_x l(x))$$

where l is truncation function. So, after integration with respect to  $\alpha$ , we obtain from assumption 3 that (3.6) holds, and, hence,  $\bar{B}$  has locally integrable variation with respect to  $\bar{P}^{\alpha}$ .

## 4 Initial enlargement

#### 4.1 Triplet and initial enlargement

We assume that we are given with a semimartingale X on a filtered space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F}, P)$ . We suppose that the filtration  $\mathbf{F}$  is the right-continuous version of natural filtration  $\mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t^X)_{t\geq 0}$  which is completed by the  $\mathcal{F}$  sets of probability zero and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^X$ . Let  $T = (B, C, \nu)$  be the  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ -triplet of X. Later, to simplify the notation, we omit the index X in the filtration.

Suppose that we have also a random variable  $\vartheta$  with values in measurable polish space  $(\Theta, \mathcal{A})$ . Define now the initially enlarged filtration  $\mathbf{G} = (\mathfrak{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  by

$$\mathcal{G}_t := \bigcap_{s>t} (\mathcal{F}_s \lor \sigma(\vartheta))$$

Then we complete the filtration with  $\mathcal{G}_{\infty}$  sets of *P*-probability zero. Our problem is to find the semimartingale decomposition of *X* with respect to the enlarged filtration **G**.

Let  $\alpha$  be the distribution of the random variable  $\vartheta$ , i.e.  $P(\vartheta \in d\theta) = \alpha(d\theta)$ . Let for  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \alpha^t$  be its regular conditional distribution with respect to the sigma-field  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . Following Bayesian terminology we say that  $\alpha$  is the *a priori distribution* and  $\alpha^t$  is the *a posteriori distribution* with respect to the information  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , of the random variable  $\vartheta$ .

We make the following standing assumption

**Assumption 4.** The posterior distributions  $\alpha^t$  and the prior distribution  $\alpha$  satisfy: for each  $t \in [0, T]$  and P-a.s.

$$\alpha^t \prec \prec \alpha \tag{4.1}$$

We stop to discuss the right-continuity of the filtration **G**: in Amendinger [2, Proposition 3.3] it is shown that under the assumption  $\alpha^t \sim \alpha$  we have that  $\mathcal{G}_t = F_t \vee \sigma(\vartheta)$ . But if one checks the proof of this result in [2] it can be seen that in fact it is sufficient to assume only assumption 4. So under assumption 4 we can take  $\mathcal{G}_t = F_t \vee \sigma(\vartheta)$ .

We consider next the product space  $(\Omega \times \Theta, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P})$  with the filtration  $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined by

$$\mathbb{G}_t = \bigcap_{s>t} (\mathfrak{F}_s \otimes \mathcal{A}) \tag{4.2}$$

and  $\mathbb{P}$  joint law of  $(\omega, \vartheta(\omega))$ . Again, under assumption 4 we can take  $\mathbb{G}_t = F_t \otimes \mathcal{A}$ .

Denote the optional and predictable sigma-fields on  $(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+)$  with respect to **F** by  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{F})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ . With the filtration **G** we have that

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$$

The following result is due to Jacod.

**Lemma 4.1.** Under assumption 4 there exists a strictly positive  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ - measurable function  $(\omega, t, \theta) \mapsto z_t^{\theta}(\omega)$ , such that:

- 1. For each  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,  $z^{\theta}$  is a  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ -martingale.
- 2. For each  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , the measure  $z_t^{\theta} \alpha(d\theta)$  is a version of the regular conditional distribution  $\alpha^t(d\theta)$  so that  $P_t \times \alpha$ -a.s.

$$\frac{d\alpha^t}{d\alpha}(\theta) = z_t^{\theta}.$$
(4.3)

The proof of this lemma is in [18, Lemme 1.8., p.18-19]. For each  $\theta \in \Theta$  define also a measure  $P^{\theta}$  as follows

$$dP_t^{\theta} := z_t^{\theta} dP_t. \tag{4.4}$$

The measure  $P^{\theta}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure P, and so X is a  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$ - semimartingale, too. Hence it has a  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$ - triplet  $T^{\theta} = (B^{\theta}, C, \nu^{\theta}).$ 

Next we indicate how one can use the prior and posterior distribution to obtain the semimartingale decomposition of a  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - semimartingale with respect to the filtration  $\mathbf{G}$ .

1. We are given a semimartingale X with  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - triplet  $T = (B, C, \nu)$ , where the natural filtration  $\mathbf{F}$  has the representation property, random variable  $\vartheta$ , prior  $\alpha(d\theta) = P(\vartheta \in d\theta)$  and posterior  $\alpha^t(d\theta) = P(\vartheta \in d\theta | \mathcal{F}_t)$ .

- 2. Compute  $\frac{d\alpha^t}{d\alpha}(\theta)$  with the Ito formula as  $\mathcal{E}(m^{\theta})$  and read  $\beta^{\theta}$  and  $Y^{\theta}$  from the representation (2.5), use (2.1) to obtain  $T^{\theta}$ .
- 3. If  $T^{\theta}$  is  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$  measurable, replace  $\theta$  by  $\vartheta$  in  $T^{\theta}$  to obtain the triplet of X with respect to  $(P, \mathbf{G})$ .

In the following theorem we give the link between Girsanov theorems and enlargement of filtrations.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that the process X is a cadlag  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - semimartingale with triplet  $T = (B, C, \nu)$  and we have the martingale representation property with respect to natural filtration  $\mathbf{F}$ . Let  $\vartheta$  be a random variable such that the assumption (4.1) is satisfied. Suppose also that  $L^1(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$  is separable and the condition (3.3) holds.

Then, if we consider cadlag versions, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The process X is a  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$  semimartingale with the triplet  $T^{\theta} = (B^{\theta}, C, \nu^{\theta})$  on the space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F}, P)$  for  $\alpha$  almost all  $\theta$  and the application  $T' : (\omega, t, \theta) \to T^{\theta}_t(\omega)$  is  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$  measurable.
- (b) The process X is a ( $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{G}$ )- semimartingale with the triplet  $T' : (\omega, t, \theta) \to T_t^{\theta}(\omega)$  on product space ( $\Omega \times \Theta, \mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P}$ ) where  $\mathbb{P}$  is the joint law of  $(\omega, \vartheta(\omega),$
- (c) The process X is a  $(P, \mathbf{G})$  semimartingale on the space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with the triplet  $T^{\vartheta} = (B^{\vartheta}, C, \nu^{\vartheta}).$

**Remark 4.1.** It should be noticed that separability condition will be used only in the direction:

$$c) \Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow a).$$

To prove the theorem we need some lemmas concerning the transformation of triplets, stopping times and martingales.

**Lemma 4.2.** The application  $X : (\omega, t, \theta) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  is  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ measurable if and only if  $X^{\vartheta} : (\omega, t, \vartheta(\omega)) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  is  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{G})$ -measurable.

