

A LOWER BOUND FOR THE DIFFERENCES OF POWERS OF LINEAR OPERATORS

J. Malinen, O. Nevanlinna, V. Turunen and Z. Yuan



A LOWER BOUND FOR THE DIFFERENCES OF POWERS OF LINEAR OPERATORS

J. Malinen, O. Nevanlinna, V. Turunen and Z. Yuan

J. Malinen, O. Nevanlinna, V. Turunen and Z. Yuan: *A lower bound for the differences of powers of linear operators*; Helsinki University of Technology Institute of Mathematics Research Reports A467 (2004).

Abstract: *Let T be a bounded linear operator in a Banach space, with $\sigma(T) = \{1\}$. In 1990, M. Berkani presented a conjecture on the decay of differences $(I - T)T^n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. More precisely, either*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n + 1) \| (I - T)T^n \| \geq 1/e$$

or $T = I$. We prove this claim and discuss some of its consequences.

AMS subject classifications: 47A30, 47D03, 47A10, 30C45

Keywords: Berkani's conjecture, quasi-nilpotent linear operator, differences of powers, decay

Jarmo.Malinen@hut.fi, Ville.Turunen@hut.fi

ISBN 951-22-7015-3
ISSN 0784-3143
2004

Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Engineering Physics and Mathematics
Institute of Mathematics
P.O. Box 1100, 02015 HUT, Finland
email:math@hut.fi <http://www.math.hut.fi/>

1 Introduction

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$; a bounded linear operator in a (complex) Banach space X . The following result by J. Esterle holds, see [1, Corollary 9.5]:

Proposition 1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ satisfy $\sigma(T) = \{1\}$. If $T \neq I$ then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \|(I-T)T^n\| \geq \frac{1}{96}.$$

M. Berkani improved the lower bound to $1/12$, and he conjectured that the best lower bound is $1/e$, see [6]. That $1/e$ has a special role in related estimates can also be seen in the following remark by O. Nevanlinna, see [7, Theorem 4.5.1]:

Proposition 2. *Assume that there exists $\{\lambda_j\} \subset \sigma(T)$ such that $|\lambda_j| < 1$ and $|\lambda_j| \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \|(I-T)T^n\| \geq \frac{1}{e}.$$

The constant $1/e$ appears also in the well-known “continuous time” case [2, Theorem 10.3.6].

In this paper, we show that M. Berkani’s and J. Esterle’s conjecture is right in the sense that Proposition 1 holds with $1/96$ replaced by $1/e$. We use a related but more careful analysis that has already been used in [1], involving the univalent functions $g_n(z) = z(1-z)^n$. We give also another variant of Proposition 2 without restrictions on $\sigma(T)$.

All of these results were first presented in [9] (Z. Yuan, 2002) with somewhat longer proofs. That $1/e$ in Proposition 1 is a valid lower bound, is also proved in [3] (N. Kalton, S. Montgomery-Smith, K. Oleszkiewicz, and Y. Tomilov, 2002) by quite different means. Both of the existing approaches can be generalized to a larger class of results, but these respective classes are different (and we shall not discuss these generalizations here). An example is given in [3], indicating that the constant $1/e$ is the best possible. The construction is a modification of an example given in [4] (Lyubich, 2001); see also [5] (O. E. Maasalo, 2003).

2 Estimating $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \|(I-T)T^n\|$

Denote $\mathbb{D}(R) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$, and let $g : \mathbb{D}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function satisfying $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(0) \neq 0$. Then there exists a maximal radius R_u , $0 < R_u \leq R$, such that g is an *univalent* (i.e. an injective analytic) function on the disk $\mathbb{D}(R_u)$. It is then easy to see that the image of $g(\mathbb{D}(R_u))$ contains an open disc, centered at origin. Let $0 < c < \infty$ be the largest radius such that $\mathbb{D}(c) \subset g(\mathbb{D}(R_u))$. Then there exists an analytic function $f : \mathbb{D}(c) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(R_u)$ such that

$$(g \circ f)(z) := g(f(z)) = z \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}(c). \quad (1)$$

We denote the spectral radius of $L \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ by $\rho(L)$. If $\rho(L) = 0$, then L is called *quasi-nilpotent*. With these notations, we can prove the following proposition:

Proposition 3. *Let $g : \mathbb{D}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function such that $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(0) \neq 0$. Let the constants c and R_u be as above. Then for all $0 < \eta < 1$*

$$\inf \{ \|g(L)\| : L \in \mathcal{L}(X), \rho(L) = 0, \|L\| \geq R_u \eta (1 - \eta)^{-1} \} \geq \eta c.$$

