# Modal locking between vocal fold oscillations and vocal tract acoustics

Tiina Murtola<sup>1,2)</sup>, Atte Aalto<sup>2,3)</sup>, Jarmo Malinen<sup>2)</sup>, Daniel Aalto<sup>4,5)</sup>, Martti Vainio<sup>4)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup>Dept. of Signal Processing and Acoustics, Aalto University, Finland

<sup>2)</sup>Dept. of Mathematics and Systems Analysis, Aalto University, Finland

<sup>3)</sup>Luxembourg Centre for Systems Biomedicine, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg

<sup>4)</sup>Institute of Behavioural Sciences (SigMe group), University of Helsinki, Finland

<sup>5)</sup>Communication Sciences and Disorders, University of Alberta, Canada.

# <sup>1</sup> Summary

During voiced speech, vocal folds interact with the 2 vocal tract acoustics. The resulting glottal source-3 resonator coupling has been observed using mathematical and physical models as well as in in vivo phonation. We propose a computational time-domain model of the full speech apparatus that contains a feedback mechanism from the vocal tract acoustics to the vocal fold oscillations. It is based on nua merical solution of ordinary and partial differential 10 equations defined on vocal tract geometries that have 11 been obtained by magnetic resonance imaging. The 12 model is used to simulate rising and falling pitch glides 13 of  $[\alpha, i]$  in the fundamental frequency  $(f_{\alpha})$  interval 14 [145 Hz, 315 Hz]. The interval contains the first vo-15 cal tract resonance  $f_{R1}$  and the first formant  $F_1$  of [i] 16 as well as the fractions of the first resonance  $f_{R1}/5$ , 17  $f_{R1}/4$ , and  $f_{R1}/3$  of [a]. The glide simulations reveal 18 a locking pattern in the  $f_o$  trajectory approximately 19 at  $f_{R1}$  of [i]. The resonance fractions of [a] produce 20 perturbations in the pressure signal at the lips but no 21 locking. 22

# 23 1 Introduction

The classical source-filter theory of vowel production 24 assumes that the source (i.e., the vocal fold vibra-25 tion) operates independently of the filter (i.e., the vo-26 cal tract, henceforth VT) whose resonances modulate 27 the resulting sound [1, 2]. Even though this approach 28 captures a wide range of phenomena in speech pro-29 duction, some observations remain unexplained by the 30 source-filter model lacking feedback. The purpose of 31 this article is to address some of these observations 32 using computational modelling. 33

In this work, simulations where the fundamental frequency  $(f_o)$  rises and falls over the range [145 Hz, 315 Hz] are considered for vowels [a] and [i]. Similar glides recorded from eleven female test subjects are treated in the companion article [3]. Such glides are particularly interesting when the  $f_o$  range 39 intersects an isolated acoustic resonance of the supra-40 or subglottal cavity. Since the lowest formant  $F_1$  usu-41 ally lies high above  $f_o$  in adult male phonation, this 42 situation is more typical in females and children when 43 they are producing vowels with low  $F_1$  such as [i]. 44 As reported in Section 5, simulations reveal (in addi-45 tion to other observations) a characteristic locking be-46 haviour of  $f_o$  at the VT acoustic resonance<sup>1</sup>  $f_{R1} \approx F_1$ . 47

This article has two equally important objectives. 48 Firstly, we pursue better understanding of the time-49 domain dynamics of glottal pulse perturbations near 50  $f_{R1}$  of [i]. An acoustic and flow-mechanical model 51 of the speech apparatus is a well-suited tool for this 52 purpose. Secondly, we introduce and validate a com-53 putational model that meets these requirements. The 54 proposed model has been originally designed to be a 55 glottal source for a high-resolution 3D computational 56 acoustics model of the VT which is being developed 57 for medical purposes. There is also an emerging ap-58 plication for such models as a development platform 59 of speech signal processing algorithms [5, 6, 7]. Since 60 perturbations of  $f_o$  near  $F_1$  are a widely researched, 61 yet quite multifaceted phenomenon, as discussed next, 62 it is a good candidate for model validation experi-63 ments. 64

The simulations carried out in this article indicate 65 special kinds of perturbations in vocal folds vibrations 66 near a VT resonance. The mere existence of such per-67 turbations is not surprising considering the wide range 68 of existing literature. Since the seminal work of [8], 69 a wide range of glottal source perturbation patterns 70 related to acoustic loading has been investigated. Ex-71 periments were carried out in [9] on excised larvnges 72 mounted on a resonator to determine how glottal am-73 plitude ratio changes with the subglottal resonator 74 length. Physical models were used in [10] with a sub-75 glottal resonator to study phonation onsets and off-76 sets, and in [11] with sub- and supraglottal resonators 77 to study phonation onsets. The latter also considered 78

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The notation of [4] is used to differentiate resonances and formants though, of course, we expect  $f_{Rj} \approx F_j$  for j = 1, 2, ...

the dynamics of frequency jumps as the natural frequency of their physical model was varied over time.
Similarly, a physical model of phonation with a tubular, variable length supraglottal resonator was studied in [12, 13], and it was used to validate a flow-acoustic model somewhat resembling the one proposed in this article.

The source-filter interaction problem was ap-86 proached in [14] using both reasoning based on 87 sub- and supraglottal impedances and a non-88 computational flow model as well as computational 89 model comprising a multi-mass vocal fold model and 90 wave-reflection models of the subglottal and supra-91 glottal systems. A two-mass model of vocal folds, 92 coupled with a variable-length resonator tube, was 93 used in [15], and pitch glides were simulated using a 94 four-mass model to analyse the interactions between 95 vocal register transitions and VT resonances in [16]. 96

These works reveal a consistent picture of the ex-97 istence of perturbations caused by resonant loads, 98 and this phenomenon has also been detected exper-99 imentally in [17] using speech recordings, in [18] us-100 ing simultaneous recordings of laryngeal endoscopy, 101 acoustics, aerodynamics, electroglottography, and ac-102 celeration sensors, and in [19] using simultaneous 103 speech, electroglottography and accelerometer record-104 ings combined with separate resonance estimation 105 measurements. 106

Although the existence of these perturbations has 107 been well reported, speech modelling studies have 108 given only limited attention to the time-domain dy-109 namics of fundamental frequency glides where such 110 perturbations would be expected to occur. Of the 111 above mentioned studies, upward glides were simu-112 lated in [11] by varying the natural frequency of their 113 physical model over time. Their small amplitude 114 oscillation model exhibited a frequency jump when 115 crossing the resonance of their downstream tube when 116 the acoustic coupling was sufficiently strong. Down-117 ward glides were simulated in [14] followed by upward 118 glides by varying the parameters of a multi-mass vo-119 cal fold model. Frequency jumps, subharmonics and 120 amplitude changes were observed in the regions where 121 load reactances were changing rapidly. Changes in the 122 rate of change of the fundamental frequency in these 123 regions can also be seen in their Figures 10-14. In [16] 124 upward glides were simulated followed by downward 125 glides by adjusting the tension parameter (i.e., de-126 creasing masses and increasing stiffness parameters by 127 the same factor) in their four-mass vocal fold model. 128 They observed frequency jumps associated with reg-129 ister changes, which in turn were shown to occur at 130 different frequencies depending on the VT load. 131

Some of the most popular approaches to modelling phonation are based on the Kelly–Lochbaum VT [20] or various transmission line analogues [21, 22, 23]. Contrary to these approaches, the proposed model consists of (ordinary and partial) differential equations, conservation laws, and coupling equations. In 137 this modelling paradigm, the temporal and spatial 138 discretisation is conceptually and practically sepa-139 rated from the actual mathematical model of speech. 140 The computational model is simply a numerical solver 141 for the model equations, written in MATLAB environ-142 ment. The modular design makes it easy to decou-143 ple model components for assessing their significance 144 to simulated behaviour.<sup>2</sup> Since the generalised Web-145 ster's equation for the VT acoustics assumes intersec-146 tional area functions as its geometric data, VT config-147 urations from magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can 148 be used without transcription to non-geometric model 149 parameters. Further advantages of speech modelling 150 with Webster's equation have been explained in [25]. 151

The proposed model is of low order: it aims at qual-152 itatively realistic functionality, tunability by a low 153 number of parameters, and tractability of model com-154 ponents, equations, and their relation to biophysics. 155 Similar functionality in higher precision can be ob-156 tained using computational fluid dynamics with elas-157 tic tissue boundaries. Such approaches aim to model 158 the speech apparatus as undivided whole [26], but the 159 computational cost is much higher compared to our 160 model or the models proposed in, e.g., [25] and [27]. 161 Numerical efficiency is a key issue because some pa-162 rameter values or their feasible ranges (in particular, 163 for hard-to-get physiological parameters) can only be 164 determined by trial and error, leading to a high num-165 ber of required simulations as discussed in [30, Chap-166 ter 4]. The proposed model is hence suitable for in-167 vestigating speech phenomena where realistic model 168 output is only produced with a narrow range of con-169 trol parameter values. 170

# 2 Phonation Model

## 2.1 Vocal Fold Mechanics

Voiced speech sounds originate from self-sustained quasi-periodic oscillations of the vocal folds where the closure of the aperture between the vocal folds, i.e. the glottis, cuts off the airflow from lungs in a process called phonation. A single period of the glottal flow produced by phonation is known as a glottal pulse.

171

172

The main mechanism controlling the  $f_o$  of voiced speech is contraction of the cricothyroid muscles which leads to stretching the vocal folds and hence increased stress. Secondary mechanisms of  $f_o$  control include the vertical movement of larynx and changes in the subglottal pressure through the control of respiratory muscles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Some economy of modelled features is desirable to prevent "overfitting" while explaining experimental facts. Good modelling practices in mathematical acoustics have been discussed in [24, Chapter 8].



Figure 1: Top: The geometry of the glottis model with the trachea to the left and the vocal tract to the right. Bottom: Lumped-element representation of the lower vocal fold (j = 1) with two degrees of freedom.