**Proof** It is sufficient to establish the property on semi-algebras generating the corresponding  $\sigma$ -algebras. Let now  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, a < b, A \in \mathcal{F}_a, B \in \mathcal{A}$  and

$$X(\omega, t, \theta) = c \mathbf{1}_{(a,b]}(t) \mathbf{1}_A(\omega) \mathbf{1}_B(\theta).$$
(4.5)

Then X is an element of semi-algebra generating  $\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$  and

$$X^{\vartheta}(\omega, t, \vartheta(\omega)) = c\mathbf{1}_{(a,b]}(t)\mathbf{1}_{A}(\omega)\mathbf{1}_{B}(\vartheta(\omega)) = c\mathbf{1}_{(a,b]}(t)\mathbf{1}_{A\cap\vartheta^{-1}(B)}(\omega).$$
(4.6)

Since the set  $A \cap \vartheta^{-1}(B)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_a \vee \sigma(\vartheta)$ , it belongs also to  $\mathcal{G}_a$ , and the function  $X^{\vartheta}$  defined by (4.6) is an element of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{G})$ . Inversely, let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, a < b, C \in \mathcal{G}_{a-}$ , then

$$X^{\vartheta}(\omega, t, \vartheta(\omega)) = c\mathbf{1}_{(a,b]}(t)\mathbf{1}_C(\omega)$$
(4.7)

is an element of semi-algebra generating  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{G})$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}_{a-} = \bigvee_{s < a} (\mathcal{F}_s \lor \sigma(\vartheta))$  it is sufficient to consider the elements of generating algebra, namely  $\bigcup_{s < a} (\mathcal{F}_s \lor \sigma(\vartheta))$ . In turn, if  $C \in \bigcup_{s < a} (\mathcal{F}_s \lor \sigma(\vartheta))$ , then there exists s < a such that  $C \in \mathcal{F}_s \lor \sigma(\vartheta)$ . Next, the sigma-algebra  $\mathcal{F}_s \lor \sigma(\vartheta)$  is generated by the sets  $A \cap \vartheta^{-1}(B)$  with  $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$  and  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ . So, we have to consider only the elements  $X^\vartheta$  of the form (4.7) with  $C = A \cap \vartheta^{-1}(B)$ . But the corresponding application X is (4.5) and it is  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ -measurable.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4.3.** Let for each  $\theta \in \Theta$  the process  $(X_t^{\theta})_{t\geq 0}$  be **F**-adapted cadlag process. Let L > 0 and

$$\tau_L^{\theta} = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X_s^{\theta}(\omega) > L\}.$$
(4.8)

If the application  $X: (\omega, t, \theta) \to X_t^{\theta}$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$  then

$$\tau_L^{\vartheta} = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X_s^{\vartheta(\omega)}(\omega) > L\}$$

is G-stopping time.

Proof Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then

$$\{(\omega,\theta):\tau_L^\theta>t\}=\{(\omega,\theta):\sup_{s\le t}X_s^\theta\le L\}\in\mathbb{G}_t$$

where  $\mathbb{G}_t$  is defined by (4.2). It means that for all u > t

$$\{(\omega,\theta):\tau_L^\theta>t\}\in\mathfrak{F}_u\otimes\mathcal{A}$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}_u \otimes \mathcal{A}$  is generated by semi-algebra of the sets of the form  $A \times B$  with  $A \in \mathcal{F}_u$  and  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ , we can restrict ourselves to this special type of sets. But

$$\{\omega: (\omega, \vartheta(\omega)) \in A \times B\} \in \mathfrak{F}_u \lor \sigma(\vartheta)$$

and, hence, for u > t

$$\{\omega: \tau_L^\vartheta > t\} \in \mathfrak{F}_u \lor \sigma(\vartheta).$$

Then,  $\tau_L^{\vartheta}$  is **G**-stopping time.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $\theta \in \Theta$  and  $(M_t^{\theta})_{t\geq 0}$  be **F**-adapted cadlag process. Let M be the application  $(t, \omega, \theta) \to M_t^{\theta}(\omega)$ . Suppose that  $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is separable. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- a)  $M^{\theta}$  is  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$ -martingale for  $\alpha$ -almost all  $\theta$  and M is  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ -measurable process,
- b) M is  $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{G})$ -martingale,
- c)  $M^{\vartheta}$  is  $(P, \mathbf{G})$ -martingale.

*Proof* We show that

$$a) \stackrel{(i)}{\Rightarrow} c) \stackrel{(ii)}{\Rightarrow} b) \stackrel{(iii)}{\Rightarrow} a).$$

(i): Let *E* be the expectation with respect to *P* and **E** be the expectation with respect to **P** which is the joint law of  $(\omega, \vartheta(\omega))$ . For each  $s < t, A \in \mathcal{F}_s, B \in \mathcal{A}$  we have

$$E(\mathbf{1}_A(\omega)\mathbf{1}_B(\vartheta(\omega))(M_t^{\vartheta}-M_s^{\vartheta}))=\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_A(\omega)\mathbf{1}_B(\theta)(M_t^{\theta}-M_s^{\theta})).$$

Let  $E_{\alpha}$  be the expectation with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $E_{\theta}$  is the expectation with respect to  $P^{\theta}$ . Then by Fubini theorem and conditioning we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_A(\omega)\mathbf{1}_B(\theta)(M_t^{\theta} - M_s^{\theta})) = E_{\alpha}[\mathbf{1}_B(\theta)E_{\theta}(\mathbf{1}_A(\omega)E_{\theta}(M_t^{\theta} - M_s^{\theta}|\mathcal{F}_s))] = 0$$

since  $M^{\theta}$  is a martingale  $\alpha$ -a.s. with respect to  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$ . Hence, *P*-a.s.

$$E(M_t^\vartheta - M_s^\vartheta | \mathcal{F}_s \lor \sigma(\vartheta)) = 0$$

Since  $M^{\vartheta}$  is cadlag, using corollary 2.4 of [22], p.59, we have

$$E(M_t^{\vartheta} - M_s^{\vartheta}|\mathcal{G}_s) = \lim_{u \downarrow s} E(M_t^{\vartheta} - M_s^{\vartheta}|\mathcal{F}_u \lor \sigma(\vartheta)) = 0$$

which gives c).

(ii): If  $M^{\vartheta}$  is  $(P, \mathbf{G})$ -martingale, then for each  $t \in \mathbb{Q}^+$   $M_t^{\vartheta}$  is  $\mathfrak{G}_t = \bigcap_{s>t} (\mathfrak{F}_s \vee \sigma(\vartheta))$ -measurable and it can be written in the form  $M_t^{\vartheta}(\omega) = M(\omega, t, \vartheta(\omega))$  (*P*-a.s.) where M is measurable with respect to  $\mathfrak{G}_t = \bigcap_{s>t} (\mathfrak{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{A})$ . Taking right-continuous version having left-hand limits we obtain the application  $M : (\omega, t, \theta) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  which is  $\mathfrak{O}(\mathbb{G})$ . For all s < t and  $A \in \mathfrak{F}_s$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  we have:

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_A(\omega)\mathbf{1}_B(\theta)(M(\omega,t,\theta) - M(\omega,s,\theta)) = E(\mathbf{1}_A(\omega)\mathbf{1}_B(\vartheta(\omega))(M_t^\vartheta - M_s^\vartheta)) = 0$$

which means that IP-a.s.

$$\mathbb{E}(M(\omega, t, \theta) - M(\omega, s, \theta) | \mathcal{F}_s \otimes \mathcal{A}) = 0$$

and we have b) in the same way as c) before, since M is cadlag. (iii): If we have b), then for each  $(\omega, t, \theta)$  we have  $M_t^{\theta} = M(\omega, t, \theta)$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$  and  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  we obtain by Fubini theorem

$$0 = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_A(\omega)\mathbf{1}_B(\theta)(M(\omega, t, \theta) - M(\omega, s, \theta))) = E_\alpha(\mathbf{1}_B(\theta)E_\theta(\mathbf{1}_A(\omega)(M_t^\theta - M_s^\theta))).$$

Hence, for each s < t and  $\alpha$  - a.s.

$$E_{\theta}(\mathbf{1}_A(M_t^{\theta} - M_s^{\theta})) = 0.$$

The measurability problem which may occur here is that  $\alpha$ -a.s. set can depend on A and s. Since  $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is separable, we obtain that  $\alpha$ -a.s. for all s and all  $\mathcal{F}_s$ -measurable bounded functions  $g_s$ 

$$E_{\theta}(g_s(M_t^{\theta} - M_s^{\theta})) = 0$$

and, hence,

$$E_{\theta}(M_t^{\theta} - M_s^{\theta}|\mathcal{F}_s) = 0$$

which gives a).

