



Aalto University
School of Science
and Technology

Automatic assessment in engineering mathematics: evaluation of the impact

Antti Rasila, Linda Havola, Helle Majander and Jarmo Malinen

Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis
Aalto University, School of Science and Technology
antti.rasila@tkk.fi

9.12.2010

Introduction

- ▶ First year studies in mathematics have been a source of difficulties for many engineering students.

Introduction

- ▶ First year studies in mathematics have been a source of difficulties for many engineering students.
- ▶ Much of the work is based on an automated assessment system (STACK). This makes testing cost-effective, and allows us to gather useful data with minimal effort.

Introduction

- ▶ First year studies in mathematics have been a source of difficulties for many engineering students.
- ▶ Much of the work is based on an automated assessment system (STACK). This makes testing cost-effective, and allows us to gather useful data with minimal effort.
- ▶ First we discuss the basic skills test for new students. The test problems are based on high-school mathematics curriculum.

Introduction

- ▶ First year studies in mathematics have been a source of difficulties for many engineering students.
- ▶ Much of the work is based on an automated assessment system (STACK). This makes testing cost-effective, and allows us to gather useful data with minimal effort.
- ▶ First we discuss the basic skills test for new students. The test problems are based on high-school mathematics curriculum.
- ▶ We have compared the test results to the results from the first semester mathematics courses.

Introduction

- ▶ First year studies in mathematics have been a source of difficulties for many engineering students.
- ▶ Much of the work is based on an automated assessment system (STACK). This makes testing cost-effective, and allows us to gather useful data with minimal effort.
- ▶ First we discuss the basic skills test for new students. The test problems are based on high-school mathematics curriculum.
- ▶ We have compared the test results to the results from the first semester mathematics courses.
- ▶ We will also discuss our experiences of using the system in teaching mathematics. In particular, we will outline our experiences of using the system for continuous evaluation.

Motivation

- ▶ We wish to create a comprehensive time series of the student mathematical skills and results from first year mathematics courses. This is useful for studying:

Motivation

- ▶ We wish to create a comprehensive time series of the student mathematical skills and results from first year mathematics courses. This is useful for studying:
 1. how beginning skills are changed from year to another, and

Motivation

- ▶ We wish to create a comprehensive time series of the student mathematical skills and results from first year mathematics courses. This is useful for studying:
 1. how beginning skills are changed from year to another, and
 2. influence of various changes in our own work, including entrance examinations, teaching practices and other related issues (facilities, schedules, curriculum, etc.).

Motivation

- ▶ We wish to create a comprehensive time series of the student mathematical skills and results from first year mathematics courses. This is useful for studying:
 1. how beginning skills are changed from year to another, and
 2. influence of various changes in our own work, including entrance examinations, teaching practices and other related issues (facilities, schedules, curriculum, etc.).
- ▶ For this we need automation: normal paper examination cannot be used twice.

Motivation

- ▶ We wish to create a comprehensive time series of the student mathematical skills and results from first year mathematics courses. This is useful for studying:
 1. how beginning skills are changed from year to another, and
 2. influence of various changes in our own work, including entrance examinations, teaching practices and other related issues (facilities, schedules, curriculum, etc.).
- ▶ For this we need automation: normal paper examination cannot be used twice.
- ▶ Our solution is to use *randomized* problems: each student gets a similar but slightly different problem.

Motivation

- ▶ We wish to create a comprehensive time series of the student mathematical skills and results from first year mathematics courses. This is useful for studying:
 1. how beginning skills are changed from year to another, and
 2. influence of various changes in our own work, including entrance examinations, teaching practices and other related issues (facilities, schedules, curriculum, etc.).
- ▶ For this we need automation: normal paper examination cannot be used twice.
- ▶ Our solution is to use *randomized* problems: each student gets a similar but slightly different problem.
- ▶ The system we are using also allows us to create automatically assessed mathematics exercises, with additional benefit that the data is stored for research purposes.

The basic skill test

- ▶ All new students (N=704 in 2008, N=843 in 2009 and N=833 in 2010) made the basic skill test in the autumns 2008, 2009 and 2010.

The basic skill test

- ▶ All new students (N=704 in 2008, N=843 in 2009 and N=833 in 2010) made the basic skill test in the autumns 2008, 2009 and 2010.
- ▶ The test problems were originally created in Tampere University of Technology (TUT).

The basic skill test

- ▶ All new students (N=704 in 2008, N=843 in 2009 and N=833 in 2010) made the basic skill test in the autumns 2008, 2009 and 2010.
- ▶ The test problems were originally created in Tampere University of Technology (TUT).
- ▶ In Aalto University the test was implemented by using Automatic assessment system STACK (Sangwin, 2003).