#### 186 2.1.1 Equations of motion

The anatomic vocal fold configuration is idealised as a 187 low-order mass-spring system with aerodynamic sur-188 faces as shown in Figure 1. For previous uses and 189 more detailes on this model, see [28, 29, 30] and 190 [31]. Such lumped-element models have been used 191 frequently (see, e.g., [13, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36] and the 192 reviews [37, 38]) since the introduction of the classic 193 two-mass model [8]. 194

The radically simplified glottis geometry in Figure 1 (top) corresponds to the coronal section through the center of the vocal folds. Both  $f_o$  and the phonation type can be changed by adjusting parameter values [30, Section 4]. However, register shifts are not within the scope of this model.

The vocal fold model consists of two wedge-shaped 201 moving elements whose distributed mass is reduced to 202 three mass points which, for the  $j^{\text{th}}$  fold, j = 1, 2, are 203 located so that  $m_{j1}$  is at x = L,  $m_{j2}$  at x = 0, and 204  $m_{i3}$  at x = L/2. Here L denotes the thickness of the 205 vocal fold structures. The masses are calculated so 206 that the reduced system retains the mass, and static 207 and inertial moments of a parabolic vocal fold shape 208 (for details, see [31, p. 14]). Each vocal fold has two 209 degrees of freedom:  $m_{j1}$  and  $m_{j2}$  can move in the 210 y-direction. Although this causes some distortion to 211 the shape of the wedges, the displacements in the x-212 direction are small enough that the effect is negligible. 213 The elastic support of the vocal ligament is approxi-21 mated by two springs at points  $x = l_1 L$  and  $x = l_2 L$ , 215 and losses caused by internal resistance of the tissues 216

219

248

to movement and deformation is represented by two dampers at points x = 0 and x = L.

The equations of motion for the vocal folds are

$$\begin{cases} M_1 \ddot{W}_1(t) + B_1 \dot{W}_1(t) + K_1 W_1(t) = F_1(t), \\ M_2 \ddot{W}_2(t) + B_2 \dot{W}_2(t) + K_2 W_2(t) = F_2(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $W_j(t) = \begin{bmatrix} w_{j1}(t) & w_{j2}(t) \end{bmatrix}^T$  are the displacements of  $m_{j1}$  and  $m_{j2}$  in the y-direction as shown 220 221 in Figure 1 (bottom). The load force pair  $F_j(t) =$ 222  $\begin{bmatrix} F_{j1}(t) & F_{j2}(t) \end{bmatrix}^T$  comprises acoustic pressure forces as well as aerodynamic pressure forces when the glottis 223 224 is open (equation (9)) and collision forces when the 225 glottis is closed (equation (5)). The mass, damping, 226 and stiffness matrices  $M_i$ ,  $B_i$ , and  $K_i$ , respectively, 227 in (1) are 228

$$M_{j} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{j1} + \frac{m_{j3}}{4} & \frac{m_{j3}}{4} \\ \frac{m_{j3}}{4} & m_{j2} + \frac{m_{j3}}{4} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{j} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{j1} & 0 \\ 0 & b_{j2} \end{bmatrix},$$
  
and 
$$K_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} k_{ji} \begin{bmatrix} l_{i}^{2} & l_{i}(1-l_{i}) \\ l_{i}(1-l_{i}) & (1-l_{i})^{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(2)

1

The entries of these matrices have been computed us-229 ing Lagrangian mechanics. The damping matrices  $B_i$ 230 are diagonal since the dampers are located at the end-231 points of the vocal folds. The model supports asym-232 metric vocal fold vibrations but for this work, sym-233 metry of left and right vocal folds is imposed by using 234 parameters  $M = M_j$ ,  $K = K_j$ , and  $B = B_j$ , j = 1, 2, 235 and by setting  $F(t) = F_2(t) = -F_1(t)$ . As a fur-236 ther simplification, tissue damping is assumed to be 237 uniform everywhere, i.e.,  $b_i = \beta$  for i = 1, 2. The pa-238 rameters in (2) as well as the load force components 239 in (1) are illustrated in Figure 1. 240

The gap between the vocal folds is denoted by  $_{241}$  H(x,t), and in the model geometry (Figure 1 (top))  $_{242}$ 

$$H(x,t) = H_0(t) + \frac{x}{L}(H_L(t) - H_0(t)), \quad x \in [0,L], (3)$$

where inferior glottal gap  $H_0(t) = H(0,t)$  and superior glottal gap  $H_L(t) = H(L,t)$  are related to (1) through 245

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_L(t) \\ H_0(t) \end{bmatrix} = W_2(t) - W_1(t) + \begin{bmatrix} g_L \\ g_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(4)

The rest gap parameters  $g_0$  and  $g_L$  correspond to the points x = 0 and x = L, respectively. 247

#### 2.1.2 Vocal fold collision

When the glottis is closed (i.e.,  $H_L(t) < 0$ ), there is no airflow between the vocal folds and hence no force arising from it affecting the vocal folds. There are, however, nonlinear spring forces with parameter  $k_H$ , accounting for the contact force of the vocal folds. They are accompanied by the acoustic counter pressure from the VT and subglottal tract (SGT), denoted 250 by  $p_c = p_c(t)$  in (15). Thus, the force pair for equation (1) during glottal closed phase is given by

$$F = F_H = \begin{bmatrix} k_H |H_L|^{3/2} - A_{pc} p_c \\ A_{pc} p_c \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } H_L < 0,$$
(5)

where the area  $A_{pc} = A_{pc}(t)$  is the nominal area on which  $p_c$  acts corrected with relative moment arms (see equation (16)).

This approach is related to the Hertz impact model that has been used similarly in [32] and [39]. When the glottis is open (i.e.,  $H_L(t) > 0$ ), the spring force in (5) is not enabled. Then the load terms in equation (1) are given by  $F(t) = F_A(t)$  as introduced in equation (9) in terms of the aerodynamic forces from the glottal flow.

#### 268 2.2 Glottal Flow Aerodynamics

The main component of the airflow within the speech 269 apparatus, to which the acoustic component acts as 270 a perturbation, is assumed to be incompressible and 271 one-dimensional, and to satisfy mass conservation and 272 Newton's second law. The flow is also assumed to 273 be lossless everywhere except at the glottal opening. 274 This main glottal flow (volume velocity) component 275 is described by 276

$$\dot{U}(t) = \frac{1}{I_L} \left( p_s(t) - R_g(t) U(t) \right), \tag{6}$$

where  $p_s(t)$  is the driving stagnation pressure at the lungs whose time variation is assumed to be slow,  $I_L$ regulates the inertia of the load air column, and  $R_g(t)$ represents non-recoverable losses in the glottis.

Equation (6) is related to Newton's second law for 281 the air column in motion, and it can be derived (fol-282 lowing [31, Section 2.2]) from the pressure balance 28  $p_s = p_g + p_a$ , where the pressure change from the 284 lungs to the outside space is the sum of the glottal 28! pressure loss  $p_a$  and the accelerating pressure  $p_a$  of 286 the fluid column in the airways. To obtain an expres-287 sion for  $p_a$ , the power of accelerating an (incompress-288 ible) fluid column is considered. This power is equal 289 to the derivative of the kinetic energy of the fluid col-290 umn, yielding  $p_a(t)U(t) = \rho U(t)\dot{U}(t) \int \frac{d\vec{r}}{A(\vec{r})^2}$ , where 291 the integration is extended over the VT and SGT vol-292 umes. Here,  $A(\vec{r})$  denotes the area of the fluid column 293 cross-section that contains the position vector  $\vec{r}$ , and 294 incompressibility  $A(\vec{r})v(\vec{r},t) = U(t)$  was used. By de-295 noting the nominal value of inertance  $I_L = \rho \int \frac{d\vec{r}}{A(\vec{r})^2}$ , 296 these equations yield  $p_a = I_L \dot{U}(t)$ . In the context 297 of the airways, the nominal inertance can be split 298 into VT and SGT contributions  $I_V = \rho \int_0^{L_{VT}} \frac{ds}{A(s)}$  and 299  $I_S = \rho \int_0^{L_S} \frac{ds}{A_S(s)}$ , respectively, so that  $I_L = I_V + I_S$ ; see Sections 2.3 and 2.4. 300 301

<sup>302</sup> Unfortunately, the integration over the volume of<sup>303</sup> airways (even if the SGT geometry was available) does

not necessarily yield the correct total inertance. The 304 flow outside of mouth as well as the masses of the 305 lungs, diaphragm, etc., are coupled to the flow. For 306 the same reason, the inertial effect for VT and SGT, 307 observed in the low frequency limit of the acoustic 308 equations (10) and (14), does not give a sufficient ac-309 count of the total intertance since not all of it is due to 310 acoustics. Thus, the inertance parameter  $I_L$  must, in 311 general, be used as a tuning parameter. The high fre-312 quency feedback from the VT acoustics to the glottal 313 flow, a particularly notable effect in phonations where 314 the glottis does not fully close, is not included in (6). 315

The glottal pressure loss consists of two components following [40] 317

$$p_g = R_g(t)U(t) = \frac{12\mu L_g U(t)}{hH_L(t)^3} + \frac{k_g \rho U(t)^2}{2h^2 H_L(t)^2}.$$
 (7)

The first term represents the viscous pressure loss, 318 and it is motivated by the Hagen–Poiseuille law in a 319 narrow aperture. It approximates the pressure loss in 320 the glottis using a rectangular tube of width h, height 321  $H_L$ , and length  $L_q$ . The parameter  $\mu$  is the kinematic 322 viscosity of air. The second term takes into account 323 the pressure losses not attributable to viscosity in the 324 same sense as the first. The coefficient  $k_a$  represents 325 the difference between pressure drop at the glottal 326 inlet and recovery at the outlet. This coefficient de-327 pends not only on the glottal geometry but also on the 328 glottal opening, driving pressure, and flow through 329 the glottis [41]. Equations (6)-(7) bear resemblance 330 to the description of airflow in [12, 13] where the pres-331 sure drop, loss, and recovery effects, however, are ac-332 counted for by flow separation in a diverging channel. 333