Proof We show that a), b), c) are equivalent. With the notation of Theorem 2.1, the processes  $M^{\theta}(l)$ ,  $N^{\theta}(l)$  and  $U^{\theta}(l)$  are  $(P, \mathbf{F})$  local martingales. Since the semimartingale  $\tilde{X}$  has bounded jumps, all these local martingales are also locally bounded, i.e. for each  $\theta$  there exists a localising sequence  $\tau_L^{\theta}$  such that the stopped processes are bounded. By Lemma 4.3 the replacing  $\theta$  by  $\vartheta$  in stopping times gives  $\tau_L^{\vartheta}(\omega)$  which is  $(P, \mathbf{G})$ -stopping times. Moreover, the application  $\tau_L : (\omega, t, \theta) \to \tau_L^{\theta}$  is  $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{G})$ -stopping time.

Next, by Lemma 4.2 the replacing of  $\theta$  by  $\vartheta$  in  $T^{\theta}$  which supposed to be  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ -measurable, gives  $T^{\vartheta}$  which is  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{G})$ -measurable. Moreover, the application  $T': (\omega, t, \theta) \to T^{\theta}$  is  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{G})$ -measurable.

Finally, the claim follows now from the Lemma 4.4 which guaranties the conservation of martingale properties in the case of replacing  $\theta$  by the variable  $\vartheta$  and in the case of replacing of the initial space by product space.

In the considered case when  $P^{\theta}$  is the conditional law of semimartingale X given  $\vartheta = \theta$ , one can rewrite the assumption 3 in terms of so-called decoupling measure Q as in [14]. Let us suppose that the density process  $z = (z^{\theta})_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$  measurable. Then we can replace  $\theta$  by  $\vartheta$  to obtain  $z^{\vartheta}$ . We denote by  $P_t$  and  $Q_t$  the restrictions of the measures P and Q to  $\mathcal{G}_t$  where  $\mathbf{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is enlarged with the initial value  $\vartheta$  filtration. If for all t > 0,  $z_t^{\vartheta} > 0$  P-a.s., we can define Q by

$$dQ_t = (z_t^\vartheta)^{-1} dP_t.$$

The decoupling measure has the following property:  $(Q, \mathbf{G})$ - triplet of X is the same as the  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - triplet of X and  $\mathcal{L}(\vartheta|Q) = \mathcal{L}(\vartheta|P)$ . We can also use an another definition of a decoupling measure Q, namely as a solution of the following martingale problem, if it exists and is unique: the  $(Q, \mathbf{G})$ - triplet of X is the same as the  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - triplet of X and  $\mathcal{L}(\vartheta|Q) = \mathcal{L}(\vartheta|P)$ .

**Remark 4.2.** If for all t > 0,  $z_t^{\vartheta} > 0$  *P*-a.s., the assumption 3 is equivalent to the assumption:

$$E_Q[z^\vartheta, z^\vartheta]_{\tau_n}^{1/2} < \infty \tag{4.9}$$

for some localising sequence of  $\mathbf{F}$ - stopping times  $\tau_n$ . We note that  $[z^{\vartheta}, z^{\vartheta}]^{1/2}$ is  $(Q, \mathbf{G})$ - locally integrable (see [19, Corollary I.4.55]). Here we require the existence of a localising sequence of  $\mathbf{F}$ -stopping times.

**Theorem 4.2.** Under the settings of Theorem (4.1), assume a) and (4.9) hold. Then X is a  $(P, \mathbf{G})$ - semimartingale with the triplet  $T^{\vartheta} = (B^{\vartheta}, C, \nu^{\vartheta})$ .

**Proof** Using the proof of Theorem (4.1) we conclude that it remains to prove that  $B^{\vartheta}$  is of locally integrable variation with respect to P. Since  $B^{\vartheta}$  is obtained from  $B^{\theta}$  by replacing  $\theta$  by  $\vartheta$ , we have: for t > 0

$$\operatorname{Var}(B^{\vartheta})_t \leq \operatorname{Var}(B)_t + (|\beta^{\vartheta}| \cdot C)_t + (|Y^{\vartheta} - 1| l \star \nu)_t.$$

Since B is locally integrable with respect to P, the question of local integrability of  $B^{\vartheta}$  is reduced to the existence of localising sequence of **F** stopping times  $\tau_n$  such that for each  $n \geq 1$ 

$$E_P \left( |\beta^{\vartheta}| \cdot C)_{\tau} + |Y^{\vartheta} - 1| l \star \nu \right)_{\tau_n} < \infty.$$

$$(4.10)$$

We have:

$$E_P\left((|\beta^{\vartheta}| \cdot C)_{\tau_n} + (|Y^{\vartheta} - 1|l \star \nu)_{\tau_n}\right)$$
  
=  $E_Q\{z_{\tau}^{\vartheta}\left((|\beta^{\vartheta}| \cdot C)_{\tau_n} + (|Y^{\vartheta} - 1|l \star \nu)_{\tau_n}\right)\}$   
=  $E_Q\{(z_{-}^{\vartheta}|\beta^{\vartheta}| \cdot C)_{\tau_n} + (z_{-}^{\vartheta}|Y^{\vartheta} - 1|l \star \nu)_{\tau_n}\}$   
=  $E_Q\{(z_{-}^{\vartheta}|\beta^{\vartheta}| \cdot C)_{\tau_n} + (z_{-}^{\vartheta}|Y^{\vartheta} - 1|l \star \mu^X)_{\tau_n}\}$   
=  $E_Q\operatorname{Var}([z^{\vartheta}, X(l) - B])_{\tau_n}$ 

By Fefferman inequality, (see [15, Theorem 10.17]) and the fact that X(l) - B is both  $(Q, \mathbf{G})$ - and  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - local martingale we deduce that

$$E_Q \operatorname{Var}([z^\vartheta, X(l) - B])_{\tau_n} \leq \parallel (X(l) - B)^{\tau_n} \parallel_{BMO} E_Q[z^\vartheta, z^\vartheta]_{\tau_n}^{1/2}.$$

From proposition 2.38 in [17] it follows easily that the  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ -local martingale (X(l) - B) is  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ -locally in BMO since it has bounded jumps, and by assumption (4.9) there is a localising sequence of  $\mathbf{F}$ -stopping times  $\tau_n$  tending to infinity which makes the last expression finite. Hence, the inequality (4.10) holds and  $B^{\vartheta}$  has locally integrable variation with respect to P.

**Remark 4.3.** Assumption 4.9 can be expressed in term of information. More precisely,

$$E_Q([z^\vartheta, z^\vartheta]^{1/2}_\tau) \le C(1 + E_Q(z^\vartheta_\tau log z^\vartheta_\tau))$$

The boundness of this information was used in [10] to verify stochastic Fubini theorem.

#### 4.2 Initial enlargement and gaussian martingales

Let us first consider a classical example of initial enlargement of filtration. Here X is a continuous Gaussian martingale with respect to the measure P starting from zero and such that there exists  $\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t = X_{\infty}$ .

Let  $\vartheta = X_{\infty}$ . We denote by  $\langle X \rangle$  the predictable quadratic variation of X and we put  $\langle X \rangle_{t,\infty} := \langle X \rangle_{\infty} - \langle X \rangle_t$ .