Proof. The proof is carried out by showing that the set

$$\{L \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \rho(L) = 0, \|g(L)\| < \eta c, \|L\| \geq R_u \eta (1 - \eta)^{-1}\}$$

is empty for all $0 < \eta < 1$. This is achieved by using the Cauchy estimates for the function f defined in (1). Denote the power series representations

$$f(z) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j z^j \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j z^j.$$

Clearly $f : \mathbb{D}(c) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(R_u)$ means that $\sup_{|z| < c} |f(z)| \leq R_u$, and then the Cauchy estimates give $|a_j| r^j \leq R_u$ for each $r < c$ and $j \geq 1$. Letting $r \rightarrow c-$, we get that $|a_j| c^j \leq R_u$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ be an arbitrary quasi-nilpotent operator. Then $g(L)$ is quasi-nilpotent by the spectral mapping theorem, as $g(0) = 0$. Similarly $Y := f(g(L))$ is also quasi-nilpotent. Let now $0 < \eta < 1$, and assume that $\|g(L)\| < \eta c$. It now follows from the above Cauchy estimates that

$$\|Y\| \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} |a_j| \cdot \|g(L)\|^j < \sum_{j \geq 1} |a_j| c^j \cdot \eta^j \leq R_u \eta (1 - \eta)^{-1};$$

hence $\|Y\| < R_u \eta (1 - \eta)^{-1}$.

We proceed to show that $Y = L$. Since Y is quasi-nilpotent, $g(Y)$ is well-defined. By the associativity

$$g(Y) = g[f(g(L))] = g(f[g(L)]) = (g \circ f)(g(L)) = g(L)$$

because $(g \circ f)(z) = z$ for any $z \in \mathbb{D}(c)$. As $g(0) = 0$, it follows that $\sigma(g(L)) = \{0\} \subset \mathbb{D}(c)$. Using the power series of g , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g(Y) - g(L) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j Y^j - \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j L^j \\ &= (Y - L) \left(b_1 I + \sum_{j \geq 2} b_j [Y^{j-1} + Y^{j-2} L + \dots + L^{j-1}] \right) \\ &= (Y - L)(b_1 I + U), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $b_1 = g'(0) \neq 0$ and $U := \sum_{j \geq 2} b_j [Y^{j-1} + Y^{j-2} L + \dots + L^{j-1}]$.

We know that $Y = f(g(L))$ is quasi-nilpotent, and it is actually a function of L . We now consider function h defined in $\mathbb{D}(R_u)$ as follows

$$h(z) := \sum_{j \geq 2} b_j [f(g(z))^{j-1} + f(g(z))^{j-2} z + \dots + z^{j-1}].$$

Then $h(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}(R_u)$ and $h(0) = 0$. So $h(L)$ is well-defined and $U = h(L)$. Since both L and Y are quasi-nilpotent, we see that U is quasi-nilpotent. Therefore $b_1 I + U$ is boundedly invertible. This together with

(2) implies that $Y = L$. Hence for any $0 < \eta < 1$ and any quasi-nilpotent $L \in \mathcal{L}(X)$

$$\|g(L)\| < \eta c \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|L\| = \|Y\| < R_u \eta (1 - \eta)^{-1}.$$

This proves the claim. \square

A somewhat analogous result to the previous proposition is [3, Theorem 4.5]. We proceed to study the functions

$$g_n(z) := (1 - z)^n z \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \quad (3)$$

that made their appearance also in J. Esterle's original argument. We shall make use of the constants $R_u^{(n)}$ and $c^{(n)}$ defined as follows:

(i) $R_u^{(n)} > 0$ is the largest radius of an open disc $\mathbb{D}(R_u^{(n)})$ such that $g_n(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}(R_u^{(n)})$.