The pressure p(x,t) in the glottis is given in terms 334 of U = U(t) by making use of the Bernoulli theo-335 rem  $p(x,t) + \frac{1}{2}\rho V(x,t)^2 = p_s$  for the Venturi effect, 336 where V(x, t) is the velocity within the glottis, and the 337 mass conservation law hH(x,t)V(x,t) = U(t). Since 338 each vocal fold has two degrees of freedom, p(x, t) and 339 the VT/SGT counter pressure  $p_c$  can be reduced to 340 an aerodynamic force pair  $F_A = \begin{bmatrix} F_{A,1} & F_{A,2} \end{bmatrix}^T$  where  $F_{A,1}$  acts at x = L and  $F_{A,2}$  at x = 0 in Figure 1 341 342 (bottom). This reduction can be carried out by using 343 the total force and moment balance equations 344

$$F_{A,1} + F_{A,2} = h \int_0^L (p(x,t) - p_r) \, dx \text{ and}$$
$$LF_{A,1} = \frac{h}{\cos^2 \phi} \int_0^L x(p(x,t) - p_r) \, dx - LA_{pc} p_c,$$
(8)

where  $\phi = \phi(t)$  is the angle of the inclined vocal fold 345 surface as shown in Figure 1 (top),  $A_{pc}$  accounts for 346 the moment arms and areas on which  $p_c$  acts (see 347 equation (16)), and  $p_r$  is the reference pressure cor-348 responding to the equilibrium position  $w_{ij} = 0$  for 349 i, j = 1, 2. Since the displacements  $w_{ij}$  are in the y-350 direction only, the aerodynamic forces have been as-351 sumed to act in this direction as well. The moment is 352

405

424

evaluated with respect to point (x, y) = (0, 0) for the lower fold and  $(x, y) = (0, H_0)$  for the upper fold.

The force calculations are done using the pressure 355 difference  $p(x,t) - p_r$  so that  $F_{A,1}$  and  $F_{A,2}$  vanish 356 when  $p(x,t) = p_r$  and  $p_c = 0$ . The reference pressure 357 is associated with the hydrostatic pressure reference 358 level in vibrating tissues, and it is expected to satisfy 359  $p_r \leq p_s$ . If  $p_r = p_s$  is used, the aerodynamic force al-360 ways tries to close the glottis. For small flow velocities 36 V(x,t), using  $p_r < p_s$  results in the driving pressure 362  $p_s$  pushing the vocal folds open more strongly than 363 the aerodynamic force pulls them close. There is no 364 obvious way to determine the true magnitude of  $p_r$ 365 as it is an outcome of dynamic pressure equalisation 366 processes related to  $p_s$  and the additional partial pres-367 sure due to haemodynamics in tissues. For this work, 368 it is assumed that  $p_r = 0.5p_s^0$ , where  $p_s^0 = p_s(0)$ , and 369 the equilibrium gap parameter  $g_L > 0$  so that starting 370 simulations with a closed glottis is not necessary. 37:

Evaluation of the integrals in (8) yields, for  $H_L > 0$ ,

$$F_{A,1} = \frac{hL}{2\cos^2\phi} \left( -\frac{\rho U^2}{h^2 H_L(H_0 - H_L)} + \frac{\rho U^2}{h^2 (H_L - H_0)^2} \ln\left(\frac{H_0}{H_L}\right) + (p_s - p_r) \right) - A_{pc}p_c, \text{ and}$$

$$F_{A,2} = \frac{hL}{2\cos^2\phi} \left( \frac{\rho U^2 \left(H_0 \sin^2\phi + H_L \cos^2\phi\right)}{h^2 H_L H_0(H_0 - H_L)} - \frac{\rho U^2}{h^2 (H_L - H_0)^2} \ln\left(\frac{H_0}{H_L}\right) + \cos(2\phi) \left(p_s - p_r\right) \right) + A_{pc}p_c.$$
(9)

<sup>373</sup> During the glottal closed phase (i.e., when  $H_L(t) <$ <sup>374</sup> 0), the aerodynamic force (9) is not enabled, and the <sup>375</sup> vocal fold load force is instead given by equation (5).

#### <sup>376</sup> 2.3 Vocal Tract Acoustics

A generalised version of Webster's horn model resonator is used as acoustic loads to represent both the VT and the SGT. It is given by

$$\frac{A(s)}{c^2\Sigma(s)^2}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial t^2} + 2\pi\alpha W(s)\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(A(s)\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial s}\right) = 0,$$
(10)

where c denotes the speed of sound, the parame-380 ter  $\alpha \geq 0$  regulates the energy dissipation through 381 air/tissue interface, and the solution  $\psi = \psi(s, t)$  is the 382 velocity potential of the acoustic field; i.e.,  $v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial s}$ . 38 Then the sound pressure is given by  $p = \rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$ , where  $\rho$  denotes the density of air. The generalised Web-384 385 ster's model for acoustic waveguides has been derived 386 from the wave equation in a tubular domain in [42], 387 its solvability and energy notions have been treated 388 in [43], and the approximation properties in [44].

The generalised Webster's equation (10) is applicable if the VT is approximated as a curved

tube of varying cross-sectional area and length  $L_{VT}$ . 392 The three-dimensional centreline  $\gamma(s)$  of the tube is 393 parametrised using distance  $s \in [0, L_{VT}]$  from the 394 superior end of the glottis. At every s, the cross-395 sectional area of the tube perpendicular to the cen-396 treline is given by the area function A(s), and the 397 (hydrodynamic) radius of the tube, denoted by R(s), 308 is defined by  $A(s) = \pi R(s)^2$ . The curvature of 399 the tube is  $\kappa(s) = \|\gamma''(s)\|$ , and the curvature ratio 400  $\eta(s) = R(s)\kappa(s) < 1.$ 401

The final parameters appearing in (10) are the stretching factor W(s) and the sound speed correction factor  $\Sigma(s)$  for curvature, defined by

$$W(s) = R(s)\sqrt{R'(s)^2 + (\eta(s) - 1)^2}, \text{ and}$$
  

$$\Sigma(s) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}\eta(s)^2\right)^{-1/2}.$$
(11)

#### 2.3.1 Boundary conditions

The VT resonator is coupled to the glottal flow given 406 by equation (6) with 407

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial s}(0,t) = -\frac{U_{AC}(t)}{A(0)},\tag{12}$$

where the DC component has been removed from the 408 glottal flow, i.e.,  $U_{AC}(t) = U(t) - \frac{1}{T} \int_{t-T}^{t} U(\tau) d\tau$  with 409  $T = 2/f_o$ . The effect of this removal is negligible 410 when phonation has become stable, but it is more pro-411 nounced at the beginning of simulations when a stable 412 waveform has not yet developed. Equations (10)–(12)413 characterise a variant of the source–filter model in the 414 sense that the acoustics of the VT is only excited at 415 the glottis. 416

At the lips, the reactive acoustic response of the exterior space is modelled by the differential equation 418

$$-R_m L_m \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial s} (L_{VT}, t)$$
  
=  $\frac{\rho}{A(L_{VT})} \left( R_m \psi (L_{VT}, t) + L_m \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial s} (L_{VT}, t) \right),$  (12)

which corresponds to the impedance  $Z(\xi) = \frac{\xi R_m L_m}{R_m + \xi L_m}$  419 of the same form as the "first-order high pass model" 420 for termination of an acoustic horn in [45, Section 4.1]. 421 The circuit topology of this model is the parallel coupling of a resistor and an inductor. 423

#### 2.4 Subglottal acoustics

Anatomically, the SGT consists of the airways be-425 low the larynx: trachea, bronchi, bronchioles, alve-426 olar ducts, alveolar sacs, and alveoli. This system 427 has been modelled either as a tree-like structure [27] 428 or, more simply, as an acoustic horn whose area in-429 creases towards the lungs [34, 46]. We take the latter 430 approach and denote the cross-sectional area and the 431 horn radius by  $A_S(s)$  and  $R_S(s)$  (see equation (17)), 432 respectively, where  $s \in [0, L_S]$  and  $L_S$  is the nominal length of the SGT.

Since the subglottal horn is assumed to be straight, we have  $\eta = 0$ ,  $\Sigma = 1$  and  $W_s(s) =$  $R_S(s)\sqrt{R'_S(s)^2 + 1}$ . Then equations (10)–(12) translate to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{A_S(s)}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial t^2} + 2\pi \alpha W_s(s) \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( A_S(s) \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial s} \right) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial t} (L_S, t) + \theta_s c \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial s} (L_S, t) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial s} (0, t) = \frac{U_{AC}(t)}{A_S(0)}, \end{cases}$$
(14)

where the solution  $\psi$  is the velocity potential for the SGT acoustics. Instead of using the reactive boundary dynamics (13), the termination loss at lungs is characterised by normalised acoustic resistance  $\theta_s \geq 0$ in equation (14). SGT acoustics is a important factor in phonation in general but its contribution to changes occurring during glide simulations is negligible as long as  $f_o$  is far from the subglottal resonances.

#### 447 2.5 Acoustic counter pressure

The feedback coupling from VT/SGT acoustics back to vocal fold surfaces is realised as the product of the acoustic counter pressure  $p_c = p_c(t)$  and the moment corrected area  $A_{pc} = A_{pc}(t)$  as already shown in equations (5) and (9) above.

The counter pressure is the resultant of VT and SGT pressure components, and it is given in terms of velocity potentials from equations (10) and (14) by

$$p_c(t) = Q_{pc}\rho\left(\psi_t(0,t) - \widetilde{\psi}_t(0,t)\right),\qquad(15)$$

where tuning parameter  $Q_{pc} \in [0, 1]$  enables scaling 456 the magnitude of the feedback. The parameter  $Q_{pc}$  is 457 necessary because the wedge geometry tends to over-458 estimate the area of the vocal fold surface on which 459  $p_c$  can do work, and further, it is difficult to directly 460 estimate the proportions of the underlying flow and 46 the superimposed acoustics. In simulations, overesti-462 mation of the acoustic feedback forces leads to perma-463 nently non-stationary, even chaotic vibrations of the 464 vocal folds, which are outside the scope of this work. 46! The area  $A_{pc}$  is best understood in reference to the 466 moment balance in equation (8), although it appears 467 in the same way in both equations (5) and (9). For 468 each vocal fold,  $p_c$  acts on the area  $\frac{1}{2}(H_V - H_L)h$  and 46 produces a moment arm of  $\frac{1}{4}(2H_0 - H_V - H_L)$  around 470 points (x, y) = (0, 0) and  $(x, y) = (0, H_0)$  for the lower 47 and upper folds, respectively. Hence 472

$$A_{pc} = \frac{h}{8L} (H_V - H_L) (2H_0 - H_V - H_L).$$
(16)

Equations (15) and (16) assume that both the VT and SGT pressure components act in the *x*-direction only (i.e., horizontally in Figure 1 (top)). This assumption minimises the tendency of the wedge geometry to overestimate the effect of the SGT compared to the effect of the VT.