The prior distribution  $\alpha(d\theta) := P(\vartheta \in d\theta)$  is a  $\mathcal{N}(0, \langle X \rangle_{\infty})$  and the posterior distribution  $\alpha^t$  of  $\vartheta$  given  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is  $\mathcal{N}(X_t, \langle X \rangle_{t,\infty})$ .

Assume  $\langle X \rangle_{t,\infty} > 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , then  $\alpha^t$  is equivalent to  $\alpha$ , so the assumption (4.1) is valid.

From the Ito formula with the function  $f(x, y) = x^2/y$  applied to the first term in exponential we have:

$$\frac{d\alpha^{t}}{d\alpha}(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{\langle X \rangle_{\infty}}}{\sqrt{\langle X \rangle_{t,\infty}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\theta - X_{t})^{2}}{2\langle X \rangle_{t,\infty}} + \frac{\theta^{2}}{2\langle X \rangle_{\infty}}\right\}$$
$$= \exp\left\{\int_{0}^{t} \beta_{s}^{\theta} dX_{s} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\beta_{s}^{\theta}\right)^{2} d\langle X \rangle_{s}\right\},$$

where

$$\beta_s^{\theta} := \frac{\theta - X_s}{\langle X \rangle_{s,\infty}}.$$

Since  $\beta^{\theta}$  is predictable process for each  $\theta \in \Theta$ , continuous in  $\theta$  uniformly in  $t \in [0,T]$  for each T > 0, the application  $(\omega, t, \theta) \to \beta^{\theta}_t$  is  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ measurable. By Theorem 4.1 we can now conclude that the process

$$X_t - \int_0^t \frac{(X_\infty - X_s)}{\langle X \rangle_{s,\infty}} d\langle X \rangle_s$$

is a  $(P, \mathbf{G})$ - Gaussian martingale with the bracket  $\langle X \rangle$ . We give some special cases of the above results.

• Let Y be a Brownian motion and put  $X_t = \int_0^t a_s dY_s$ , where a is deterministic square-integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . If  $a_s := I_{(0,T]}(s)$ , then we have:  $\vartheta = Y_T$ ,  $\langle X \rangle_{t,\infty} = T - t$  for  $t \leq T$  and  $\beta_s^{\theta} = \frac{\theta - Y_s}{T - s}$  and hence we have the classical representation of the Brownian bridge:

$$Y_t = \int_0^t \frac{Y_T - Y_s}{T - s} ds + Y_t^{\mathbf{G}},$$

where  $Y^{\mathbf{G}}$  is a Brownian motion with respect to  $\mathbf{G}$ .

- In the previous case take  $a = I_{(0,T+\eta]}$ . We obtain the case of final value distorted by a small noise example from [1].
- Assume that Y is a fractional Brownian motion and let  $X_t := E[Y_T|F_t^Y]$  be the prediction martingale. This example and related will be studied in detail in [12].

#### 4.3 Initial enlargement in the Poisson filtration

Assume that X is a Poisson process with intensity 1 on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F}, P)$  stopped in time T and let  $\vartheta = X_T$ . Here prior distribution  $\alpha$  is Poisson(T) and posterior distribution

$$\alpha^{t}(\theta) = \begin{cases} e^{T-t} \frac{(T-t)^{\theta-X_{t}}}{(\theta-X_{t})!} & \text{if } \theta \geq X_{t}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta < X_{t}. \end{cases}$$
(4.11)

Next, for all  $t \in [0, T[$  we have  $\alpha^t \prec \prec \alpha$  and

$$\frac{d\alpha^t}{d\alpha}(\theta) = e^{-t} \frac{(T-t)^{\theta-X_t}}{T^{\theta}} I_{\{\theta \ge X_t\}} \frac{\theta!}{(\theta-X_t)!}.$$

We put  $Y_s^{\theta} := \frac{\theta - X_{s-}}{T - s}$  and we remark that  $Y^{\theta}$  predictable process with  $0 \le Y_s^{\theta} < \infty$  for all  $s \in [0, T]$  – this follows from the fact that  $\Delta X_T = 0$  IP – a.s. Since

$$\frac{d\alpha^t}{d\alpha}(\theta) = \exp\{\int_0^t (Y_s^\theta - 1)ds\} \prod_{s \le t} (Y_s^\theta)^{\Delta X_s},$$

we obtain that with respect to the filtration **G** the standard Poisson process has the semimartingale representation: for t < T

$$X_{t} = n_{t} + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{X_{T} - X_{s-}}{T - s} ds,$$

where  $n = (n_t)_{t \ge 0}$  is a  $(P, \mathbf{G})$ - martingale.

# 4.4 Lévy processes: initial enlargement with the final value

Let X be a Lévy process. Then for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  the characteristic function of  $X_t$  is

$$Ee^{i\lambda X_t} = e^{-t\psi(\lambda)}$$

where  $\psi$  is characteristic exponent given by

$$\psi(\lambda) = ia\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\lambda^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 - e^{i\lambda x} + i\lambda x I_{\{|x|<1\}}\right) \pi(dx)$$

with  $\pi$  a measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  verifying  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 \wedge x^2) \pi(dx) < \infty$ . The  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - triplet of X is  $T = (aI, \sigma^2 I, Leb \otimes \pi)$ , where  $I_t = t$ .

We consider again stopped in T process and we take  $\vartheta := X_T$ . The process X is a time-homogeneous Markov process with independent increments and hence

$$\alpha^t(d\theta) = P(X_T \in d\theta | X_t) = P(X_{T-t} + x \in d\theta)|_{x = X_t}.$$

To be able to continue we assume that the law of the random variable  $X_s$  has a density f(s, y) with respect to fixed dominating measure  $\eta$ , i.e. for  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ 

$$P(X_s \in B) = \int_B f(s, y)\eta(dy).$$

Moreover, we assume that  $f \in C_b^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times U)$  where U is an open set belonging to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Since for  $t \in [0, T[\alpha^t \prec \prec \alpha]$ , we can write that  $\eta$ - a.s.

$$\frac{d\alpha^t}{d\alpha}(\theta) = \frac{f(T-t,\theta-X_t)}{f(T,\theta)}.$$
(4.12)

Use Itô formula to obtain

$$f(T - t, \theta - X_t) = f(T, \theta) - \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} (T - s, \theta - X_{s-}) ds$$
  
$$- \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (T - s, \theta - X_{s-}) dX_s \qquad (4.13)$$
  
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} (T - s, \theta - X_{s-}) ds$$
  
$$+ \sum_{s \le t} \left( \Delta f (T - s, \theta - X_s) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (T - s, \theta - X_{s-}) \Delta X_s \right).$$

We know that the expression in (4.12) is a  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - martingale for each  $\theta$ . So, we can identify the continuous martingale part on the right hand side of (4.13) and then the continuous martingale part of (4.12) as

$$-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(T-s,\theta-X_{s-})}{f(T,\theta)} dX_{s}^{c}.$$
(4.14)

Recall that  $z_t^{\theta} = \frac{d\alpha^t}{d\alpha}(\theta)$ . According to the Girsanov theorem the term  $\beta^{\theta}$  in the equation (2.1) is obtained as (for more details on this kind of computations see [19, Lemma III.3.31])

$$\beta_t^{\theta} = \frac{d < z^{\theta}, X^c >_t}{z_{t-}^{\theta} d < X^c, X^c >_t} = \frac{-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(T - t, \theta - X_{t-})}{f(T - t, \theta - X_{t-})}$$
$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log f(T - t, x)|_{x=\theta - X_{t-}}.$$
(4.15)