The basic skill test

- ▶ All new students (N=704 in 2008, N=843 in 2009 and N=833 in 2010) made the basic skill test in the autumns 2008, 2009 and 2010.
- ▶ The test problems were originally created in Tampere University of Technology (TUT).
- ▶ In Aalto University the test was implemented by using Automatic assessment system STACK (Sangwin, 2003).
- ▶ It included 16 randomized questions covering the high school topics considered to be the most important.

Results of the basic skill test

Distribution of the scores in 2008-2010

Mean scores 2008: 9.26 2009: 9.35 2010: 9.84

Results of the basic skill test

Distribution of the scores of each problem in 2010

Results of the basic skill test

- ▶ The Spearman's rank correlation between the results of the basic skill test in 2009 and first year mathematics courses is not very high but statistically significant ($\rho = 0.2364$, $p=0.0000$).

Results of the basic skill test

- ▶ The Spearman's rank correlation between the results of the basic skill test in 2009 and first year mathematics courses is not very high but statistically significant ($\rho = 0.2364$, $p=0.0000$).
- ▶ Thus success in basic skill test does not ensure success in mathematics courses.

Results of the basic skill test

- ▶ The Spearman's rank correlation between the results of the basic skill test in 2009 and first year mathematics courses is not very high but statistically significant ($\rho = 0.2364$, $p=0.0000$).
- ▶ Thus success in basic skill test does not ensure success in mathematics courses.
- ▶ There must be also other factors than the basic skills that affect on how students perform in mathematics courses.

Basic course in mathematics S1

The percentage of automatically assessed (above) and traditional (below) exercise assignments solved by students.

	0	1	2	3	4	5
2007	11,60	17,97	33,02	31,19	64,04	79,68
	3,78	7,77	20,19	9,40	26,84	61,61
2008	13,20	23,62	36,55	49,56	65,60	74,89
	4,79	13,56	16,15	28,85	56,81	58,44
2009	14,62	23,28	38,78	49,53	51,16	78,32
	3,77	10,00	29,20	50,48	68,22	92,48

Discrete mathematics – the experiment

- ▶ Classroom lectures and face-to-face exercise sessions were held alongside the e-assessment.

Discrete mathematics – the experiment

- ▶ Classroom lectures and face-to-face exercise sessions were held alongside the e-assessment.
- ▶ The use of STACK was extensive: $2/3$ of the exercises were computer aided.

Discrete mathematics – the experiment

- ▶ Classroom lectures and face-to-face exercise sessions were held alongside the e-assessment.
- ▶ The use of STACK was extensive: $2/3$ of the exercises were computer aided.
- ▶ The exercise assignments formed a significant portion of the final grade.

Discrete mathematics – the experiment

The grading system on the course

Discrete mathematics – results

Scores from exams and exercise assignments

Discrete mathematics – results

- ▶ Feedback was collected with questionnaire by using a five point Likert scale.

Discrete mathematics – results

- ▶ Feedback was collected with questionnaire by using a five point Likert scale.
- ▶ Students' experiences were positive both regarding the arrangements and the technology.

Discrete mathematics – results

- ▶ Feedback was collected with questionnaire by using a five point Likert scale.
- ▶ Students' experiences were positive both regarding the arrangements and the technology.
- ▶ Most of the students felt that STACK is useful to learn the basics.

Discrete mathematics – results

- ▶ Feedback was collected with questionnaire by using a five point Likert scale.
- ▶ Students' experiences were positive both regarding the arrangements and the technology.
- ▶ Most of the students felt that STACK is useful to learn the basics.
- ▶ The general belief was that learning advanced concepts still requires face-to-face interaction.

Conclusions

- ▶ E-assessment can significantly increase flexibility in teaching and lead to other practical benefits.

Conclusions

- ▶ E-assessment can significantly increase flexibility in teaching and lead to other practical benefits.
- ▶ Besides this, new technology enables the use of novel pedagogical solutions.

Conclusions

- ▶ E-assessment can significantly increase flexibility in teaching and lead to other practical benefits.
- ▶ Besides this, new technology enables the use of novel pedagogical solutions.
- ▶ The technology described is suitable for large scale teaching.

Conclusions

- ▶ E-assessment can significantly increase flexibility in teaching and lead to other practical benefits.
- ▶ Besides this, new technology enables the use of novel pedagogical solutions.
- ▶ The technology described is suitable for large scale teaching.
- ▶ Practices used in the continuous evaluation experiment are currently being applied also on course S3. Preliminary data is very promising.