Figure 2: Top: The VT intersections extracted during phonation of [a] and [i]. Bottom: The resulting area functions for equation (10) as a function of distance from the glottis.



Figure 3: The magnitude responses of the VT acoustic loads obtained by simulating output for an impulse input for [a] (dashed gray) and [i] (solid black). The response of [a] has been raised by 50 dB for clarity.

# 3 Parameters

3.1 Vocal tract

Table 1: VT parameter values.

Table 1: VI parameter values.		
Parameter	[a]	[i]
Inertance, $I_V$	$2540  \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^4}$	$2820 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^4}$
Length, $L_{VT}$	132  mm	136 mm
$1^{\rm st}$ resonance, $f_{R1}$	$742~\mathrm{Hz}$	198  Hz
$2^{\rm nd}$ resonance, $f_{R2}$	$1846 \mathrm{~Hz}$	2791  Hz
Area at mouth	$299 \ \mathrm{mm^2}$	$66 \ \mathrm{mm}^2$
$R_m$	$1.98 \cdot 10^{6} \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{s m}^{4}}$	$8.96 \cdot 10^4 rac{ m kg}{ m s m^4}$
$L_m$	$33.2  \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^4}$	$70.6  \frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{m}^4}$
$\operatorname{Re}(Z(400\pi i))$	879	$4.44 \cdot 10^{4}$
$\operatorname{Im}(Z(400\pi i))$	$4.17\cdot 10^4$	$4.48\cdot 10^4$

Solving Webster's equation requires that the VT is 481 represented with an area function and a centreline, 482 from which curvature information can be computed. 483 Two different VT geometries corresponding to vow-484 els from a healthy 26 years old female are used: A 485 prolonged [a] produced at  $f_o = 168$  Hz and similarly 486 produced [i] at  $f_o = 210$  Hz. These geometries have 487 been obtained by MRI using the experimental setting 488 described in [47]; see also [48, 49, 50] for earlier ap-489

# 479 480

<sup>490</sup> proaches. The extraction of the computational geom-

etry from raw MRI data has been carried out by the
custom software described in [51, 52]. The VT geometries and their area functions are shown in Figure 2,
their simulated frequency responses in Figure 3, and
and the VT geometry dependent parameter values are
given in Table 1.

The reactive acoustic loading (13) at the lips re-497 quires values for  $R_m$  and  $L_m$ . The values in Table 1 498 were obtained by interpolation at 200 Hz from the 499 piston model given in [53, Chapter 7, Eq. (7.4.31)]500 and tuning of  $R_m$  to remove excessive fluctuations in 501 simulated waveforms. The low order rational model 502  $Z(\xi) = \frac{\xi R_m L_m}{R_m + \xi L_m}$  approximates the irrational piston 503 model impedance very well for frequencies within 504 100 Hz...2 kHz, and the frequency responses in Fig-505 ure 3 are reasonable as well. 50

#### 507 3.2 Subglottal tract

Full SGT geometry cannot be constructed from the MRI data that is used for the VT. Instead, an exponential horn is used as the SGT area function for equation (14)

$$A_S(s) = A_S(0)e^{\epsilon s}$$
, where  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{L_S} \ln\left(\frac{A_S(L_S)}{A_S(0)}\right)$  (17)

following [46]. The values for  $A_{\rm S}(0) = 2\,{\rm cm}^2$  and 512  $A_S(L_S) = 10 \,\mathrm{cm}^2$  are taken from [46, Figure 1]. The 513 horn length  $L_S$  is selected so that the lowest subglot-514 tal resonance is  $f'_{R1} = 500 \,\mathrm{Hz}$  which results in the 515 second lowest resonance at  $f'_{R2} = 1.0 \text{ kHz}$ . This is a 516 reasonable value for  $f_{R1}$  based on [9, Table 1]; see also 51 [39, 54, 55] and [27, Figure 1]. The SGT lung termi-518 nation resistance in equation (14) is given the value 519  $\theta_s = 1$  which corresponds to an absorbing boundary 520 condition. The air column in this SGT model has a 521 inertia parameter value  $I_S = 1040 \text{ kg/m}^4$ . 522

#### <sup>523</sup> 3.3 Static parameter values

Table 2 lists the numerical values of physiological and physical constants used in all simulations. Note that the vocal fold springs are, for this study, placed symmetrically about the midpoint of the vocal folds.

The masses in M are calculated by combining the 528 vocal fold shape function used in [32] with female vo-529 cal fold length reported in [56], yielding a total vi-530 brating mass  $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = 0.27$  g. A first estimate 531 for the spring coefficients in K is calculated by as-532 suming that the first eigenfrequency of the vocal folds 533 matches the starting frequency for the simulations. 534 The spring coefficients are then adjusted until simu-535 lations produce  $f_o \approx 145$  Hz, giving the initial  $K^0$ 536 for equations (18)-(19) with total spring coefficients 537  $k_1 + k_2 = 248 \,\mathrm{N/m}$ . For details of these calculations, 538 see [31] and [30]. 539

The vocal fold damping parameter  $\beta$  plays an important but problematic role in vocal fold models.

Table 2: Physical and physiological constants.

Parameter	Value
speed of sound in air, $c$	$343 \frac{m}{s}$
density of air, $\rho$	$1.2 \frac{kg}{m^3}$
kinematic viscosity of air, $\mu$	$18.27  \frac{\mu N s}{m^2}$
VT/SGT loss coeff., $\alpha$	$76\frac{\mu s}{m}$
glottal gap at rest at $x = 0, g_0$	$10.9 \mathrm{mm}$
glottal gap at rest at $x = L, g_L$	$0.4 \mathrm{mm}$
control gap above glottis, $H_V$	$2 \mathrm{mm}$
vocal fold length [56], $h$	10  mm
vocal fold thickness $[32], L$	$6.8 \mathrm{mm}$
$1^{st}$ vocal fold spring location, $l_1$	0.85
$2^{st}$ vocal fold spring location, $l_2$	0.15
contact spring constant [32], $k_H$	$730 \frac{N}{m^{3/2}}$
viscous thickness, $L_g$	1.5  mm
SGT length, $L_S$	$350 \mathrm{~mm}$
resistance at lungs, $\theta_s$	1
$entrance/exit coeff., k_g$	0.6
initial driving pressure, $p_s^0$	650 Pa

If there is too much damping, sustained oscillations 542 do not occur. Conversely, too low damping causes 543 instability in simulated vocal fold oscillations. The 544 magnitude of physically realistic damping in vibrat-545 ing tissues is not available, and, due to its simpli-546 fications, the present model could fail to produce 547 quasi-stationary phonation even if realistic experi-548 mental damping values were used. For this article, 549  $\beta = 0.009 \,\mathrm{kg/s}$  is used as it produces slowly changing 550 glottal pulse amplitudes when simulations are carried 551 out with constants parameters as well as in feedback 552 free glides. This damping is small enough that the 553 resonances of the mass-spring-damper system (1) are 554 defined approximately by M and K alone. 555

In this work, the nominal values of  $I_V$  and  $I_S$ , given in Table 1 and Section 3.2, are used without tuning.

558

559

# 4 Computational Aspects

#### 4.1 **Production of pitch glides**

The  $f_o$ -glides are simulated by controlling two param-560 eter values dynamically. First, the matrix K is scaled 561 while keeping the matrix M constant as the relative 562 magnitudes of M and K essentially determine the res-563 onance frequencies of vocal fold model (1). This ap-564 proach is based on the assumption that the vibrating 565 mass and the length of the vocal folds are not signif-566 icantly changed when the speaker's pitch increases; a 567 reasonable simplification as far as the frequency range 568 is small and register changes are excluded. 569

The driving pressure  $p_s$  is the second parameter used to control the glide. The dependence of  $f_o$  on  $p_s$  has been observed in simulations [8, 57], physical experiments using upscaled replicas [12], as well as in humans [58] and excised canine larynges [59]. The



Figure 4: Simulated pulse shapes for [i] with feedback  $(Q_{pc} = 0.1)$  before the glide begins: glottal flow U, glottal gap  $H_L$ , and sound pressure at the lips  $p_m$ .

impact of  $p_s$  on  $f_o$  is, however, secondary in these 575 glides (the  $f_o$  trajectories with and without  $p_s$  control 576 differ by at most 10%). Instead,  $p_s$  is scaled in order 577 to maintain phonation and to prevent large changes 57 in phonation type as the stiffness of the vocal folds 579 changes. It was found by trial and error, that equal scaling of  $p_s$  and K best maintained the glottal open 581 quotient OQ (proportion of glottal cycle during which 582 the glottis is open, see [60, Figure 4]), the closing 583 quotient ClQ (proportion of the glottal cycle during 58 which the flow is decreasing), and the maximum of 585  $H_L$  approximately steady over the upward glide when 586 acoustic feedback was disabled. 587

588 The parameters are scaled exponentially with time

$$K(t) = 2.2^{2t/T} K^0, \quad p_s(t) = 2.2^{t/T} p_s^0$$
 (18)

589 for rising glides, and

$$K(t) = 2.2^{2-2t/T} K^0, \quad p_s(t) = 2.2^{1-t/T} p_s^0 \qquad (19)$$

for falling glides. The duration of the glide is T = 3 s, 590 and t is the time from the beginning of the glide. Note 591 that the temporal scale of the glides is long compared 592 to glottal cycles, and hence the control parameters K593 and  $p_s$  can be regarded as static from the point of view 594 of the vocal fold dynamics. Other starting conditions 595 (particularly, vocal fold displacements and velocities, 596 and pressure and velocity distributions in the res-597 onators) are taken from stabilised simulations. These 598 parameters produce glides with  $f_o$  approximately in 59 the range [145 Hz, 315 Hz], although the exact range 600 depends on the VT geometry and feedback level. 60

#### <sup>602</sup> 4.2 Numerical realisation

The model equations are solved numerically using MATLAB software and custom-made code. The vocal fold equations of motion (1) are solved by the fourth order Runge–Kutta time discretisation scheme. The flow equation (6) is solved by the backward Euler method. The VT and SGT are discretised by



Figure 5: Simulated pulse shapes for [a] with feedback  $(Q_{pc} = 0.1)$  before glide: glottal flow U, glottal gap  $H_L$ , and sound pressure at the lips  $p_m$ .