Consider next the pure jump martingale in (4.12): we have that

$$\Delta f(T-t,\theta-X_t) = f(T-t,\theta-X_t) - f(T-t,\theta-X_{t-})$$

and so

$$\frac{\Delta z_t^{\theta}}{z_{t-}^{\theta}} = \frac{f(T-t,\theta-X_t)}{f(T-t,\theta-X_{t-})} - 1,$$

from this we obtain (for more details, see [19, p. 175]) that the  $P^\theta$  compensator  $\nu^\theta$  of  $\mu^X$  is

$$\nu^{\theta}(dt, du) = \frac{f(T - t, \theta - (X_{t-} + u))}{f(T - t, \theta - X_{t-})} \pi(du) dt.$$
(4.16)

Moreover, since the expression on the right-hand side of (4.12) is a martingale, the function f(t, u) satisfies the following integro-differential equation, which might be called a Kolmogorov backward integro-differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(T-t,\theta-x) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(T-t,\theta-x) - a\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(T-t,\theta-x) \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( f\left(T-t,\theta-(x+y)\right) - f\left(T-t,\theta-x\right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(T-t,\theta-x\right)y \right) \pi(dy)$$
(4.17)

with the boundary condition  $f(T, \theta - x) = \delta_{\{0\}}(\theta - x)$ .

#### 4.4.1 Example: Brownian motion

We look again the Brownian case, as in subsection 4.2, but now using the Lévy processes approach. Since the triplet of X is  $T = (0, \sigma^2 I, 0)$ , the equation (4.17) is reduced to:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(T-t,\theta-x) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(T-t,\theta-x)$$

with boundary condition  $f(T, \theta - x) = \delta_{\{0\}}(\theta - x)$ . It is well-known that the solution is

$$f(T - t, \theta - x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(T - t)}} \exp\{-\frac{(\theta - x)^2}{2(T - t)}\}\$$

and so  $\beta^{\theta} = \frac{\theta - X_s}{T - s}$  and a new drift is  $B_t^{\theta} = \int_0^t \frac{\theta - X_s}{T - s} ds$ .

#### 4.4.2 Example: Gamma process

Let X be a Gamma process. This means that the  $(P, \mathbf{F})$  triplet of X is  $T = (\frac{a}{b}t, 0, \frac{a}{u}e^{-bu}dudt)$ . We know also that the density  $f(t, x) = P(X_t \in dx)$  is  $f(t, x) = \frac{b^{at}}{\Gamma(at)}x^{at-1}e^{-bx}$  with some parameters a, b > 0 (see [5, p.73]). In particular we have that  $X_t - \frac{a}{b}t$  is a  $(P, \mathbf{F})$  martingale.

Put again  $\vartheta = X_T$  and we have from (4.16) that the  $(P^{\theta}, F)$  compensator is

$$\nu^{\theta}(dx, dt) = (1 - \frac{x}{\theta - X_{t-}})^{a(T-t)-1} \frac{a}{x} dx dt.$$

Hence,  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$  drift of the process X is

$$\int_0^t \int_0^{\theta - X_{t-}} x (1 - \frac{x}{\theta - X_{s-}})^{a(T-s) - 1} \frac{a}{x} dx dt = \int_0^t \frac{\theta - X_{s-}}{T - s} ds,$$

and this means that the process  $X_t - \frac{a}{b}t - \int_0^t \frac{\theta - X_{s-}}{T-s} ds$  is a  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$ - martingale.

#### 4.4.3 Example: Poisson process

We look again at the Poisson case, as in subsection 4.3. We indicate briefly how one can use the approach described in 4.4, where we know only the triplet of the process X. So, let X be a Poisson process with intensity  $\lambda$ . Put again  $\vartheta = X_T$ . Put  $p(t,k) := P(X_t = k)$  and we assume that for  $k \ge 0$ the functions  $p(\cdot, k) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . We know (see (4.12)) that

 $\frac{d\alpha^t}{d\alpha}(\theta) = \frac{p(T-t,\theta-X_t)}{p(T,\theta)}.$ 

We start with the trivial identity, which is the analog of Ito-formula here:

$$p(T - t, \theta - X_t) = (4.18)$$

$$p(T, \theta) - \int_0^t p_t (T - s, \theta - X_{s-}) ds + \sum_{s \le t} \Delta p(T - s, \theta - X_s).$$

Using the fact that  $\Delta X_t \in \{0, 1\}$ , we have the following identity

$$\Delta p(T - s, \theta - X_s) = (p(T - s, \theta - (X_{s-} + 1)) - p(T - s, \theta - X_{s-}))\Delta X_s;$$

and since the right-hand side of (4.18) is a  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - martingale, we obtain that the functions p(t, k) satisfy the following system of differential equations

$$p_t(T-s,k) = \lambda(p(T-s,k) - p(T-s,k+1));$$
(4.19)

and, hence,

$$p(T-s,k) = e^{-\lambda(T-s)} \frac{(\lambda(T-s))^k}{k!}$$

is the solution of the system (4.19) with boundary condition  $p(T, \theta - x) = \delta_{\{0\}}(\theta - x)$ . It remains to note that

$$p(T-s,k) - p(T-s,k+1) = p(T-s,k)\left(\frac{k+1}{\lambda(T-s)} - 1\right)$$
(4.20)

and we can conclude that with respect to the measure  $P^{\theta}$  the process X has intensity  $\frac{\theta - X_{s-}}{T-s}$ . This means that the process  $X_t - \int_0^t \frac{\theta - X_{s-}}{T-s} ds$  is a  $(P^{\theta}, \mathbf{F})$ -martingale.

## 5 Weak information

In this and in the next sections we discuss briefly some other applications of the Bayesian viewpoint related with the enlargement and arithmetic mean measure.

#### 5.1 Weak insider information

The notion of weak information in mathematical finance was introduced by Baudoin [3, 4]. Before we discuss briefly this notion, recall our basic setup. We have a semimartingale X on a filtered space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F}^X, P)$  with the rightcontinuous version of natural filtration  $\mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t^X)_{t\geq 0}$  completed by the  $\mathcal{F}$  sets of probability zero and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^X$ . We assume the predictable representation property for  $\mathbf{F}^X$  and we denote by  $T = (B, C, \nu)$  the  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ -triplet of X. Later, to simplify the notation, we omit the index X in the filtration.

Let  $\vartheta$  be a  $F_T$ - measurable random variable with the values in measurable polish space  $(\Theta, \mathcal{A})$ . Let  $\alpha := \mathcal{L}(\vartheta|P), \ \alpha^t(d\theta) := P(\vartheta \in d\theta|\mathcal{F}_t)$ , assume that we have (4.1), and define  $z_t^{\theta}$  by (4.3) and finally put  $dP_t^{\theta} = z_t^{\theta} dP_t$ . Recall that in this case the arithmetic mean measure is

$$\bar{P}_t^{\alpha}(B) := \int_{\Theta} P_t^{\theta}(B) \alpha(d\theta) = P(B).$$

In particular, the  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ - triplet of the semimartingale X does not change under the arithmetic mean measure  $\bar{P}^{\alpha}$  (see Remark 3.1).

Consider three types of agents in a pricing model, where by the stock price is given by the semimartingale X: ordinary agents, strong insiders and weak insiders. We do not want to go in too detailed description of the pricing model, but we define the three types by giving the information and the (historical) probability of the agent.