(ii) $c^{(n)} > 0$ is the largest radius of an open disc $\mathbb{D}(c^{(n)})$ such that

$$\mathbb{D}(c^{(n)}) \subset g_n(\mathbb{D}(0, R_u^{(n)})).$$

Because $g'_n(z) = (1 - z)^{n-1}(1 - (n + 1)z)$ and hence $g'_n(1/(n + 1)) = 0$, it follows by elementary function theory that $R_u^{(n)} \leq 1/(n + 1)$. In essence, the proof of Theorem 1 reduces to showing that equality holds here. For this, we shall provide two different proofs. In the first proof, we shall use (with some modifications) the *positive real univalence criterion*, see e.g. [8, p. 16]:

Lemma 1. *Suppose Ω is a convex region, $f \in H(\Omega)$, and $\Re f'(z) > 0$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Then f is univalent in Ω .*

It clearly follows for any angle $\gamma \in [0, 2\pi)$ that if $\Re[e^{i\gamma} f'(z)] \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Omega$, then f is univalent in Ω . In particular it follows that $\Im f'(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Omega$ implies that f is univalent in Ω .

Let the function $g_n(z)$ be defined by (3). Define $\mathbb{D}_+(0, 1/(n + 1)) := \{z \in \mathbb{D}(1/(n + 1)) : \Im z > 0\}$ and $\mathbb{D}_-(0, 1/(n + 1)) := \{z \in \mathbb{D}(1/(n + 1)) : \Im z < 0\}$. We take an arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{D}(1/(n + 1))$ and write it as $z = re^{i\theta} = a + bi$, where $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$. Then

$$1 - z = 1 - r \cos \theta - ir \sin \theta = |1 - z|e^{i\alpha}$$

for some $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi]$. Since $z \in \mathbb{D}(1/(n + 1))$, we have the estimate

$$|\alpha| < |\tan \alpha| = \frac{|b|}{|1 - a|} < \frac{1/(n + 1)}{1 - 1/(n + 1)} = \frac{1}{n}. \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, we get by a direct computation

$$\begin{aligned} \Im g'_n(z) &= \Im(|1 - z|^{n-1} e^{i(n-1)\alpha} (1 - (n + 1)a - (n + 1)bi)) \\ &= |1 - z|^{n-1} (-(n + 1)b \cos(n - 1)\alpha + (1 - (n + 1)a) \sin(n - 1)\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $z \in \mathbb{D}_+(0, 1/(n+1))$; i.e. $b > 0$ and $0 < \theta < \pi$. Then we have $-1 < n\alpha < 0$ by (4) and some geometric reasoning, and moreover

$$-\pi/2 < -1 - \alpha < (n-1)\alpha < -\alpha < 0.$$

It now follows immediately that $-(n+1)b \cos(n-1)\alpha < 0$ and $(1 - (n+1)a) \sin(n-1)\alpha < 0$, and hence $\Im g'_n(z) < 0$. By a similar argument, $\Re g'_n(z) > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}_-(0, 1/(n+1))$. By Lemma 1, the function g_n is univalent in both $\mathbb{D}_+(0, 1/(n+1))$ and $\mathbb{D}_-(0, 1/(n+1))$. A more refined analysis is required to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 4. *The functions $g_n(z) = (1-z)^n z$ are univalent in the disc $\mathbb{D}(1/(n+1))$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Fix $n \geq 1$. If the claim did not hold for this n , then there would exist $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}(1/(n+1))$, such that $g_n(z_1) = g_n(z_2)$ but $z_1 \neq z_2$. From the preceding discussion, both z_1 and z_2 cannot be in the same half disc $\mathbb{D}_+(0, 1/(n+1))$ or $\mathbb{D}_-(0, 1/(n+1))$. Then z_1 and z_2 would have to satisfy (without loss of generality) one of the following conditions:

- (i) $z_1 \in \mathbb{D}_+(0, 1/(n+1))$ and $z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_-(0, 1/(n+1))$, or
- (ii) z_1 is a pure real number satisfying $-1/(n+1) < z_1 < 1/(n+1)$.

To show that (i) cannot hold, we write $z_1 = r_1 e^{i\theta_1} = a_1 + b_1 i$, $z_2 = r_2 e^{i\theta_2} = a_2 + b_2 i$, where θ_1 and θ_2 are angles satisfying $0 < \theta_1 < \pi$ and $-\pi < \theta_2 < 0$. Then we have by (4) and geometric reasoning