Figure 6: Spectrogram of pressure at lips during glide for [i]. Top: without feedback  $(Q_{pc} = 0)$ . Bottom: with feedback  $(Q_{pc} = 0.1)$ . Dashed gray line is  $f_{R1}$ .

FEM using piecewise linear elements (N = 29 for)609 VT and N = 10 for SGT) and the physical en-610 ergy norm of Webster's equation. Energy preserv-611 ing Crank–Nicolson time discretisation (i.e., Tustin's 612 method [61]) is used for the resonators. The time 613 step is generally 10  $\mu s$  which is small enough to keep 614 the frequency warping in Tustin's method under one 615 semitone for frequencies under 13 kHz. Reduced time 616 step, however, is used near glottal closure. This is 617 due to the discontinuity in the aerodynamic force (9)618 at the closure which requires numerical treatment by 619 interpolation and time step reduction as explained in 620 [31, Section 2.4.1]. 621

Solving the equations of motion of the vocal folds 622 is the computationally most expensive part of the 623 model, taking approximately 55% of the running time 624 in simulations of steady phonation with constant pa-625 rameter values. In comparison, solving the Web-626 ster's equations with precomputed mass, stiffness, and 627 damping matrices takes approximately 10% of the 628 simulation time, and the flow equation solver less than 629 2%. Simulation of 1 s takes approximately 20 s on a 630 standard professional desktop computer. 631



Figure 7: Glide for [i] with feedback  $(Q_{pc} = 0.1)$  (solid black) and without feedback  $(Q_{pc} = 0)$  (dashed gray). Shown are fundamental frequency  $f_o$  (horizontal gray line is  $f_{R1}$ ), open quotient OQ, closing quotient ClQ, envelopes of glottal flow U and gap  $H_L$ , and phase difference  $\theta$  between  $m_{j1}$  and  $m_{j2}$ .

# <sup>632</sup> 5 Simulation Results

The glottal flow U and gap  $H_L$  (or more generally 633 the glottal area  $hH_L$ ) pulses produced by the model 634 (Figures 4–5) appear realistic when compared to the 635 experimental data presented in [54, Figures 4-7], the 636 signals produced by different numerical models (see [8, 63 Figures 14a–14c], [27, Figures 10–11], [39, Figures 8 638 and 10], [62, Figure 6], [63, Figure 5]), and the glottal 639 pulse waveforms obtained by inverse filtering in, e.g., 640 [64, Figures 10–13], [60, Figures 3 and 6], and [65, Figures 5.3, 5.4, and 5.17]. Quantitative comparison 642 of the model to the LF model can be found in [66]. 64 The skewing of U relative to  $H_L$  – an effect that has 644 been observed in natural speech, e.g., with the help 645 of inverse filtering in [67, 68] – is mainly produced by 646 the inertial term in (6). 647

The results of upward glide simulations for [i] are shown in Figures 6–7. Figure 6 displays spectrograms of the sound pressure signal at the lips with and without feedback. For Figure 7, the  $f_o$  trajectory, OQ, and ClQ have been extracted from U pulse by pulse. Envelopes of U, and  $H_L$  are also displayed, and the phase difference  $\theta$  between  $m_{j1}$  and  $m_{j2}$  has been es-



Figure 8: Sound pressures at the lips during upward glides. Top: [i] without feedback ( $Q_{pc} = 0$ ). Middle: [i] with feedback ( $Q_{pc} = 0.1$ ). Bottom: [a] with feedback ( $Q_{pc} = 0.1$ ).

timated based on how much peaks in  $H_0$  are delayed compared to  $H_L$ .

The simulations indicate a consistent locking pat-657 tern in  $f_o$  trajectories at  $f_{R1}[i]$  which vanishes if the 658 VT feedback is decoupled by setting  $Q_{pc} = 0$ . This 659 locking pattern for rising glides can be seen in Figure 6 660 as a discontinuity in the  $f_o$  contour near  $f_{R1}$  followed 661 by an interval where  $f_o$  appears to be approximately 662 constant. More details are visible in the  $f_o$  trajectory 663 in Figure 7: a rapid rise in  $f_o$  (hereafter referred to as 664 a jump), a locking to a plateau at approximately  $f_{R1}$ , 665 and a smooth release. The height of the jump, degree 666 of overshoot and oscillations about the plateau level. 667 as well as the duration of the locking event depend 668 on parameter choices (see, e.g., Figure 11). In the 669 glide displayed in Figure 7, the  $f_o$  trajectories devi-670 ate by over 1% in the range 178–215 Hz as measured 671 from feedback free trajectory, and the overshoot at 672 the frequency jump reaches 205 Hz. The flattest part 673 of the locking, which follows the overshoot, occurs at 674 195-197 Hz. 675

The frequency jump in the simulations is preceded 676 by a decrease in vocal fold oscillation and glottal flow 677 amplitudes (Figure 7), and a decrease in the phase 678 difference between upper and lower vocal fold masses. 679 This is accompanied by increased breathiness in the 680 phonation, as characterised by increasing OQ and 681 ClQ values, which reduces the effect of the feedback 682 from the acoustics to the vocal folds. The locking 683 plateau coincides with a nearly constant rate of de-684 creasing OQ and ClQ, and increasing amplitude of, 685 in particular,  $H_L$ . At the same time, there are large 686 but smooth changes in  $\theta$ . After the release of  $f_{\theta}$  the 687 glottal pulse characteristics return gradually to the 688 feedback free trajectories, except for  $\theta$ . The sudden 689



Figure 9: Normalised envelope of energy in VT acoustics (solid black) and in the glottal flow U (dashed gray), and energy in vocal fold vibrations (solid gray) in upward glide for [i] with  $Q_{pc} = 0.1$ .

changes in  $\theta$  seen at 1.9 s with feedback and at 2.8 s 690 without feedback are caused by the method of esti-691 mating  $\theta$ . Near these instants  $H_0$  pulses have shallow 692 double peaks, and the sudden change occurs when 693 the dominant peak shifts from one to the other. Note, 694 however, that changes in pulse shapes are smooth near 695 these instants. Further,  $H_0$  and  $H_L$  have well defined 696 single peaks at and near the locking event, so changes 69 in  $\theta$  there are not caused by this same phenomenon. 698

This locking behaviour of  $f_o$  or the related waveform changes are not observed for glides of [a] where  $f_{R1}$ [a] is not inside the simulated frequency range [145 Hz, 315 Hz]. The differences in the  $f_o$  trajectories and glottal pulse characteristics between feedback ( $Q_{pc} = 0.1$ ) and feedback free ( $Q_{pc} = 0$ ) configurations are negligible for [a].

The VT resonance  $f_{R1}[i]$  and the resonance frac-706 tions  $f_{R1}[\alpha]/5 = 148 \text{ Hz}, f_{R1}[\alpha]/4 = 186 \text{ Hz}$  and 707  $f_{R1}[\alpha]/3 = 247 \,\mathrm{Hz}$  are within the frequency range, 708 and the corresponding events are visible in the sound 709 pressure signal at the lips (Figure 8). Note that de-710 spite this visibility, corresponding events can be seen 711 in the glottis only for the event in the middle panel, 712 i.e.  $f_{R1}[i]$  with feedback. For [a], the pressure signals 713 with and without feedback are nearly identical (only 714 glide with feedback is shown in Figure 8). For [i], the 715 largest difference in the pressures is the timing of the 716 resonance event. 717

When feedback is disabled, energy cannot be trans-718 ferred from the resonating vocal tract to the oscillat-719 ing vocal folds or to the glottal flow. Figure 9 shows 720 how energy, normalised to one, in each of the subsys-721 tems develops when feedback is on. As the resonance 722 nears,  $p_c$  does work on the vocal folds increasing the 723 energy in the vocal fold oscillations which in turn feeds 724 energy into U. Since  $p_c$  has an increasingly strong pe-725 riodic component at  $f_{R1}[i]$ , all three subsystems get 726 locked to this frequency. Unlocking occurs when the 727 first vocal fold eigenfrequency has been raised suffi-728 ciently for the energy in the oscillations to win out 729 over the frequency of  $p_c$ . 730

Rising and falling glides show different perturbation patterns as shown in Figure 10. The *x*-axis in this figure is the relative vocal fold stiffness, which for rising glides is  $2.2^{t/T}$  and for falling glides  $2.2^{1-t/T}$ 



Figure 10: Upward (dashed gray) and downward (solid black) glides for vowel [i] with  $Q_{pc} = 0.04$ . Shown are fundamental frequency  $f_o$  ( $f_{R1}$  indicated by horizontal gray line), open quotient OQ, closing quotient ClQ, and the envelopes of glottal flow U and gap  $H_L$ . On the x-axis, relative vocal fold stiffness refers to the coefficient of the  $K^0$  matrix in equations (18) and (19).