- ordinary agents For the ordinary agent the information is given by  $\mathbf{F}$ , the probability is P and he uses the triplet  $T = (B, C, \nu)$  to build his strategy.
- strong insiders For the strong insider the information is given in the pair  $(X, \vartheta)$ , and we can model this by initial enlargement of filtration. By using Theorem 4.1 we see that one possibility to model strong insider is to change the probability P to  $P^{\theta}$ , and the strong insider works with filtration  $\mathbf{F}$  and with the new triplet  $T^{\theta}$ .

Let us now describe the notion of weak insider in more detail. Let  $\gamma$  be the probability distribution on  $(\Theta, \mathcal{A})$ . Following [3, p. 112] we assume that  $\gamma \prec \prec \alpha$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\bar{P}^{\gamma} \prec \prec \bar{P}^{\alpha} = P$ , where

$$\bar{P}_t^{\gamma}(B) = \int_{\Theta \times B} z_t^{\theta} \gamma(d\theta) dP,$$

and the measure  $\bar{P}^{\gamma}$  is the arithmetic mean measure with respect to the prior distribution  $\gamma$ ; in [3] the corresponding measure on  $(\Omega, \mathbf{F}, F)$  is called the minimal probability associated with the conditioning  $(T, \vartheta, \gamma)$ . Hence we can model the weak insiders as follows:

• weak insiders For the weak insider the information is given by the filtration **F**, but he changes the probability measure P to the measure  $\bar{P}^{\gamma}$  and he works with the triplet  $\bar{T}^{\gamma} = (\bar{B}^{\gamma}, C, \bar{\nu}^{\gamma})$ .

Assume that we have

$$\gamma^t \prec \prec \gamma$$

and we have assumption 3 with respect to the measure  $P \otimes \gamma$ . We can now use Theorem 3.1 to compute the new triplet with respect to the measure  $\bar{P}^{\gamma}$  and we obtain:

$$\bar{B}^{\gamma} = B + \bar{\beta}^{\gamma} \cdot C + (\bar{Y}^{\gamma} - 1)l \star \nu, 
\bar{C}^{\gamma} = C, 
\bar{\nu}^{\gamma} = \bar{Y}^{\gamma} \cdot \nu,$$
(5.1)

where the predictable local characteristics  $\bar{\beta}^{\gamma}$  and  $\bar{Y}^{\gamma}$  are given by

$$\bar{\beta}_t^{\gamma} = E_{\gamma^{t-}} \beta_t^{\theta}, \quad \bar{Y}_t^{\gamma} = E_{\gamma^{t-}} Y_t^{\theta} \tag{5.2}$$

with  $\gamma^t$  and  $\gamma^{t-}$  a posteriori distributions under  $\gamma$ . Recall that  $\gamma^t$  is defined by : for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ 

$$\gamma^t(A) := \frac{\int_A z_t^\theta \gamma(d\theta)}{\int_\Theta z_t^\theta \gamma(d\theta)}$$

and  $\gamma^{t-}$  is given by the same formula with replacing  $z_t^{\theta}$  by  $z_{t-}^{\theta}$ . Define now  $\bar{m}^{\gamma}$  as

$$\bar{m}^{\gamma} = \bar{\beta}^{\gamma} \cdot X^{c} + \left(\bar{Y}^{\gamma} - 1 + \frac{\hat{\bar{Y}}^{\gamma} - \hat{1}}{1 - \hat{1}}\right) \star (\mu - \nu),$$

then we have that

$$\frac{d\bar{P}_t^{\gamma}}{dP_t} = \mathcal{E}(\bar{m}^{\gamma})_t.$$

By definition of  $\bar{P}_t^{\gamma}$  and  $\gamma^t$  we have also that

$$\frac{d\gamma^t}{d\gamma}(\theta) = \frac{dP_t^{\theta}}{d\bar{P}_t^{\gamma}} = \frac{dP_t^{\theta}}{dP_t} \frac{dP_t}{d\bar{P}_t^{\gamma}} = z_t^{\theta} \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}(\bar{m}^{\gamma})_t}$$

In comparison with  $\frac{d\alpha^t}{d\alpha}(\theta)$  which is equal to  $z_t^{\theta}$  ( $P_t \times \alpha$  -a.s.), it means that

$$\frac{d\gamma^t}{d\gamma}(\theta) = \frac{d\alpha^t}{d\alpha}(\theta) \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}(\bar{m}^{\gamma})_t}$$

#### Example: Brownian motion

Let X be a Brownian motion stopped in T and suppose that the Brownian filtration **F** is enlarged by  $\vartheta = X_T$ . In this example T = (0, I, 0) and  $\beta^{\theta} = \frac{\theta - X_t}{T - t}$ . Consider the example of *final value distorted with a noise*. We suppose that the weak insider knows in advance the value y of random variable  $Y = X_T + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is independent of  $X_T$  and has  $\mathcal{N}(0, \eta^2)$  as law. The prior of the insider with weak information is  $\gamma = P(X_T|Y)$ , which by theorem of normal correlation is  $\mathcal{N}(m, \sigma^2)$  with  $\sigma^2 = (T^{-1} + \eta^{-2})^{-1}$  and  $m = Y\sigma^2/\eta^2$ .

For t < T the posterior distribution is  $\gamma^t := P(X_T|Y, X_t)$ , which by the theorem of normal correlation is  $\mathcal{N}(m_t, \sigma_t^2)$  with  $\sigma_t^2 = ((T-t)^{-1} + \eta^{-2})^{-1}$  and  $m_t = (Y\eta^{-2} + X_t(T-t)^{-1})\sigma_t^2$ .

According to previous results on the triplets a new drift of X under the insider measure is given by

$$\bar{B}_t^{\gamma} = \int_0^t \frac{E_{\gamma^s} \vartheta - X_s}{T - s} ds.$$
(5.3)

Since

$$E_{\gamma^s}\vartheta = \frac{Y(T-s) + X_s \eta^{-2}}{T-s+\eta^{-2}}$$

we have after simplification that

$$\bar{B}_t^{\gamma} = \int_0^t \frac{Y - X_s}{T - s + \eta^2} ds.$$

**Remark 5.1.** One can analyse the increasing information along the same lines. By this we mean that the insider obtains dynamically more and more precise information about the random variable  $\vartheta$ . A model of this type is the following: in addition to the price process X the insider observes the process Y, where

$$Y_t = \vartheta + \epsilon_t,$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a semimartingale, independent of the random variable  $\vartheta$  such that  $\epsilon_t \to 0$  P- a.s., when  $t \to T$ . This kind of models are analysed in [7].

## 6 Additional expected logarithmic utility of an insider

#### 6.1 Introduction

We consider the pricing model with two assets, the stock (risky asset) and the bond (riskless asset). We assume as in [1] that the process X has the dynamics

$$dX_t = \mu_t d\langle M \rangle_t + dM_t \tag{6.1}$$

here  $\mu$  is a predictable process, and M is a continuous Gaussian martingale with a deterministic bracket  $\langle M \rangle$ . The bond B has dynamics  $dB_t = rB_t dt$ and we assume that the interest rate r is equal to zero, so that  $B_t = 1$  for all t.

We assume that the stock price S has the dynamics

$$dS_t = S_t dX_t.$$

We assume that if we have fixed the investment strategy  $\pi$  we have the dynamics

$$dV_t^{\pi} = \pi_t V_t^{\pi} dX_t.$$

Then it can be shown that with respect to logarithmic utility, the average optimal strategy  $\pi^o$  of an ordinary investor is to take  $\pi^o := \mu$ . Note that here the average optimal strategy is computed with respect to the measure P.

#### 6.2 Additional expected utility of strong insiders

Now consider a strong insider who knows the final value of the stock. We assume that it is the same as the insider knows the final value of the martingale  $M_T$ . Put again  $\vartheta = M_T$ .