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - z_1 &= |1 - z_1| e^{i\alpha_1} \text{ where } -1 < n\alpha_1 < 0, \text{ and} \\ 1 - z_2 &= |1 - z_2| e^{i\alpha_2} \text{ where } 1 > n\alpha_2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $z_1 \in \mathbb{D}_+(0, 1/(n+1))$, we have $b_1 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sin |\alpha_1| &= \frac{b_1}{|1 - z_1|} = \frac{b_1}{r_1} \frac{r_1}{|1 - z_1|} = \sin \theta_1 \frac{r_1}{|1 - z_1|} \\ &< \sin \theta_1 \frac{1/(n+1)}{1 - 1/(n+1)} = \frac{1}{n} \sin \theta_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $n \sin |\alpha_1| < \sin \theta_1$. Because the function $h(x) := n \sin x - \sin nx$ for $0 < x < 1/n$ has a positive derivative, we get $\sin n|\alpha_1| < n \sin |\alpha_1| < \sin \theta_1$. As $0 < -n\alpha_1 < 1$ and \sin is increasing in $[0, \pi/2]$, it follows that $0 < -n\alpha_1 < \theta_1$ if $0 < \theta_1 \leq \pi/2$. On the other hand, if $\pi/2 < \theta_1 < \pi$ then trivially $0 < -n\alpha_1 < 1 < \pi/2 < \theta_1$. We conclude that the estimate $0 < -n\alpha_1 < \theta_1$ holds always, and hence

$$0 < n\alpha_1 + \theta_1 < \theta_1 < \pi. \quad (5)$$

Similarly, for $z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_-(0, 1/(n+1))$, we get

$$0 < -n\alpha_2 - \theta_2 < \pi, \quad (6)$$

and adding up (5) and (6) gives

$$0 < (n\alpha_1 + \theta_1) - (n\alpha_2 + \theta_2) < 2\pi. \quad (7)$$

For contradiction, let us assume that $g_n(z_1) = g_n(z_2)$. Then

$$|1 - z_1|^n e^{in\alpha_1} r_1 e^{i\theta_1} = |1 - z_2|^n e^{in\alpha_2} r_2 e^{i\theta_2},$$

and the angles would satisfy for some integer k

$$n\alpha_1 + \theta_1 = n\alpha_2 + \theta_2 + 2k\pi. \quad (8)$$

This contradicts with inequality (7), and case (i) has therefore been excluded.

Suppose now that case (ii) holds. Then $n\alpha_1 = 0$, and $\theta_1 = 0$, or π . For contradiction, assume again that $g_n(z_1) = g_n(z_2)$ which leads to equality (8). But (8) implies now $n\alpha_2 + \theta_2 = k\pi$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. But by inequalities (5) and (6), we get $0 < |n\alpha_2 + \theta_2| < \pi$ if $z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_+(0, 1/(n+1)) \cup \mathbb{D}_-(0, 1/(n+1))$. Thus z_2 is also a real number.

Since $g_n(z_1) = g_n(z_2)$ for real $-1/(n+1) < z_1, z_2 < 1/(n+1)$ implies trivially $z_1 = z_2$, the proof is now complete. \square

Now comes the other, shorter proof for Proposition 4:

Proof. Let $z = re^{i\phi} \in \mathbb{C}$, where $0 \leq r < 1/(n+1)$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$. Now

$$g_n(z) = R(r, \phi) e^{i\Phi(r, \phi)},$$

where $r_\phi = \sqrt{1 - 2r \cos(\phi) + r^2}$, $\Phi(r, \phi) = \phi - n \arcsin(r \sin(\phi)/r_\phi)$ and $R(r, \phi) = r \cdot r_\phi^n$; note that $\arcsin : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ is the inverse function of $\sin : [-\pi/2, \pi/2] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$. Mapping $\phi \mapsto \Phi(r, \phi)$ is injective on \mathbb{R} , because by writing $t = \cos(\phi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Phi(r, \phi)}{\partial \phi} &= (1 - (n+2)rt + (n+1)r^2) (1 - 2rt + r^2)^{-1} \\ &\geq (1 - (n+2)r + (n+1)r^2) (1 - 2rt + r^2)^{-1} \\ &= (1 - r) (1 - (n+1)r) (1 - 2rt + r^2)^{-1} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last estimate follows as $r < 1/(n+1)$. Notice furthermore that $\Phi(r, 2\pi k) = 2\pi k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, if ϕ is fixed then

$$\frac{\partial R(r, \phi)}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial \Phi(r, \phi)}{\partial \phi} (1 - 2rt + r^2)^{n/2} > 0.$$

Hence $r \mapsto R(r, \phi)$ is injective on $[0, 1/(n+1))$, and the claim follows. \square

In other words, we have now proved that $R_u^{(n)} = 1/(n+1)$ for all $n \geq 1$. The other sequence of constants can be determined easily:

Proposition 5. *The constants $c^{(n)}$ (as introduced earlier) satisfy*

$$c^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^n \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Proof. Clearly for any fixed n ,

$$c^{(n)} = \inf_{z \in \partial \mathbb{D}(R_u^{(n)})} |g_n(z)|.$$

Since $|(1-z)^n z| \geq (1-R_u^{(n)})^n R_u^{(n)}$ for all z satisfying $|z| = R_u^{(n)}$, we get $c^{(n)} \geq \frac{1}{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^n$ as $R_u^{(n)} = 1/(n+1)$ by Proposition 4. By choosing $z = R_u^{(n)}$, we see that even the equality holds. \square

Now we are prepared to prove our main result. The required improvement of Proposition 1 follows by taking $L = I - T$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, $L \neq 0$, be quasi-nilpotent. Then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \|(I-L)^n L\| \geq \frac{1}{e}.$$

Proof. Define the functions g_n and the constants $R_u^{(n)}$, $c^{(n)}$ as earlier. Let $0 < \eta < 1$ be arbitrary. Since by Proposition 4

$$R_u^{(n)} \eta (1-\eta)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \eta (1-\eta)^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

there exists $N(\eta) < \infty$, such that for all $n \geq N(\eta)$ we have

$$\|L\| \geq R_u^{(n)} \eta (1-\eta)^{-1}.$$

By Proposition 3 (with $g = g_n$) and Proposition 5, we have for all $n \geq N(\eta)$,

$$\|(I-L)^n L\| \geq \eta c^{(n)} = \eta \frac{1}{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{n+1}.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{n+1} = 1/e$, we get by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \|(I-L)^n L\| \geq \eta/e.$$

Because $0 < \eta < 1$ is arbitrary, the claim follows by letting $\eta \rightarrow 1$. \square

3 Estimating $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \|(I-T)T^n\|$

Theorem 2. *For any $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ either*

- (i) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \|(I-T)T^n\| \geq 1/e$ or
- (ii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \|(I-T)T^n\| = 0$ holds.

Proof. If $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \|(I-T)T^n\| = \infty$ or $T = I$, then the claim holds. It remains to consider the case when $\sup_{n \geq 0} (n+1) \|(I-T)T^n\| < \infty$ and $T \neq I$. By [7, Theorem 4.2.2], $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{D}(1) \cup \{1\}$.

If $1 \notin \sigma(T)$, then $\|T^n\| \leq Mr^n$ for some $0 \leq r < 1$ and (ii) follows. If 1 is an accumulation point of $\sigma(T)$, then (i) holds by Proposition 2. If 1 is an

isolated point, then either $\sigma(T) = \{1\}$ or there is a positive distance between 1 and $\sigma(T) \setminus \{1\}$. If $\sigma(T) = \{1\}$, then (i) holds by Theorem 1.

To complete the proof, we can assume $\text{dist}(1, \sigma(T) \setminus \{1\}) > 0$. There exist closed, nonintersecting curves Γ_1 and Γ_2 with following properties: Γ_1 lies strictly inside the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}(1)$ and it surrounds the set $\sigma(T) \setminus \{1\}$; Γ_2 surrounds point 1. Define the bounded spectral projections P_1 and P_2 , together with the corresponding closed subspaces

$$P_1 := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} (\lambda - T)^{-1} d\lambda, \quad P_2 := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_2} (\lambda - T)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

$$X_1 := P_1 X \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 := P_2 X.$$

Both X_1 and X_2 are invariant for T , $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{0\}$ and $X = X_1 + X_2$. They inherit their norms from X , and X itself is isometrically isomorphic to the exterior direct sum $\begin{smallmatrix} X_1 \\ \times \\ X_2 \end{smallmatrix}$, equipped with the norm

$$\| \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \|_{X_1 \times X_2} := \|x_1 + x_2\| \quad \text{for all } x_1 \in X_1, \quad x_2 \in X_2.$$