Figure 11: Left:  $f_o$  trajectories for [i] with different values of  $Q_{pc}$ : gray dashed 0.0, gray dotted 0.05, gray solid 0.1, black dotted 0.15, and black dashed 0.2. Right:  $f_o$  trajectories for [i] qualitatively as  $Q_{pc}$  and  $\beta$  increase in the direction of the arrow. Light gray background indicates that small parameter changes can lead to loss of quasi-stable glides.

as given in equations (18) and (19). For given model parameter values, falling glides exhibit more fluctuations in glottal pulse parameters at the locking event and the perturbation lasts longer. The fluctuations in  $f_o$  in the falling glides during the locking and at frequencies below this are qualitatively similar to what occurs at extreme values of  $Q_{pc}$  and  $\beta$  for rising glides. 741

The feedback parameter  $Q_{pc}$  plays, unsurprisingly, a key role in the  $f_o$  jump and locking in glides for [i] as shown in Figure 11 (left). With no acoustic feedback to the vocal folds, there are no perturbations in  $f_o$ , whereas with a high  $Q_{pc}$ , starting a glide with  $f_o$  below  $f_{R1}$  is not possible without decreasing  $K^0$ . If a starting  $f_o$  below  $f_{R1}$  is obtainable, a high  $Q_{pc}$ value results in a large overshoot at the jump, and fluctuations in  $f_o$  both before the jump and at the beginning of the plateau.

Besides  $Q_{pc}$ , the locking pattern is also sensitive to 752 other model parameters, in particular the vocal fold 753 damping  $\beta$ . In fact,  $\beta$  and  $Q_{pc}$  affect the locking 754 behaviour in complementary ways, as qualitatively 755 shown in Figure 11 (right). The full frequency range 756  $[145 \,\mathrm{Hz}, 315 \,\mathrm{Hz}]$  for  $f_o$  can be obtained with modal 757 locking if  $Q_{pc} \in [0.05, 0.12]$  and  $\beta \in [0.005, 0.015]$ . 758 Beyond these ranges, an increase in one parameter 759 needs to be compensated for with a decrease in the 760 other. Otherwise, the locking pattern disappears or 761 the simulated  $f_o$  range is reduced to above  $f_{R1}[i]$ . 762

The stability of glide simulations (understood as 763 slowly changing amplitude envelope of glottal flow U) 764 becomes a serious issue at high values of one or both 765 of the parameters  $Q_{pc}$  and  $\beta$ . The driving pressure 766  $p_s$  in glide simulations is dynamically controlled as 767 given in equations (18)–(19). If  $p_s$  were instead kept 768 constant, we would observe an increasing OQ and 760 decreasing amplitudes of glottal flow and vocal fold 770 oscillations throughout the glide but the qualitative 771 behaviour of modal locking events, including the be-772 haviour of phonation type parameters around these 773 events, would remain very similar. 774

## 775 6 Discussion

We have reported observations on the locking of  $f_o$  at 776 a resonance of the VT in simulated pitch glides. The 777 locking behaviour shows a consistent time-dependent 778 behaviour that is similar for rising and falling glides. 779 The  $f_o$  jump at the beginning of the locking in rising 780 glides and end of the locking in falling glides occurs to-78: gether with and increased breathiness of phonation as 782 characterised by open quotient OQ and closing quo-783 tient ClQ. During the locking plateau, these param-78 eters indicated an approximately steady decrease in 785 breathiness. 786

The locking takes place only at frequencies deter-787 mined by supraglottal resonances. Use of  $p_s$  as a sec-788 ondary control parameter for the glides ensure that 789 the main cause for changes in OQ and ClQ is the 790 acoustic loading. By modifying the strength of the 791 acoustic feedback (i.e., the parameter  $Q_{pc}$  in equa-792 tion (15)) and vocal fold tissue losses (i.e., the pa-793 rameter  $\beta$ ), the locking tendency at  $f_{R1}[i]$  may be 794 modulated from non-existent (where both  $Q_{pc}$  and  $\beta$ 795 have low values) to extreme locking at  $f_{R1}[i]$  with-796 out release (where  $Q_{pc}$  and/or  $\beta$  have large values); 797 see Figure 11. Small changes to the model (as dis-798 cussed below) leave the locking behaviour at  $f_{R1}[i]$ 79 unchanged, even though the model parameter values 800 required for the desired glottal waveform change (cf. 803

826

[28, 29]). We conclude that the simulation results on vowel glides reported in Section 5 reflect the model behaviour in a consistent and robust manner.

To what extent do the simulation results validate 805 the proposed model? The model produces perturba-806 tions of the glottal pulses at VT resonances and, addi-807 tionally, sound pressure perturbations at some of the 808 VT resonance fractions. Of the former, a wide exist-809 ing literature was reviewed in Section 1. Observations 810 on perturbations in speech at formant fractions have 811 not been reported, to our knowledge, in experimental 812 literature. There is a particular temporal pattern of 813 locking in simulated perturbations at  $f_{R_1}[i]$  as shown 814 in Figures 6 and 7 (topmost panel). A similar pattern 815 can be seen in the speech spectrograms given in [17, 816 Figure 5], [16, Figure 4], as well as in the vowel glide 817 samples in the data set of [3]. The pitch trajectory 818 and speech spectrogram in [19, Figure 4] also show 819 locking but no release. A similar locking behaviour 820 can also be interpreted to lie behind the experimen-821 tal results shown in [12, Figures 10b and 13b], and it 822 also tends to emerge in model simulations even if the 823 acoustic feedback is realised in different manner; see, 824 e.g., [14, Figures 13 and 14] and [69, Figure 6]. 825

#### 6.1 Acoustics

The effect of physically realistic values of parame-827 ter  $\alpha$  in model simulations is negligible; see [25, Sec-828 tion 5] and [30, Section 3.3.2]. These losses move the 829 VT resonance positions computed from equations (10) 830 slightly. On the other hand, the VT resonances are 831 quite sensitive to the parameters of the parallel RL 832 model in equation (13), similar to the simplified model 833 proposed in [45, Eq. (28)]. In its most general form, 834 the model in [45, Eq. (39)] is an integro-differential 835 delay equation with nine parameters and a single de-836 lay lag. Unfortunately, it cannot be introduced to 837 Webster's model as a boundary condition: this is the 838 salient feature of equation (13) that simplifies the im-839 plementation of the FEM solver. 840

It is expected that the otherwise small subglottal 841 effect in simulations will get more pronounced when 842  $f_o \to f'_{R1}$ , and similarly VT impact for [a] will in-843 crease when  $f_o \to f_{R1}[\alpha]$ . These resonance frequen-844 cies, as well as the fractions  $f_{R1}[i]/n$ , n = 2, 3, ..., are 845 not included in the glides because the two glide con-846 trols appear to be insufficient to maintain phonation 847 through such a large frequency range. Such glides 848 would likely require dynamic control of vocal fold 849 length and mass as well. The similarity of the VT and 850 SGT resonators is visible near the resonances fractions 851 in the presented glides, however: The first subglot-852 tal resonance fraction  $f'_{R1}/2$  shows up in the counter 853 pressure (15) in the same way as  $f_{R1}[\mathbf{q}]/n$ . 854

The SGT acoustics model proposed in [27] is likely to produce the correct resonance distribution and frequency-dependent energy dissipation rate at the

lung end without tuning. The horn model requires 858 tuning of the horn geometry in order to get the low-859 est subglottal resonance realistic  $f'_{R1} = 500$  Hz. Doing 860 so freezes all the higher subglottal resonances at fixed 86 positions, e.g.,  $f'_{R2} = 1.0 \text{ kHz}$ . The branching sub-862 glottal models given in [27, Figure 8] have the second 86 subglottal resonance between 1.3 kHz and 1.5 kHz. It 864 was observed in [70] that the soft tissues introduce 865 an additional nonacoustic resonance to the subglottal 866 system that is lower than the first subglottal formant 867  $f'_{B1}$  attributed to air column dynamics. There is no 868 obvious way how a horn model could be used to ac-869 commodate such a resonance at ca. 350 Hz due to the 870 yielding wall dynamics. 871

#### <sup>872</sup> 6.2 Vocal folds and glottal flow

The vocal fold geometry shown in Figure 1 (top) leads 873 to a simple expression for the aerodynamic force in 874 equation (9). The further simplification of keeping the 875 direction of p(x, t) constant (i.e., considering changes 876 in  $\phi$  negligible) is possible without affecting the quali-877 tative behaviour of the model. The difference between 878 the driving pressure  $p_s$  and the reference pressure  $p_r$ 879 can be included in the force balance when the glot-880 tis is closed (equation (5)) although the wedge-shaped 881 vocal folds, their point-like collision, and the assumption of incompressible glottal flow lead to overestima-883 tion of the effect. This addition causes an increase in 884 the open quotient throughout simulations, but if the 885 model parameters are adjusted to achieve a phonation similar to Figures 4–5 before the glides, the locking 887 behaviour remains qualitatively unchanged. 88

Replacing the sharp peaks by flat tops in Figure 1 results in phonation that has typically lower open quo-890 tient (OQ) compared to the original wedge-like ge-89: ometry. This change makes it easier to adjust the 892 parametrisation of the model to obtain some phona-893 tion targets. In particular, the value of the glottal 894 loss parameter  $k_g$  can then be based on experimen-895 tal values (e.g., [41]) since the model geometry be-896 comes more similar to the experimental model geom-897 etry (M5). 898

The importance of entrance and exit effects rep-899 resented by  $k_a$  can be seen, for example, by com-900 paring simulated volume velocities and glottal areas 901 with the experimental curves in [40, Figure 3], ob-902 tained from a physical model of the glottis. In model 903 simulations, leaving out this transglottal pressure loss 904 term changes the glottal pulse waveform significantly 905 if other model parameters are kept the same, as shown 906 in [30, Figure 3.7]. About half of the total pressure 907 loss in simulations is due to entrance and exit effects 908 at the peak of opening of the glottis; see [30, Fig-909 ure 3.6]. However, the behaviour of the simulated 910  $f_o$  trajectories over  $f_{R1}[i]$  does not change if  $k_q = 0$ . 91 Then, however, the vowel glide must be produced by 912 different model parameter values. 913

941

The glottal flow has been studied extensively since 914 1950's. Compared to the flow model used here, phys-915 iologically more faithful glottal flow solvers have been 916 proposed in, e.g., [35, 46, 62, 71, 72] and [73]. As 917 pointed out in [72], more sophisticated flow models 918 are challenging to couple to acoustic resonators since 919 the interface between the flow-mechanical (in partic-920 ular, the turbulent) and the acoustic components is 921 no longer clearly defined. 922