Then he can model the dynamics of X as

$$dX_t = (\mu_t + \beta_t^{\theta}) d\langle M \rangle_t + dM_t^{\theta}.$$
(6.2)

Here  $M^{\theta}$  is a continuous **G**-martingale with

$$M_t^{\theta} = M_t - \int_0^t \beta_s^{\theta} d\langle M \rangle_s$$

and

$$\beta_t^{\theta} = \frac{\theta - M_t}{\langle M \rangle_{t,T}}$$

where  $\langle M \rangle_{t,T} = \langle M \rangle_T - \langle M \rangle_t$ . Again the optimal expected investment strategy of an insider agent for the logarithmic utility is  $\pi^i = \mu + \beta^{\theta}$ . Note that the expectation is computed with respect to the measure IP which is the joint law of  $(M, \vartheta(\omega))$ . The log-value of the optimal strategy for the ordinary investor is

$$\log V_t^{\pi^o} = \log V_0 + \int_0^t \mu_s dM_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mu_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s.$$
 (6.3)

Similarly, the log-value of the optimal strategy for the insider investor is

$$\log V_t^{\pi^i} = \log V_0 + \int_0^t (\mu_s + \beta_s^{\theta}) dM_s^{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (\beta_s^{\theta} + \mu_s)^2 d\langle M \rangle_s.$$
(6.4)

To calculate the expectation  ${\rm I\!E}$  with respect to  ${\rm I\!P}$  we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.1.** Let for each  $\theta \in \Theta$   $u^{\theta} = (u_t^{\theta})_{t\geq 0}$  be a positive **F**-adapted cadlag process. Suppose that the application  $u : (\omega, t, \theta) \to u_t^{\theta}(\omega)$  is  $O(\mathbb{G})$ -measurable with  $\mathbb{G}$  defined by (4.2). Then

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{t} u_{s}^{\theta} d\langle M \rangle_{s} = E \int_{0}^{t} \bar{u}_{s}^{\alpha} d\langle M \rangle_{s}$$
(6.5)

where E is the expectation with respect to P and  $\bar{u}_s^{\alpha}$  is the posterior mean of  $u_s^{\theta}$  namely

$$\bar{u}_s^{\alpha} = E_{\alpha^{s-}} u_s^{\theta}$$

Proof Recall first the following fact. Assume that  $y = (y_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a positive uniformly integrable  $(P, \mathbf{F})$ -martingale and D is a predictable increasing process, with  $D_0 = 0$ . Then by [15, Theorem 5.16, p. 144 and Remark 5.3, p. 137]

$$E(y_t D_t) = E \int_0^t ({}^p Y)_s dD_s = \mathbb{E} \int_0^t Y_{s-} dD_s.$$
(6.6)

Since  $z^{\theta}$  is the conditional density of the law of X given  $\vartheta = \theta$  with respect to P, we have using (6.6) and ordinary Fubini theorem that

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{I\!E}(\int_0^t u_s^\theta d\langle M \rangle_s) &= E(\int_{\Theta} z_t^\theta \int_0^t u_s^\theta d\langle M \rangle_s d\alpha) = \int_{\Theta} E\left(z_t^\theta \int_0^t u_s^\theta d\langle M \rangle_s\right) d\alpha \\ &= \left(\int_{\Theta} E \int_0^t z_{s-}^\theta u_s^\theta d\langle M \rangle_s d\alpha\right) = E \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Theta} z_{s-}^\theta u_s^\theta d\alpha\right) d\langle M \rangle_s \\ &= E \int_0^t \bar{u}_s^\alpha d\langle M \rangle_s. \end{split}$$

This proves (6.5).

Let us now compute the expected utility from the insider point of view. This means that we take the expectation of (6.4) with respect to the insider measure IP which is the joint law of  $(\omega, \vartheta)$ . In the computation we use the fact that the martingale M has a drift  $\int_0^{\cdot} \beta_s^{\theta} d\langle M \rangle_s$  with respect to the insider measure. We obtain:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(\log V_t^{\pi^i} - \log V_t^{\pi^o}) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (\mu_s + \beta_s^\theta)^2 d\langle M \rangle_s \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \mu_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s - \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \mu_s dM_s \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (\beta_s^\theta)^2 d\langle M \rangle_s \\ &= \frac{1}{2} E \int_0^t \bar{v}_s^\alpha(\beta) d\langle M \rangle_s \end{split}$$

where  $\bar{v}_s^{\alpha}(\beta)$  is the posterior variance of the process  $\beta_s^{\theta}$ . Next we give Bayesian interpretation of this result. Note first that the Kullback-Leibler information in the prior with respect to posterior is

$$I(\alpha | \alpha^{\tau}) := E_{\alpha^{\tau}} \log \frac{d\alpha^{\tau}}{d\alpha}(\theta).$$

In our case we have:

$$\mathbb{E}(\log V_t^{\pi^i} - \log V_t^{\pi^o}) = EI(\alpha | \alpha^t).$$

For more information on this kind of computations we refer to [10].

We compute next the difference of the expected gain from the ordinary agent point of view. This has the interpretation that the ordinary agent has excess to the insider information, but he thinks that this is false. We model this by the measure  $P \otimes \alpha$  – this means that the ordinary agent does not change his triplet. So the expected utility gain has to be calculated using the measure  $P \otimes \alpha$ . With a similar computation to the previous one we obtain that

$$E_{P\otimes\alpha}(\log V_t^{\pi^o} - \log V_t^{\pi^i}) = \frac{1}{2}E_{P\otimes\alpha}\int_0^t (\beta_s^\theta)^2 d\langle M \rangle_s.$$

The Kullback-Leibler information in the posterior  $\alpha^{\tau}$  with respect to the prior  $\alpha$  is define by

$$I(\alpha^{\tau}|\alpha) := E_{\alpha} \log \frac{d\alpha}{d\alpha^{\tau}}.$$

For our model we can conclude that

$$E_{P\otimes\alpha}(\log V_t^{\pi^o} - \log V_t^{\pi^i}) = EI(\alpha^t | \alpha).$$

Note that the differences of the expected gains are in both cases positive – this reflects the fact the investors act optimally according to their own model.

## 6.3 Additional expected logarithmic utility of weak insider

Assume that  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  are two different equivalent priors for the parameter  $\vartheta$ ; we can define the arithmetic mean measures  $\bar{P}^{\gamma}$  and  $\bar{P}^{\alpha}$ ; we can compute the  $(\mathbf{F}, \bar{P}^{\gamma})$  and  $(\mathbf{F}, \bar{P}^{\alpha})$  triplets of the semimartingale X by (3.1). Note that here we do not assume that  $\alpha$  is the marginal law of the parameter  $\vartheta$ . Denote the optimal strategies based on the weak information for the prior  $\gamma$ 

and  $\alpha$  by  $\pi^{w,\gamma}$  and  $\pi^{w,\alpha}$  respectively.