Define the bounded operators L and M by $L := T|_{X_1} \in \mathcal{L}(X_1)$ and $M := T|_{X_2} \in \mathcal{L}(X_2)$. Then T is isometrically equivalent to the block matrix $\begin{bmatrix} L & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} : \begin{smallmatrix} X_1 \\ \times \\ X_2 \end{smallmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{smallmatrix} X_1 \\ \times \\ X_2 \end{smallmatrix}$, and $(I - T)T^n$ is represented (apart from an isometric isomorphism) by $\begin{bmatrix} (I_{X_1} - L)L^n & 0 \\ 0 & (I_{X_2} - M)M^n \end{bmatrix}$. By the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - T)T^n\| &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} (I_{X_1} - L)L^n & 0 \\ 0 & (I_{X_2} - M)M^n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_1 \times X_2)} \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (I_{X_2} - M)M^n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_1 \times X_2)} - \left\| \begin{bmatrix} (I_{X_1} - L)L^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_1 \times X_2)} \\ &= \|(I_{X_2} - M)M^n\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2)} - \|(I_{X_1} - L)L^n\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The spectra of L and M satisfy $\sigma(L) = \sigma(T) \setminus \{1\} \subset \mathbb{D}(1)$ and $\sigma(M) = \{1\}$. It follows again immediately that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n + 1) \|(I_{X_1} - L)L^n\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_1)} = 0$. By Theorem 1

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n + 1) \|(I_{X_2} - M)M^n\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2)} \geq 1/e.$$

Therefore (9) implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n + 1) \|T^n(T - 1)\| \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n + 1) \|(I_{X_2} - M)M^n\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2)} \geq 1/e,$$

and the proof is completed. \square

The lower bound $1/e$ in Theorem 2 can be reached, see [7, Example 4.5.2].

References

- [1] J. Esterle. Quasimultipliers, representations of H^∞ , and the closed ideal problem for commutative Banach algebras. In *Radical Banach algebras and automatic continuity (Long Beach, Calif., 1981)*, volume 975 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 66–162, Berlin, 1983. Springer Verlag.

- [2] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips. *Functional analysis and semi-groups*. American Mathematical Society, 1957.
- [3] N. Kalton, S. Montgomery-Smith, K. Oleszkiewicz, and Y. Tomilov. Power-bounded operators and related norm estimates. *Preprint*, 2002.
- [4] Yu. Lyubich. The single-point spectrum operators satisfying Ritt's resolvent condition. *Studia Mathematica*, 145:135–142, 2001.
- [5] O. E. Maasalo. An example of an operator satisfying a tauberian condition. Research project in Mathematics, Helsinki University of Technology.
- [6] M. Berkani. Inégalités dans les algèbres de Banach. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de Belgique. Série B*, 42(1):105–116, 1990.
- [7] O. Nevanlinna. *Convergence of Iterations for Linear Equations*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1993.
- [8] Ch. Pommerenke. *Boundary Behaviour of Conformal Maps*, volume 299 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer Verlag, 1992.
- [9] Z. Yuan. *On the resolvent and Tauberian conditions for bounded linear operators*. Licentiate's Thesis. Helsinki University Of Technology, March 2002.

(continued from the back cover)

- A460 Timo Eirola , Jan von Pfaler
Numerical Taylor expansions for invariant manifolds
April 2003
- A459 Timo Salin
The quenching problem for the N-dimensional ball
April 2003
- A458 Tuomas Hytönen
Translation-invariant Operators on Spaces of Vector-valued Functions
April 2003
- A457 Timo Salin
On a Refined Asymptotic Analysis for the Quenching Problem
March 2003
- A456 Ville Havu , Harri Hakula , Tomi Tuominen
A benchmark study of elliptic and hyperbolic shells of revolution
January 2003
- A455 Yaroslav V. Kurylev , Matti Lassas , Erkki Somersalo
Maxwell's Equations with Scalar Impedance: Direct and Inverse Problems
January 2003
- A454 Timo Eirola , Marko Huhtanen , Jan von Pfaler
Solution methods for R-linear problems in C^n
October 2002
- A453 Marko Huhtanen
Aspects of nonnormality for iterative methods
September 2002
- A452 Kalle Mikkola
Infinite-Dimensional Linear Systems, Optimal Control and Algebraic Riccati
Equations
October 2002

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
RESEARCH REPORTS

The list of reports is continued inside. Electronical versions of the reports are available at <http://www.math.hut.fi/reports/> .

- A470 Lasse Leskelä
Stabilization of an overloaded queueing network using measurement-based admission control
March 2004
- A464 Ville Turunen
Sampling at equiangular grids on the 2-sphere and estimates for Sobolev space interpolation
November 2003
- A463 Marko Huhtanen , Jan von Pfaler
The real linear eigenvalue problem in C^n
November 2003
- A462 Ville Turunen
Pseudodifferential calculus on the 2-sphere
October 2003
- A461 Tuomas Hytönen
Vector-valued wavelets and the Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n; X)$
April 2003

ISBN 951-22-7015-3
ISSN 0784-3143
2004