Direct feedback from VT acoustics to the glottal 923 flow can be added to the model although it has been 924 left outside the scope of this work. In implementing 925 this feedback mode, particular care must be taken to 926 remove the additional acoustic contribution in the in-927 ertial effect, which is already accounted for by (6). 928 The impact of this feedback mechanism is expected 929 to be notable around the  $f_o$  jump, when the glottal 930 closure is short or non-existent. 931

Turbulence in supraglottal space is a spatially dis-932 tributed acoustic source, and it does not provide a 933 spatially localised acoustic signal for the resonator in 934 equation (12). Much of the turbulence noise energy 935 lies above 4 kHz where Webster's model equation (10) 936 is not an accurate description [74, 75]. The unmod-937 elled supraglottal jet may even exert an additional 938 aerodynamic force to vocal folds that would not be 939 part of the acoustic counter pressure  $p_c$ . 940

# 7 Conclusions

We have presented a model for vowel production, 942 based on (partial) differential equations, that con-943 sists of submodels for glottal flow, vocal folds oscil-944 lations, and acoustic responses of the VT and SGT 945 cavities. The model was used for simulations of rising 946 and falling vowel glides of  $[\alpha, i]$  in frequencies that 947 span one octave [145 Hz, 315 Hz]. This interval con-948 tains the lowest VT resonance  $f_{R1}$  of [i] but not that 949 of [a]. Perturbation events in simulated vowel glides 950 were observed at VT acoustic resonances, or at some 951 of their fractions but nowhere else. 952

The fundamental frequency  $f_o$  of the simulated 953 vowel was observed to lock to  $f_{R1}[i]$  but similar lock-954 ing was not seen at any of the resonance fractions of 955 [a]. The locking events were accompanied by changes 956 in the phonation: increased breathiness below and 957 partially at the locking frequency and steady change 958 in breathiness during most of the lock. If these 959 changes can also be detected in glides produced by hu-960 man speakers, e.g., by using electroglottography, they 961 may provide an indirect means of identifying locking 962 events when coincidence of  $f_o$  and  $f_{R1}$  makes it chal-963 lenging to track them both. 964

The locking event takes place only when the acoustic feedback from VT to vocal folds is present, and then it has a characteristic time-dependent behaviour. A large number of simulation experiments were carried out with different parameter settings of the model to verify the robustness and consistency of all observations. The similarity between simulated pitch pattern and experimental results in literature was achieved by using feedback from acoustics to vocal fold tissues, indicating that this feedback mode can be strong enough to affect speech outcomes.

The simulation model does not include the neural 976 control actions on the vocal fold structures or dy-977 namic modifications of the VT geometry. There is 978 also a significant control action affecting the driving 979 stagnation pressure and it has been used as a control 980 variable in equations (18)–(19) for glide productions. 981 In humans, neural control actions are part of feedback 982 loops, of which some are auditive, and some others op-983 erate directly through tissue innervation and the central nervous system. So little is known about these 985 feedback mechanisms that their explicit mathemat-986 ical modelling seems infeasible. Instead, the model 987 parameters for simulations are tuned so that the simulated glottal pulse waveform corresponds to experi-989 mental speech data. Despite these simplifications the 990 model appears to be sufficiently detailed to replicate 993 the observations found in literature.

# **Acknowledgements**

This study was funded by the Academy of Finland 994 (projects no. 284671 and 312490), the Finnish gradu-995 ate school in engineering mechanics, Finnish Academy 996 project Lastu 135005, 128204, and 125940; European 997 Union grant Simple4All (grant no. 287678), Aalto 998 Starting Grant 915587, and Abo Akademi Institute 990 of Mathematics. The authors would like to thank the 1000 four anonymous reviewers in 2013 and 2016 for com-1001 ments leading to many improvements of the model. 1002

## 1003 References

- 1004 [1] T. Chiba, M. Kajiyama: The vowel, its nature and structure. Phonetic Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1941.
- 1006 [2] G. Fant: Acoustic theory of speech production. Mouton, The Hague, 1960.
- 1008 [3] D. Aalto, J. Malinen, M. Vainio, Modal locking between vocal fold and vocal tract oscillations: Experiments and statistical analysis. ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1211.4788, 2016.
- [4] I. R. Titze, R. J. Baken, K. W. Bozeman, 1012 S. Granqvist, N. Henrich, C. T. Herbst, D. M. 1013 Howard, E. J. Hunter, D. Kaelin, R. D. Kent, 1014 J. Kreiman, M. Kob, A. Löfqvist, S. McCoy, D. G. 1015 Miller, H. Noé, R. C. Scherer, J. R. Smith, B. H. 1016 Story, J. G. Svec, S. Ternström, J. Wolfe: Toward a 1017 consensus on symbolic notation of harmonics, reso-1018 nances, and formants in vocalization. The Journal of 1019 the Acoustical Society of America 137 (2015) 3005– 1020 3007. 1021
- 1022 [5] P. Alku, J. Horáček, M. Airas, F. Griffond-Boitier,
   1023 A.-M. Laukkanen: Performance of glottal inverse fil-

tering as tested by aeroelastic modelling of phonation and FE modelling of vocal tract. Acta Acustica united with Acustica **92** (2006) 717–724. 1026

- [6] P. Alku, J. Pohjalainen, M. Vainio, A.-M. Laukkanen, B. H. Story: Formant frequency estimation of high-pitched vowels using weighted linear prediction.
  The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 134 (2013) 1295–1313.
- J. Guðnason, D. D. Mehta, T. F. Quatieri: Evaluation of speech inverse filtering techniques using 1033 a physiologically based synthesizer. Proceedings of 1034 2015 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, 1035 Speech and Signal (ICASSP), April 2015, 4245–4249. 1036
- [8] K. Ishizaka, J. L. Flanagan: Synthesis of voiced 1037 sounds from a two mass model of the vocal cords. 1038 Bell System Technical Journal 51 (1972) 1233–1268. 1039
- [9] S. F. Austin, I. R. Titze: The effect of subglottal 1040 resonance upon vocal fold vibration. Journal of Voice 1041 11 (1997) 391–402. 1042
- [10] Z. Zhang, J. Neubauer, D. A. Berry: The influence of 1043 subglottal acoustics on laboratory models of phonation. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 120 (2006) 1558–1569.
- J. C. Lucero, K. G. Lourenço, N. Hermant, A. Van Hirtum, X. Pelorson: Effect of source-tract acoustical coupling on the oscillation onset of the vocal folds. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 132 (2012) 403–411.
- [12] N. Ruty, X. Pelorson, A. Van Hirtum, I. Lopez-Arteaga, A. Hirschberg: An in-vitro setup to test 1053 the relevance and the accuracy of low-order vocal 1054 folds models. The Journal of the Acoustical Society 1055 of America 121 (2007) 479–490. 1056
- [13] N. Ruty, X. Pelorson, A. Van Hirtum: Influence 1057 of acoustic waveguides lengths on self-sustained oscillations: Theoretical prediction and experimental 1059 validation. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of 1060 America 123 (2008) 3121–3121.
- I. R. Titze: Nonlinear source-filter coupling in phonation: Theory. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 123 (2008) 2733–2749.
- [15] H. Hatzikirou, W. T. Fitch, H. Herzel: Voice instabilities due to source-tract interactions. Acta Acustica united with Acustica 92 (2006) 468–475.
- [16] I. T. Tokuda, M. Zemke, M. Kob, H. Herzel: Biomechanical modeling of register transitions and the role of vocal tract resonators. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 127 (2010) 1528–1536.
- [17] I. R. Titze, T. Riede, P. Popolo: Nonlinear sourcefilter coupling in phonation: Vocal exercises. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 123 (2008) 1902–1915.
- [18] M. Zañartu, D. D. Mehta, J. C. Ho, G. R. Wodicka, 1076
  R. E. Hillman: Observation and analysis of in vivo 1077
  vocal fold tissue instabilities produced by nonlinear 1078
  source-filter coupling: A case study. The Journal of 1079
  the Acoustical Society of America 129 (2011) 326– 1080
  339. 1081

- 1082 [19] L. Wade, N. Hanna, J. Smith, J. Wolfe: The role
  1083 of vocal tract and subglottal resonances in produc1084 ing vocal instabilities. The Journal of the Acoustical
  1085 Society of America 141 (2017) 1546–1559.
- 1086 [20] K. L. Kelly, C. C. Lochbaum: Speech synthesis.
   1087 Proceedings of the Fourth International Congress on Acoustics, 1962, Paper G42, 1–4.
- 1089[21]H. K. Dunn: The calculation of vowel resonances, and1090an electrical vocal tract. The Journal of the Acousti-<br/>cal Society of America 22 (1950) 740–753.
- 1092 [22] S. El-Masri, X. Pelorson, P. Saguet, P. Badin: Development of the transmission line matrix method in acoustics. Applications to higher modes in the vocal tract and other complex ducts. Intermational Journal of Numerical Modelling: Electronic Networks, Devices and Fields 11 (1998) 133–151.
- [23] J. Mullen, D. Howard, D. Murphy: Waveguide physical modeling of vocal tract acoustics: Flexible formant bandwith control from increased model dimensionality. IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing 14 (2006) 964–971.
- [24] S. Rienstra, A. Hirschberg: An introduction to acoustics. Eindhoven University of Technology, 2013.
- [25] K. van den Doel U. M. Ascher: Real-time numerical solution of Webster's equation on a nonuniform grid.
  IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing 16 (2008) 1163–1172.
- [26] J. Horáček, V. Uruba, V. Radolf, J. Veselý, V. Bula: Airflow visualization in a model of human glottis near the self-oscillating vocal folds model. Applied and Computational Mechanics 5 (2011) 21–28.
- 1113[27]J. C. Ho, M. Zañartu, G. R. Wodicka: An anatomi-<br/>cally based, time-domain acoustic model of the sub-<br/>glottal system for speech production. The Journal of<br/>the Acoustical Society of America **129** (2011) 1531–<br/>1547.
- 1118 [28] T. Murtola, J. Malinen: Waveform patterns in pitch
   1119 glides near a vocal tract resonance. Proceedings of
   1120 INTERSPEECH 2017, Stockholm, 2017, 3487-3491
- 1121 [29] A. Aalto, T. Murtola, J. Malinen, D. Aalto,
  1122 M. Vainio: Modal locking between vocal fold and vo1123 cal tract oscillations: Simulations in time domain.
  1124 ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1506.01395, 2017.
- [30] T. Murtola: Modelling vowel production. Licentiate
  thesis, Aalto University School of Science, Espoo,
  Finland, 2014.
- 1128 [31] A. Aalto: A low-order glottis model with nonturbulent flow and mechanically coupled acoustic load.
  1130 Master's thesis, Helsinki University of Technology, Espoo, Finland, 2009.
- [32] J. Horáček, P. Šidlof, J. G. Švec: Numerical simulation of self-oscillations of human vocal folds with
  Hertz model of impact forces. Journal of Fluids and
  Structures 20 (2005) 853–869.
- 1136 [33] J. Liljencrants: A translating and rotating mass 1137 model of the vocal folds. STL-QPSR **32** (1991) 1–18.
- [34] N. J. C. Lous, G. C. J. Hofmans, R. N. J. Veldhuis,
  A. Hirschberg: A symmetrical two-mass vocal-fold

model coupled to vocal tract and trachea, with application to prosthesis design. Acta Acustica united with Acustica 84 (1998) 1135–1150.