Then, with a familiar computation

$$E_{\bar{P}^{\gamma}}\left(\log V_{t}^{w,\gamma} - \log V_{t}^{w,\alpha}\right) = \frac{1}{2}E_{\bar{P}^{\gamma}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} (\overline{\beta}_{s}^{\gamma} - \overline{\beta}_{s}^{\alpha})^{2} d\langle M \rangle_{s}\right)$$
(6.7)

where

$$\bar{\beta}_s^{\gamma} = E_{\gamma^{s-}} \beta_s^{\theta}, \ \bar{\beta}_s^{\alpha} = E_{\alpha^{s-}} \beta_s^{\theta}.$$

We remark that the right-hand side of (6.7) is nothing else as Kullback-Leibler information of  $\bar{P}^{\alpha}$  in  $\bar{P}^{\gamma}$  and, hence,

$$E_{\bar{P}^{\gamma}}\left(\log V_t^{w,\gamma} - \log V_t^{w,\alpha}\right) = I(\bar{P}^{\alpha}|\bar{P}^{\gamma})_t.$$

Note that

$$0 \leq I(\bar{P}^{\alpha}|\bar{P}^{\gamma})_{t} = E_{\bar{P}^{\gamma}}\log\left(\frac{dP_{t}^{\gamma}}{d\bar{P}_{t}^{\alpha}}\right) =$$
$$= \int_{\Theta} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \log\left(\frac{dP_{t}^{\theta}}{d\bar{P}_{t}^{\alpha}}\right) - \log\left(\frac{dP_{t}^{\theta}}{d\bar{P}_{t}^{\gamma}}\right) \right\} P_{t}^{\theta}(d\omega)\gamma(d\theta)$$
$$= E_{\gamma} \left\{ I(P_{t}^{\theta}|\bar{P}_{t}^{\alpha}) - I(P_{t}^{\theta}|\bar{P}_{t}^{\gamma}) \right\} = E_{\bar{P}_{t}^{\gamma}} \left\{ I(\alpha|\alpha^{t}) - I(\gamma|\gamma^{t}) \right\}$$

In particular this means that

$$E_{\bar{P}_t^{\gamma}}I(\gamma|\gamma^t) = \inf_{\alpha} E_{\bar{P}_t^{\gamma}}I(\alpha|\alpha^t)$$

where infinum is taken over all measures  $\alpha$  which are equivalent to  $\gamma$ . The interpretation is that if one believes in his own prior  $\gamma$ , he expects to get less information from the data than any other person using the same model with a "wrong" prior.

## References

- Amendinger, J., Imkeller, P. and Schweizer, M. : Additional logarithmic utility of an insider. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 75, 263– 286 (1998).
- [2] Amendinger, J. : Martingale representation theorems for initially enlarged filtrations. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 89, 101– 116 (2000)
- [3] Baudoin, F.: Conditioned stochastic differential equations: theory, examples and application to finance. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 100, 109–145, (2003)
- [4] Baudoin, F.: Modeling anticipations on financial markets. In: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1814, 43–94, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2003)
- [5] Bertoin, J.: Lévy processes. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996)
- [6] Chao, T.M. and Chou, C.S.: Girsanov transformation and its application to the theory of enlargement of filtrations. Stochastic analysis and applications, 19, 439–454, (2001)
- [7] Corcuera, J.M., Imkeller, P., Kohatsu-Higa, A. and Nualart, D.: Additional utility of insiders with imperfect dynamical information. Finance and Stochastics, 8, 437–450 (2004)
- [8] Dzhaparidze, K., Spreij, P. and Valkeila, E.: On Hellinger Processes for Parametric Families of Experiments. In: Kabanov, Yu. et. al. (eds) Statistics and Control of Stochastic Processes. The Liptser Festschrift, World Scientific, Singapore, (1997)
- [9] Dzhaparidze, K. Spreij, P. and Valkeila, E.: Information concepts in filtered experiments. Theory of Probability and Mathematical Statistics, 67, 38–56 (2002)
- [10] Dzhaparidze, K. Spreij, P. and Valkeila, E.: Information processes for semimartingale experiments. Annals of Probability, **31**, 216–243 (2003)
- [11] Gasbarra, D. and Valkeila, E.: Initial enlargement: a Bayesian approach. Theory of Stochastic Processes, 9, 26–37 (2004)
- [12] Gasbarra, D., Sottinen, T. and Valkeila, E.: Gaussian bridges. Manuscript; 16 pages (2004)
- [13] Elliott, R.J. and Jeanblanc, M.: Incomplete markets with jumps and informed agents. Mathematical Methods of Operations Research, 50, 475–492 (1998)

- [14] Föllmer H. and Imkeller P.: Anticipation cancelled by a Girsanov transformation: a paradox on Wiener space. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, 29, 569–586 (1993)
- [15] He, S.-W., Wang, J.-G. and Yan, J.-A.: Semimartingale theory and stochastic calculus. Science Press, New York (1992)
- [16] Imkeller P.: Enlargement of Wiener filtration by an absolutely continuous random variable via Malliavin's calculus. Probability Theory and Related Fields, 106, 105–135 (1996)
- [17] Jacod, J.: Calcul Stochastique et problèmes de Martingales. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 714, Springer, Berlin (1979)
- [18] Jacod, J.: Grossissement initial, hypothese (H') et theoreme de Girsanov. In: Jeulin, T. and Yor, M. (eds) Grossissements de filtrations: exemples et applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1118, Springer, Berlin (1980)
- [19] Jacod, J. and Shiryaev, A.N.: Limit theorems for stochastic processes. Springer, Berlin (2003)
- [20] Jeulin, T.: Semimartingales et Grossissement d'une Filtration. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 833, Springer, Berlin (1980)
- [21] Leon, J.A., Navarro, R. and Nualart, D.: An anticipating calculus approach to the utility maximization of an insider. Mathematical Finance, 13, 171-185 (2003)
- [22] Revuz D. and Yor M.: Continuous martingales and Brownian Motion. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1999)
- [23] Stricker, C. and Yor, M.: Calcul stochastique dépendant d'un paramètre. Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete, 45, 109–133 (1978)
- [24] Yor M.: Grossisement de filtration et absolue continuité de noyaux. In: Jeulin, T. and Yor, M. (eds) Grossissements de filtrations: exemples et applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1118, Springer, Berlin (1980)
- [25] Yor M.: Some Aspects of Brownian Motion, Part II: Some recent Martingale Problems. Birkhäuser, Berlin (1997)

(continued from the back cover)

A470	Lasse Leskelä Stabilization of an overloaded queueing network using measurement-based ad- mission control March 2004
A469	Jarmo Malinen A remark on the Hille–Yoshida generator theorem May 2004
A468	Jarmo Malinen , Olavi Nevanlinna , Zhijian Yuan On a tauberian condition for bounded linear operators May 2004
A467	Jarmo Malinen , Olavi Nevanlinna , Ville Turunen , Zhijian Yuan A lower bound for the differences of powers of linear operators May 2004
A466	Timo Salin Quenching and blowup for reaction diffusion equations March 2004
A465	Ville Turunen Function Hopf algebra and pseudodifferential operators on compact Lie groups June 2004
A464	Ville Turunen Sampling at equiangular grids on the 2-sphere and estimates for Sobolev space interpolation November 2003
A463	Marko Huhtanen , Jan von Pfaler The real linear eigenvalue problem in $C^n$ November 2003
A462	Ville Turunen Pseudodifferential calculus on the 2-sphere October 2003

#### HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS RESEARCH REPORTS

The list of reports is continued inside. Electronical versions of the reports are available at *http://www.math.hut.fi/reports/*.

- A477 Tuomo T. Kuusi Moser's Method for a Nonlinear Parabolic Equation October 2004
- A476 Dario Gasbarra , Esko Valkeila , Lioudmila Vostrikova Enlargement of filtration and additional information in pricing models: a Bayesian approach October 2004
- A473 Carlo Lovadina , Rolf Stenberg Energy norm a posteriori error estimates for mixed finite element methods October 2004
- A472 Carlo Lovadina , Rolf Stenberg A posteriori error analysis of the linked interpolation technique for plate bending problems September 2004
- A471 Nuutti Hyvönen Diffusive tomography methods: Special boundary conditions and characterization of inclusions April 2004

ISBN 951-22-7331-4 ISSN 0784-3143