- [35] X. Pelorson, A. Hirschberg, R. R. van Hassel, A. P. J.
  Wijnands, Y. Auregan: Theoretical and experimental study of quasisteady-flow separation within the glottis during phonation. Application to a modified two-mass model. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 96 (1994) 3416–3431.
- B. H. Story, I. R. Titze: Voice simulation with a 1149 body-cover model of the vocal folds. The Journal of 1150 the Acoustical Society of America 97 (1995) 1249–1151 1260.
- [37] B. D. Erath, M. Zañartu, K. C. Stewart, M. W. 1153
   Plesniak, D. E. Sommer, S. D. Peterson: A review 1154
   of lumped-element models of voiced speech. Speech 1155
   Communication 55 (2013) 667–690. 1156
- [38] P. Birkholz: A survey of self-oscillating lumpedelement models of the vocal folds. In: B. J. Kröger, 1158
  P. Birkholz, eds., Studientexte zur Sprachkommunikation: Elektronische Sprachsignalverarbeitung, 1160
  2011, 47–58.
- [39] M. Zañartu, L. Mongeau, G. R. Wodicka: Influence of 1162 acoustic loading on an effective single mass model of 1163 the vocal folds. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 121 (2007) 1119–1129. 1165
- [40] J. van den Berg, J. T. Zantema, P. Doornenbal: 1166
   On the air resistance and the Bernoulli effect of the 1167
   human larynx. Journal of the Acoustical Society of 1168
   America 29 (1957) 626–631. 1169
- [41] L. P. Fulcher, R. C. Scherer, T. Powell: Pressure distributions in a static physical model of the uniform 1171 glottis: Entrance and exit coefficients. The Journal of 1172 the Acoustical Society of America 129 (2011) 1548–1173 1553.
- [42] T. Lukkari, J. Malinen: Webster's equation 1175 with curvature and dissipation. ArXiv e-prints, 1176 arXiv:1204.4075, 2013.
- [43] A. Aalto, T. Lukkari, J. Malinen: Acoustic wave 1178 guides as infinite-dimensional dynamical systems. 1179 ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations 21 (2015) 324–347. 1181
- [44] T. Lukkari, J. Malinen: A posteriori error estimates for Webster's equation in wave propagation. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 427 (2015) 941–961.
- [45] T. Hélie, X. Rodet: Radiation of a pulsating portion of a sphere: application to horn radiation. Acta
   Acustica united with Acustica 89 (2003) 565–577.
- [46] P. Birkholz, D. Jackel, B. Kröger: Simulation of losses 1189 due to turbulence in the time-varying vocal system. 1190 IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language 1191 Processing 15 (2007) 1218–1226. 1192
- [47] D. Aalto, O. Aaltonen, R.-P. Happonen, P. Jääsaari, 1193
  A. Kivelä, J. Kuortti, J.-M. Luukinen, J. Malinen, 1194
  T. Murtola, R. Parkkola, J. Saunavaara, T. Soukka, 1195
  M. Vainio: Large scale data acquisition of simultaneous MRI and speech. Applied Acoustics 83 (2014) 1197
  64–75. 1198

- 1199[48]B. Story, I. Titze, E. Hoffman: Vocal tract area func-<br/>tions from magnetic resonance imaging. The Journal<br/>of the Acoustical Society of America 100 (1996) 537–<br/>554.
- [49] B. H. Story, I. R. Titze, E. A. Hoffman: Vocal tract area functions for an adult female speaker based on volumetric imaging. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 104 (1998) 471–487.
- 1207 [50] B. H. Story, I. R. Titze: Parameterization of vocal
  1208 tract area functions by empirical orthogonal modes.
  1209 Journal of Phonetics 26 (1998) 223–260.
- 1210 [51] A. Kivelä: Acoustics of the Vocal Tract: MR image
   1211 segmentation for modelling. Master's thesis, Aalto
   1212 University School of Science, Espoo, Finland, 2015.
- 1213 [52] A. Ojalammi, J. Malinen: Automated segmentation
  of upper airways from MRI: Vocal tract geometry
  1216 extraction. Proceedings of BIOIMAGING 2017, 2017,
  1216 77–84
- 1217 [53] P. M. Morse, K. U. Ingard: Theoretical acoustics.1218 McGraw-Hill, 1968.
- 1219 [54] B. Cranen, L. Boves: Pressure measurements dur1220 ing speech production using semiconductor miniature
  1221 pressure transducers: Impact on models for speech
  1222 production. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of
  1223 America 77 (1985) 1543–1551.
- 1224 [55] B. Cranen, L. Boves: On subglottal formant analysis.
  1225 The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 81 (1987) 734–746.
- 1227 [56] I. R. Titze: Physiologic and acoustic differences be tween male and female voices. The Journal of the
   Acoustical Society of America 85 (1989) 1699–1707.
- 1230 [57] D. Scimarella, C. d'Alessandro: On the acoustic sensitivity of a symmetric two-mass model of the vocal folds to the variation of control parameters. Acta Acustica united with Acustica 90 (2004) 746–761.
- 1234 [58] P. Lieberman, R. Knudson, J. Mead: Determination
  1235 of the rate of change of fundamental frequency with
  1236 respect to subglottal air pressure during sustained
  1237 phonation. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of
  1238 America 45 (1969) 1537–1543.
- I. R. Titze: On the relation between subglottal pressure and fundamental frequency in phonation. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 85 (1989) 901–906.
- [60] P. Alku: Glottal inverse filtering analysis of human
  voice production a review of estimation and parameterization methods of the glottal excitation and their
  applications. Sadhana 36 (2011) 623–650.
- 1247 [61] V. Havu, J. Malinen: The Cayley transform as a time discretization scheme. Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization 28 (2007) 825–851.
- 1250 [62] I. R. Titze: Regulating glottal airflow in phonation:
  Application of the maximum power transfer theorem
  to a low dimensional phonation model. The Journal
  of the Acoustical Society of America 111 (2002) 367–
  1254 376.
- [63] I. R. Titze: Parameterization of the glottal area, glottal flow, and vocal fold contact area. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **75** (1984) 570–580.

- [64] P. Alku: Glottal wave analysis with pitch synchronous iterative adaptive inverse filtering. Speech 1259 Communication 11 (1992) 109–118.
- [65] H. Pulakka: Analysis of human voice production using inverse filtering, high-speed imaging, and electroglottography. Master's thesis, Helsinki University of Technology, Espoo, Finland, 2005.
- [66] A. Aalto, P. Alku, J. Malinen: A LF-pulse from 1265
  a simple glottal flow model. Proceedings of the 1266
  6th International Workshop on Models and Analysis of Vocal Emissions for Biomedical Applications 1268 (MAVEBA2009), Florence, 2009, 199–202. 1269
- [67] M. Berouti, D. Childers, A. Paige: Glottal area versus glottal volume-velocity. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing ICASSP '77 (1977) 2 33–36.
- [68] S. Granqvist, S. Hertegård, H. Larsson, J. Sundberg: Simultaneous analysis of vocal fold vibration and transglottal airflow: exploring a new experimental setup. Journal of Voice 17 (2003) 319–330.
- [69] N. Ruty, X. Pelorson, A. van Hirtum: Influence of 1278 acoustic waveguides lengths on self-sustained oscillations: Theoretical prediction and experimental validation. Proceedings of Acoustics '08, Paris, June 29-1281 July 4, 2008, 1243–1247.
- [70] S. M. Lulich, H. Arsikere: Tracheo-bronchial soft tissue and cartilage resonances in the subglottal acoustic 1284 input impedance. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 137 (2015) 3436–3446.
- [71] B. D. Erath, S. D. Peterson, M. Zañartu, G. R. Wodicka, M. W. Plesniak: A theoretical model of the pressure field arising from asymmetric intraglottal flows
  applied to a two-mass model of the vocal folds. The
  Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 130
  (2011) 389–403.
- [72] P. Punčochářová-Pořízková, K. Kozel, J. Horáček, 1293
   J. Fürst: Numerical simulation of unsteady compressible low Mach number flow in a channel. Engineering Mechanics 17 (2010) 83–97.
- [73] P. Šidlof, J. Horáček, V. Řidký: Parallel CFD simulation of flow in a 3D model of vibrating human vocal folds. Computers & Fluids 80 (2013) 290–300.
- [74] T. Vampola, J. Horáček, A.-M. Laukkanen, J. G. 1300
   Švec: Human vocal tract resonances and the 1301
   corresponding mode shapes investigated by three dimensional finite-element modelling based on CT 1303
   measurement. Logopedics Phoniatrics Vocology 40 1304
   (2013) 1–10. 1305
- T. Vampola, A.-M. Laukkanen, J. Horáček, J. G. 1306
   Švec: Finite element modelling of vocal tract changes after voice therapy. Applied and Computational Mechanics 5 (2011) 77–88.